

Cornell University Student Assembly Agenda of the Thursday, April 22, 2021 Meeting 4:45 – 6:30 on ZOOM

I. Call to Order

II. Land Acknowledgement of the Gayogohó:no (Cayuga Nation)

III. Announcements and Presentations

- a. Student Advocate Confirmation Anuli Ononye '22
- b. Slope Day Media Announcement Estefanía Perez '21

IV. Open Microphone

V. Approval of the Minutes

a. April 15, 2021 Minutes

VI. New Business

- a. Resolution #44 <u>Petition for Better Lighting on Cornell Owned</u> <u>Student Dormitories</u>
- b. Resolution #45 Petition to Renew Housing Amenities
- c. Resolution #46 <u>Petition for University Owned Residence Security</u>

VII. Adjournment



Cornell University Student Assembly

Minutes of the Thursday, April 15, 2021 Meeting 4:45pm via Zoom

I. Call to Order & Roll Call

- a. C. Huang called the meeting to order at 4:46pm (EST).
- b. Roll Call
 - i. Members Present: L. Abd Elmagid, M. Baker, J. Bansah, K. Butler, C. Castillo, U. Chukwukere, L. Contreras, A. Gleiberman, S. Kapoor, A. Lampert, A. Miramontes Serrano, E. Perez, T. Reuning, K. Santacruz, M. Shardow, L. Smith, C. Templeman, V. Valencia, N. Watson, S. Woldai, V. Xu, S. Zverev, C. Huang
 - ii. Members Absent: Y. Yuan, R. Zohar, L. Zumpano

II. Land Acknowledgement of the Gayogohó:no (Cayuga Nation)

a. Cornell University is located on the traditional homelands of the Gayogohó:nq' (the Cayuga Nation). The Gayogohó:nq' are members of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy, an alliance of six sovereign Nations with a historic and contemporary presence on this land. The Confederacy precedes the establishment of Cornell University, New York State, and the United States of America. We acknowledge the painful history of Gayogohó:nq' dispossession and honor the ongoing connection of the Gayogohó:nq' people, past and present, to these lands and waters.

III.Open Microphone

a. No speakers present at open microphone.

IV. Approval of the Minutes

- a. April 8, 2021
 - i. Motion to approve the April 8th minutes approved Unanimous Consent
- V. New Business
 - a. There was a motion to amend the agenda to begin discussion on the SAIFC Proposal regarding a Rain Garden.
 - i. Motion to amend the agenda amended
 - b. SAIFC Proposal Rain Garden
 - i. A. Lampert explained that this is a proposal for the funding for a rain garden outside of Corson Hall that has been in the works since the Fall 2020 semester led by Dr. Nina Bassuk.
 - ii. L. Smith stated that the site where the rain garden is going to be is currently just grass ad it will be very excited to see its transformation in conjunction with class being taught on it.
 - iii. C. Huang asked where on campus this will be located.
 - 1. L. Smith stated it is near Plant Science and the Agricultural Quad.
 - iv. V. Xu asked how many students this will actually impact as it \$12,000.
 - 1. A. Lampert stated that it is actually around \$9,000. The classes that have been working on it are around 20 students, but they have been working on this project for a long time. They've done a lot of



research which has shown that rain gardens have been very impactful on college campuses.

- 2. L. Smith explained that additionally is will be featured on a map of spots on campus that are environmentally designed and will be an attraction to visitors.
- v. Motion to vote on the proposal approved 20-0-2
- c. SA R41: Disengaging Cornell from the War in Yemen
 - i. Abstract: This resolution calls for an end to Cornell's relationship with Saudi Arabia and arms manufacturers involved in war crimes in the War in Yemen.
 - ii. J. Mullen explained this resolution builds on the presentation about the War in Yemen last SA meeting and includes support from several professors and faculty and the Arab Student Association at Cornell.
 - iii. L. Abd Elmagid stated that this is very good initiative that J. Mullen did extensive work and research on. It ties into the growing theme of asking Cornell to be transparent with its engagements and uphold its ethical standards in every partnership.
 - iv. L. Smith asked if the administration has responded to Resolution #39.
 - 1. C. Huang stated that the administration has not responded to Resolution #39.
 - v. A. Miramontes Serrano expressed support for the resolution but stated that the Engineering communities have expressed concern over the employers being singled out.
 - 1. J. Mullen stated that the four companies singled out in the resolution have been committing war crimes by providing weapons to Saudi Arabia.
 - 2. M. Shardow explained that Cornell students could still apply for jobs at those companies, they just would not be allowed to recruit on campus.
 - vi. A. Gleiberman asked if there has been discussions where potentially other funding could come from for diversity programs, as many of these programs are funded by these companies.
 - 1. J. Mullen stated they have not directly brought this specific question up with the College of Engineering, but perhaps the funds that are



already provided by companies that are more ethical could be prioritized.

