

## **Cornell University Student Assembly**

Agenda of the Thursday, April 23rd, 2020 Meeting 4:45pm via Zoom https://cornell.zoom.us/j/115376253

#### I. Call to Order & Roll Call

### II. Approval of the Minutes

- a. 2/6/20 Meeting Minutes
- b. 2/20/20 Meeting Minutes

### III. Open Microphone

### IV. New Business

- a. S.A. Resolution #62: Establishment of the Students with Disabilities Representative At-Large
- b. S.A. Resolution #63: Increasing the Grace Period on Cornell University Loans
- c. S.A. Resolution #64: Amendments to the Class Councils and Senior Days Governing Documents
  - i. Combined Class Councils and Senior Days Constitution
- d. S.A. Resolution #65: Office of the Student Advocate
  Observations and Recommendation on Community Life

### V. Adjournment



## **Cornell University Student Assembly**

Minutes of the Thursday, February 6th, 2020 Meeting 4:45-5:27pm in the Memorial Room of Willard Straight Hall

#### I. Call to Order & Roll Call

- a. J. Anderson called the meeting to order at 4:45 pm.
- b. Roll Call:
  - Present: M. Adeghe, J. Anderson, M. Baker, C. Benedict, A. Cass, U. Chukwukere, J. Clancy, O. Egharevba, J. Feit, M. Haddad, C. Huang, J. Kroll, Y. Li, G. Martin, N. Matolka, I. Pavlov, T. Reuning, L. Smith, P. Solovyeva, N. Watson, B. Weintraub, K. Wondimu, V. Xu, J. Youngblood, Y. Yuan
  - ii. Absent: L. Ordonez (excused), S. Sun (excused); S, Xu (excused)

#### II. Announcements

- a. L. Smith announced that the next Collegetown Community Council meeting will be held February 18th at 4:30 PM at St. Luke's Church (next to Collegetown Bagels)
- b. O. Egharevba stated that he would like to give a presentation on I-clickers at the next SA meeting
- c. N. Matolka stated that healthcare meetings are held from 5pm-6pm on Wednesdays
- d. G. Martin informed the Assembly that requests forms for the Research and Accountability Committee are available via the link in the Slack channel and he would work to get the link added to the committee website
- e. J. Anderson stated there will be committee audits to ensure composition is accurate and members are active

### III. Approval of the Minutes

- a. January 30th, 2020
  - i. Motion to approve the January 30th minutes approved 21-0-1.

### IV. Open Microphone

a. No speakers at the open microphone.

#### V. Presentation

- a. Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion Colin Benedict
  - i. Cornell: A Land Grant Institution

#### VI. New Business/Business of the Day

- a. Resolution 26: Changing the "Women's Issues Representative At-Large" position to the "Womxn's Issues Representative At-Large"
  - i. This resolution is proposed in an attempt to be as inclusive as possible

- ii. N. Matolka inquired as to why this term was chosen over "Women Identifying"?
- iii. T. Reuning responded that the "x" is the most inclusive term
- iv. G. Martin stated that it was chosen due to some individuals not identifying and it would make more sense for the most inclusive term
- v. There was a motion to table until February 13th, 2020 meeting tabled 22-0-1

#### VII. Adjournment

a. J. Anderson adjourned the meeting at 5:27 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,
Wendy Treat
Senior Coordinator, Office of the Assemblies



## **Cornell University Student Assembly**

Minutes of the Thursday, February 20th, 2020 Meeting 4:45-6:43pm in the Memorial Room of Willard Straight Hall

#### I. Call to Order & Roll Call

- a. C. Huang called the meeting to order at 4:45 pm.
- b. Roll Call:
  - i. Present: M. Adeghe, M. Baker, C. Benedict, J. Clancy, O. Egharevba, J. Feit, C. Huang, J. Kroll, Y. Li, N. Matolka, I. Pavlov, T. Reuning, S. Sun, L. Smith, N. Watson, B. Weintraub, S. Xu, V. Xu, J. Youngblood, Y. Yuan
  - ii. Absent: J. Anderson (excused), A. Cass (excused), U. Chukwukere (excused), M. Haddad (excused), G. Martin (excused), P. Solovyeva (excused), K. Wondimu