- 2. L. Abd Elmagid stated that this question is more for administration rather than the organizers. It is up to administration to reevaluate their partnerships and make sure they are ethical.
- vii. L. Smith asked if there were any precedents on donations being publicly disclosed and redirected to another location.
 - 1. J. Mullen explained this demand came from the professors that called upon the university to have transparency.
 - 2. L. Smith asked if it would be more fitting to just not accept those funds in the first place.
 - a. J. Mullen stated that part of disclosing previous donations would be advocating to not accept these donations. There is no justification to take these funds now.
- viii. M. Baker asked what the four companies being discussed are.
 - 1. J. Mullen stated that the four companies are BAE, Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin, and Boeing.
 - ix. L. Smith asked if this would continue after the conflict is resolved.
 - J. Mullen stated that, following the guidelines established by Resolution #39, these practices would be held up as long as Saudi Arabia continues with other human rights abuses and unethical practices.
 - x. There was a motion to amend line 80 in Resolution #41 to state Resolution #39.
 - 1. Motion to amend Resolution #39 amended
 - xi. V. Xu stated that when discussing Resolution #39, there were parallel discussions going on in the Faculty Senate and asked if the Faculty Senate is planning to discuss this as well.
 - 1. J. Mullen stated that currently they are not discussing it, but in 2018 there was more momentum around it. If you look on the cosponsor page of the resolution, there are several cosponsors who are also in the Faculty Senate.
 - 2. V. Xu asked how the administration responded to the efforts in 2018.
 - a. J. Mullen stated this was mentioned in the last meeting, but administration did not respond.
- xii. M. Baker stated they have had discussion with Engineering students on their perspective on how beneficial job fairs are in general and how we can diminish the negative impacts of this resolution. M. Baker expressed concern over first-generation students whose parents are not engineers and don't know much about these companies and job opportunities in general.
 - 1. L. Abd Elmagid stated that many questions being asked are very similar. Additionally, the focus should be on the positive impact that



> Cornell would not be complicit in the killing of millions of people and the manufactured famine that is starving children.

- 2. T. Reuning asked for representatives who are not members of marginalized communities or first-generation communities to not speak for them, as many who are actually members of those communities would rather students do not go work for these companies and contribute to the war crimes being committed.
- 3. L. Contreras reaffirmed T. Reuning's statements and stated their community would care more about a genocide occurring than four companies coming to a job fair.
- 4. E. Perez emphasized the previous statements and that this is bigger issue.
- xiii. Motion to vote on Resolution #41 passed 17-1-3
- d. SA R42: Adding Mental Health Checks to the Daily Check
 - i. This resolution calls for the addition of a mental health and wellbeing related question on the Daily Check Portal to better connect students with mental health resources during the COVID-19 pandemic.
 - ii. A. Ononye stated that this resolution focuses on adding Mental Health checks to the Daily Check portal. This is obviously a temporary fix to the mental health challenges faced on campus, but hopefully would provide an increased opportunity for students to seek help and identifying people in need and care on campus. It does not automatically sign a student up for a CAPS session, but it gives students access to mental health resources on campus they may not have known existed.
 - iii. Motion to vote on Resolution #42 passed 20-0-1
- e. There was a motion to amend the agenda to include Resolution #43.
 - i. Motion to amend the agenda amended
- f. SA R43: Demanding Cornell Implement a University-Wide Slip Day System
 - i. Abstract: This resolution calls on Administration to create an academic policy that grants each student 10 slip days to be used at their discretion in each enrolled course per semester.
 - ii. N. Watson stated this resolution is calling on administration to implement a new university wide policy allowing for slip days in every academic class. The Information Science Department currently has this policy for slip days,



which act as extensions that do not require the student to ask the professor for them.

- iii. K. Butler asked if they apply for exams, homework, and all assignments and for more clarification on what a slip day is.
 - 1. N. Watson stated that a slip day is a one-day extension on an assignment and generally applies to any assignment except for prelims and finals.
- iv. L. Smith stated that this resolution was reviewed by committee today and asked if they would consider tabling until next week to allow people to read through it.
 - 1. N. Watson stated that this resolution was born out of the events of the past week and it would look favorably on approving this today as it is beneficial for all students.
- v. K. Santacruz asked if the Information Science Department gives out 10 slip days.
 - 1. N. Watson stated that 10 is kind of an arbitrary number and is open to suggestions, but 10 is the standard.
 - 2. K. Santacruz if it 10 per semester or 10 per class.
 - a. N. Watson explained it is 10 per class.
- vi. J. Bansah asked if there is a timeline for when administration would approve this to move forward and if it applies to seven-week courses.
 - 1. N. Watson stated that if it approved by the SA today, C. Huang will convey this to the President as a recommendation for administration. There are also avenues through the Faculty Senate they can go through. In regard to seven-week courses, it would most likely be half the number so 5 slip days.
- vii. T. Reuning expressed support for this resolution as it would assist all students, especially first-generation students and other marginalized communities that are shown to be less likely to ask for extensions.
- viii. L. Smith stated it may be helpful to work directly with the Academic Policy Committee.
 - 1. N. Watson thanked L. Smith for their input and stated they will work the committee to add pressure to administration to get this approved.
- ix. S. Kapoor stated they are a member of the Academic Policy Committee and expressed their support for the resolution. S. Kapoor asked if there is any



plans of speeding this resolution up, as it tends to take a very long time to implement academic policy change.

- 1. N. Watson stated they do not have a timeline, but President Pollack must respond with 30 days of receiving the resolution.
- x. Motion to vote on Resolution #43 passed 19-0-1

VI. Adjournment

a. C. Huang adjourned the meeting at 5:32pm (EST).

Respectfully Submitted, *Ciara Shanahan* Clerk of the Student Assembly



Originally Presented on:	(04/01/2021)
Type of Action:	Recommendation
Status/Result:	New Business

1	S.A. Resolution #44
2	Petition for Better Lighting on Cornell Owned Student Dormitories
3 4 5	ABSTRACT: Call for action on insufficient dormitory light and subsequent poor student academic performance.
6 7	Sponsored by: Andrea Miramontes Serrano '24
8 9 10 11	Whereas, currently some of the Cornell provided on campus housing has insufficient lighting for students to perform optimally in their studies, and
12 13 14	Whereas, Cornell University sets high academic standards for its students, demanding excellence inside and outside of the classroom, and whereas Cornell University's number one priority is to facilitate the learning and scholastic thriving of its students,
15 16 17 18 19	Whereas, the significant coursework delivered requires high concentration, which in itself demands set of work conditions that enable such concentration. Without the proper lighting, however, some students find themselves incapable of focusing,
20 21 22	Whereas, with the novel Coronavirus pandemic, online instruction has further confined students to their personal dormitories, thus increasing students' susceptibility to cabin fever, frustration, or subsequent poor academic performance, and hence augmented the need for an optimal, private work environment,
23 24 25 26 27 28	Whereas , the places on campus can qualify as well-lit private rooms, and whereas the few that do have limited time access or are often unavailable because 1) their success, 2) the high student population in need of such study spaces,
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Whereas, most communal and quiet, on-campus study spaces – such as libraries – have closing hours and limited time availability. When students are asked to leave a study space without having planned ahead, they are likely to find no near options available, and thus lose significant amounts of time looking for an alternative study space. If in the end they do not find an area to concentrate, they will return to their dormitories, where they may be even more incapable of concentrating and hence be prone to even more frustration,
36 37 38 39	Whereas, the Mental Health Review Committee concluded in their April 2020 Final Report that there is a need to increase student autonomy to satisfy the University's high academic standards, and whereas the dependency on outside study spaces directly contradicts such ambitions,