#### II. Announcements & Presentations

- a. Student Assembly Elections
  - i. I. Pavlov asked for those interested in the Womxn's Issues seat to reach out to her at <a href="mailto:iap29@cornell.edu">iap29@cornell.edu</a>.
  - ii. L. Zheng noted that the Elections Calendar has been amended. She clarified that the Elections Calendar is determined by the Director of Elections and the Office of Assemblies.
    - 1. There will be an information session on February 27, 2020 after the S.A. meeting.
    - 2. The registration deadline is now extended to Monday, March 2, 2020.
    - 3. The mandatory campaign meeting will be held on Tuesday, March 3, 2020.
  - iii. J. Clancy asked for an explanation for the cause of the quick turnout that led to the announcement being so close to the beginning of the campaign period.
    - 1. L. Zheng responded that the communication process was delayed. The situation was not ideal and it is something they will work towards improving in the future.
- b. Vice President for Diversity and Inclusion C. Benedict gave the following updates:
  - i. Wet'suwet'en Nation/Coastal GasLink Pipeline
    - 1. C. Benedict gave an overview of the situation in Northern British Columbia. Native American and Indigenous Students at Cornell (NAISAC) has issued a call for support. He explained that the Coastal GasLink company is trying to construct an oil pipeline through the sovereign territory of the Wet'suwet'en people. The Canadian Royal Mounted Police has been violently arresting protestors, tearing down blockades, and intimidating people to clear a

path for pipeline construction. In solidarity, many First Nations around Canada have blockaded railroads. NAISAC is holding a drive to collect goods to support the Wet'suwet'en blockade in Ontario. He asked those able to donate items from a provided list to contact him. Supplies are to go to Ontario late Friday night or early Saturday morning.

- ii. Diversity Innovation Funding approval
  - 1. C. Benedict noted the approval of the \$1,500 request from the Pan-African Students Association for its "This Africk" fashion show.
- c. O. Egharevba: Increasing S.A. Transparency Using Electronic Voting
  - i. O. Egharevba gave a background of the initiative to use electronic voting. The rationale is to increase the S.A.'s profile as a student organization and further build trust with the people it serves. He gave an overview of his initiative process and three problems with the current voting system. First, the voting system does not scale, and it will become increasingly difficult to count votes accurately if the S.A. becomes larger in the future. Second, low attendance at most S.A. meetings mean that many of their votes are not known. People know how the S.A. voted, but not how individual representatives voted. Third, it encourages representatives to vote with the majority even if they have a different opinion.
  - ii. O. Egharevba noted that the initial solution was to vote using iClickers and publish results on the S.A. minutes and website. However, with using iClickers, votes are not displayed at the time of meetings. People will have to look at next week's agenda or meetings for the results. There are also questions of how to handle roll call votes and how to conduct secret ballots. There was also no specific timetable for implementation and it caused fatigue among the S.A. In addition, he stressed the need to provide more benefits that justify the monetary and nonmonetary costs.
  - iii. O. Egharevba shared a solution to use specialized clickers that could be bought from Meridia Interactive Solutions. The clickers and software would be provided for them with no recurring fees. The application would enable votes and the speakers list to be displayed in real time. It would also make attendance-taking easier. There is also a fully customizable design, and they could potentially put the S.A. branding on it. The total cost would be \$1275, including 28 clickers, 1 USB receiver/base station, shipping, and a \$250 discount. The next steps would be to determine how to integrate the clickers with current S.A. rules, figure out where to place the screen, and introduce a resolution that would make logistical changes and secure funding to buy the equipment. He would like to determine how to integrate this with SA procedures and rules after February Break. By the end of March, there would be a resolution.
  - iv. J. Feit commented that S.A. funds could be better spent on things including new microphones, speaker system, and website updating. He stated having trouble seeing the benefit of the clickers other than counting votes, and foresees substantial technological difficulties.