40	
41	Whereas, students living on insufficiently lit dormitories pay the same housing bill as their luckier
42	peers in well-lit rooms, yet must allocate extra resources on purchasing their own personal
43	lighting, and
44	
45	Whereas, oftentimes these purchases include but are not limited to string lights, spider lamps or
46	light bulbs that exceed the recommended wattage for lamps, which violate the Fire Safety
47	Guidelines ¹ yet are still used by students for their effective lighting, or
48	
49	Whereas, the extra cost of acquiring lamps is not covered by Financial Aid and can signify enough
50	of a financial impediment for students to avoid acquiring the needed lighting, and thus
51	making these students study under lower conditions than their luckier or more resourceful
52	peers,
53	
54	Whereas, if Cornell University provided dormitories lacking sufficient lighting with a previously
55	approved, effective lamps, then 1) fire security related threats would decrease, 2) students
56	would feel more productive fulfilling academic work in their rooms and would have less
57	concerns to distract them from performing well in their classes.
58	XX7 74 .1 1 1 .1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
59	Whereas, these changes need not be exceedingly laborious, as the university has already succeeding
60 61	in installing effective lighting systems and hence knows which ones are proper for
62	concentration. The university can thus purchase additional, secure and luminous lamps and place those in dormitories alongside the pre-incorporated ones.
63	place mose in dominiones alongside the pre-incorporated ones.
63 64	Whereas, the most imminent, specific complaints have been placed on the Dormitory of Clara
65	Dickson Hall, where students are only given one desk lamp and the light incorporated in
66	their desk shelves,
67	then desk sherves,
68	Be it therefore resolved, that Cornell University Housing will begin supplying its dormitories with
69	the necessary lighting for student academic success, starting with the older, less lit residences
70	but aiming for simultaneity among all Cornell owned housing if possible.
71	but animing for omitatumenty among an control owned notating in poolotote.
72	Be it further resolved, that additionally Cornell University will revise its library closing hours,
73	allowing some to open 24h before the Final Exam season of this Spring 2021 semester.
74	
75	Be it further resolved, that the action taken should be sufficient for the issue of insufficient lighting
76	not to be brought up again, as Cornell University's predominant goal is ensure student

¹ Information about Cornell University's Fire Security Guidelines can be found <u>here</u>.



77	success, and a prestigious, resourceful institution such as Cornell University should not have
78	to have its Student Assembly petition for such a thing.
79	

80 Respectfully Submitted,

81

84

82 Andrea Miramontes Serrano '24

- 83 Freshman Representative, Student Assembly
- 85 (Reviewed by: Executive Committee, 3-1-2, 04/21/2021)



Originally Presented on:	(04/01/2021)
Type of Action:	Recommendation
Status/Result:	New Business

1	S.A. Resolution #45
2	Petition to Renew Housing Amenities
3 4 5 6	ABSTRACT: Demand to renew outdated facilities in on-campus residences, specifically targeting communal kitchens and bathrooms.
7 8	Sponsored by: Andrea Miramontes Serrano '24
9 10 11	Whereas, Cornell University is directing funds to build more modern housing facilities while there are pre-existing residence halls with lacking or outdated installations,
12 13	Whereas , Cornell University owned housing rates are more costly than apartment rentals ¹ , yet often do not provide better accommodations, as is the case of the older residence halls,
14 15 16 17 18	Whereas, frequented communal spaces constitute a part of the student's living environment and highly contribute to the students' social experiences, which are crucial for their mental wellbeing
19 20 21 22 23	Whereas, regarding communal kitchens, there is an increasing amount of students who rather cook their meals, and thus partly depend on kitchen amenities for their nutrition, and whereas in both usual and in current circumstances, students cook as a recreational, community-fostering activity
24 25 26 27 28	Whereas, the Mental Health Review Final Report published in April 2020 identified student feedback suggesting that finances are a major source of stress, and whereas primary factor when dropping Cornell Dining's meal plan is not necessarily convenience but the cost and financial advantage of cooking one's own food, and
29 30 31 32 33	Whereas, said report also identified student feedback suggesting a desire for multicultural competency, and likewise further concluded that "Progress in providing students with equitable access to and experience with the abundant offerings of the institution must accompany Cornell's progress in cultivating a more diverse student body.", and
34 35 36 37	Whereas, alongside with seeking inexpensive experiences, Section B of said report also disclosed the need for promoting social connectedness and resilience, and whereas Cornell University aims its public living centers to foster such qualities and experiences

¹ Comparison: \$1200 per month as opposed to an average of \$1000 per month for the location in College town closest the University.



38	Whereas, obsolete amenities are less likely to be used, and will therefore likely fail to facilitate
39	student encounter or fail to host the development of the tight, diverse communities that students
40	need to feel accepted and enjoy their college experience
41	
42	Whereas, obsolete amenities and lack of enjoyable living spaces are also major factors of students'
43	deciding to live off-campus,
44	
45	Whereas, in some cases the obsolescence of the kitchen equipment and amenities causes
46	malfunction, and - for the common example of sinks - result in unpleasant incidents such as clogs
47	and odors,
48	
49	Whereas, some residence halls also have obsolete bathrooms that could be renovated to improve
50	students' living conditions and match the installations of the newer residences, and
51	
52	Whereas,
53	
54	Be it therefore resolved, that Cornell University renew and re-emphasize the importance of
55	communal amenities in its on-campus housing, particularly with regards to bathrooms and
56	kitchens, with the additional task of reequipping the latter.
57	
58	Be it further resolved, for future housing projects, more abundant and attractive communal spaces
59	be strategically located to foster community growth and student encounter, likewise aiming
60	for larger kitchens.
61	
62	Respectfully Submitted,
63	
64	Andrea Miramontes Serrano '24
65	Freshman Representative, Student Assembly
66	
67	(Reviewed by: Executive Committee, 3-1-2, 04/21/2021)



Originally Presented on:	(03/29/2021)
Type of Action:	Recommendation
Status/Result:	New Business

1	S.A. Resolution #46
2	Petition for University owned residence security
3	
4 5	ABSTRACT: This resolution calls for action on the growing issue of theft and offence in University- owned residence halls.
6	
7 8	<u>Sponsored by</u> : Andrea Miramontes Serrano '24
9	Whereas, regarding the issue of food theft, an increasingly large part of the student body has
10	downgraded or discarded their meal plan and therefore relies partially or completely on
11	communal kitchens.
12	
13	Whereas, Cornell university cares for the mental and physical wellbeing of its students, and
14 15	acknowledges the weight of financial matters in student's living decisions (MHR Report,
15 16	April 2020), and the decision to cancel dining meal plans are often tied to students' finances,
17	Whereas, said report also highlights the importance of balancing Cornell University's demand for
18	academic excellence with non-curricular experiences, and whereas large body of students
19	cook in the University's owned residences for recreational purposes or as community
20	fostering activities,
21	
22 23	Whereas, Cornell University has enabled progress towards the food independence of its student body by reopening <i>Annabel's</i> subsidized, student-run grocery store, yet does not fully provide
23 24	a convenient environment to cook for on-campus residents,
24 25	
26	Whereas, the increasing theft reports, out of which a concerning percentage regards the
27	disappearance of food and kitchen supplies even when said goods were appropriately labeled
28	is preventing students from engaging in such experiences and activities,
29 30	Whereas, one possible response to the issue of food theft could be to reserve one fridge per fixed
30 31	amount of residents – as it has been established with pandemic restrictions – while
32	implementing locks to ensure said fridges are only accessed by the corresponding students,
33	yet
34	
35	Whereas, the communal kitchens in Cornell University's residences do not accommodate enough
36 27	fridges for this system to be viable, as the current amount ranges between 1 and 3 fridges per
37 38	kitchen, and whereas providing too many student access to the same fridge would virtually equate not having any system at all, heighten the risk of other, unauthorized students also
39	using the fridges - i.e. peers without granted access to the kitchen.

Cornell University Student Assembly ⁽²⁾ **www.CornellSA.org** Stay Informed. Get Involved. Make a Difference.



40	
41	Whereas, implementing security cameras could be more cost-effective than possibly another option
42	replicating West Campus' restricted kitchen pantry system as it also combats other security
43	issues faced on gathering spaces by not only avoid consistent theft but also enable
44	identifying both offenders and offences in the event of misbehavior,
45 46	With a many the set of the set of the the set of the the set of th
46 47	Whereas, there has been an increasing debate on the University's response to security threats, and
47	Whereas, Cornell University Housing should have already implemented a security system to avoid
49	or respond to such incidences on Cornell University owned housing property.
50	or respond to such meddlices on comen oniversity owned nousing property.
51	Be it therefore resolved, that Cornell University will begin to implement security camera systems
52	Cornell owned housing property, focusing on public spaces where communal food storage is
53	located (i.e. kitchens and lounges).
54	
55	Respectfully Submitted,
56	
57	Andrea Miramontes Serrano '24
58	Freshman Representative, Student Assembly
59	
60	(Reviewed by: Executive Committee, 3-1-2, 04/21/2021).