#### III. New Business

a. S.A Resolution #38: Approving Special Projects Request for Black Students United

- i. M. Adeghe introduced the resolution. The \$5,000 request from Black Students United to travel to the National Museum of African American History in Washington, D.C. over February Break was approved by the Appropriations Committee. It will be a 24-hour trip and is open to the public.
- ii. Motion to move the Resolution #38 to Business of the Day approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
- iii. Motion to vote on Resolution #38 approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
- b. S.A. Resolution #39: Approving Special Projects Request for Smart is Strong Foundation
  - i. M. Adeghe introduced the resolution and clarified that it does not need to be voted on to be approved. It can be voted on if the S.A. is trying to overturn it. The resolution approves \$500 of Special Projects funding to the Smart is Strong Foundation in assisting with the funding for the International Women's Day Conference.
- c. S.A. Resolution #40: Reversing the Special Projects Funding Decision for Cornell Fashion Collective
  - i. M. Adeghe introduced the resolution to reverse the Special Projects Funding decision of \$2,000 for Cornell Fashion Collective as it will be receiving funds from SAIFC.
  - ii. Motion to move the Resolution #40 to Business of the Day approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
  - iii. Motion to vote on Resolution #40 approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
- d. S.A. Resolution #41: Creating an ad-hoc Committee for Comprehensive Housing Policy Review
  - i. C. Huang introduced the resolution to create an ad-hoc committee to deal with housing policy. She noted there are a lot of issues with housing and the resolution would create a channel for students to go to. There will be two cochairs and six members—two of which will be from the S.A., two of which will be from the community and two of which will be from the Residential Student Congress.
  - ii. Motion to move Resolution #41 to Business of the Day approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
  - iii. Motion to vote on Resolution #41 approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
- e. S.A. Resolution #42: Reducing the Number of Petition Signatures Needed for Certain At-Large Positions
  - i. T. Reuning introduced the resolution to reduce the number of petition signatures for certain at-large positions, including Womxn's Issues Representative, Minority Liaison, LGBTQIA+ Liaison, First Generation Student Representative, and International Students Liaison. These positions represent marginalized communities, which are already smaller on campus. T. Reuning shared that when he was running, he had to out himself as transgender in order to obtain signatures.
  - ii. Y. Yuan shared concerns over line 39 stating the amendment will be effective immediately as the election rules have already been released. This change in the Bylaws may create confusion among petitioners.

- 1. C. Huang noted that the resolution would not constitute a Bylaws or Charter change, but rather a change to the election rules. It could be communicated through discussion with the Office of Assemblies.
- 2. G. Giambattista noted that they would need to ensure that everyone has the information to participate.
- iii. B. Weintraub recognized that he is not a member of any marginalized community on campus. His concern speaks to the change it would make to the Womxn's, Minority, International, and First Generation Student positions. By reducing the number of signatures required, there may be an idea created that these positions may require less of a commitment or participation, or reduced qualifications. It may send a message of not having to reach out to as many people. He suggested creating a separate resolution for the LGBTQIA+ Liaison seat and then voting separately.
  - 1. M. Adeghe responded that there are a lot of seats that require 75 or less signatures. She has never been under the impression that there is a correlation between number of required signatures and qualifications.
  - 2. L. Zheng raised a point of information. All of the college seats, except the Arts & Sciences Representative seat, requires 75 signatures. She agreed with M. Adeghe's point.
- iv. Y. Li stated that it may be unfair to change the rules. He proposed to have the resolution amended to be effective beginning the next election cycle,
- v. M. Adeghe asked for a straw poll of "effective immediately" versus "effective in the Fall" to gauge whether it would be a hindering factor in approving the resolution.
- vi. J. Feit stressed the importance of having the resolution passed and be effective immediately so they can continue to have a diverse assembly that accurately represents the student body. He encouraged retaining the resolution as written.
- vii. O. Egharevba urged the importance of getting individuals from marginalized communities into student government. He shared concerns with changing the requirements after the start of the election.
- viii. O. Egharevba made a motion to amend line 29 to replace "effective immediately" to "effective Fall 2020."
  - 1. Motion seconded.
  - L. Zheng indicated there is a second information session occurring soon and she has the Net IDs of everyone who attended the first session. It could help with getting out the information more quickly.
  - 3. Dissent from M. Adeghe and T. Reuning. M. Adeghe said their mission with this resolution changes with this amendment. T. Reuning said they want to increase accessibility immediately.
  - 4. Vote to vote on the amendment approved with a vote of 12-8.
  - 5. V. Xu asked if the college enrollment numbers have historically remained the same. It seems that A&S enrollment has historically decreased while enrollment with other colleges have largely remained the same. She has not seen issues in the past about not having enough people running.

- 6. I. Pavlov stated that adding this amendment feels like using an institutional barrier as a scapegoat for acting out of immediate change.
- 7. Y. Yuan said this would not be fair to other candidates.
- ix. Motion to move this resolution until after the S.A. Resolution #30 panel approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
- x. Motion to amend the agenda to move S.A. Resolution #30 before S.A. Resolution #41 approved with a vote of 18-0-1.

#### IV. Business of the Day

- a. S.A. Resolution #30: Urging Cornell University to Contribute Financial Support for the Summer 2020 Student Contribution Pilot Program
  - i. This resolution seeks to support waiving the Student Contribution Fee for low-income students pursuing non-paid summer opportunities over the summer.
  - ii. Panelist J. Davidoff introduced himself as a first generation, low-income student. He is the son of a single mother and is the first of his family of refugees to be born in America. He supported abolishing the Student Contribution Fee and implored the S.A. to pass this resolution.
  - iii. Panelist Mark identified himself as an undocumented student. He shared that his sister was previously admitted to Cornell but unable to attend due to financial limitations and an undocumented status. The Student Contribution Fee would have been too much. Ten years later, he is the first person from his school to go to an Ivy League institution and the second individual in his large family to attend college. He shared the hardships of sometimes not being able to eat and having no place to live. In addition, his work adds to the stress of academics.
  - iv. Panelist Brianna introduced herself as a junior. After deciding to attend Cornell, she worked five part-time jobs in high school to afford the Student Contribution Fee. After taxes, she was making about \$6/hour. She shared that she is tens of thousands of dollars in student debt. She currently works three jobs and struggles to pay the Student Contribution Fee. She identified her educational influences on her younger siblings as an intense burden.
  - v. Panelist Marlena introduced herself and shared that during the second semester of junior year, her mother fell and broke her leg. They then discovered she had terminal cancer. She took a leave of absence to take care of her mother and support her family. As a working class student, she feels that the Student Contribution Fee is a barrier to education. She shared that it was a struggle to work during the summer to afford the student contribution.
  - vi. Panelist identified herself as a senior and shared that her mother was diagnosed and passed away last April. Being able to work during the summer and pay the student contribution fee is not the case for all students. She is currently working two jobs. There are many contributions that her low-income peers do at Cornell that is not monetary.
  - vii. SA member thanked the panelists for sharing their stories and taking the time to come. She asked for some examples of opportunities the panelists could take if they could have the pilot program installed.

- Panelist Rachel shared that last summer, she wanted to work for a
  union in justice-oriented work. She was able to get some funding, but
  it did not cover lost wages. While she was able to take on the
  experience with financial support, it was still challenging because
  there were things she missed out on or could not do in her
  community.
- viii. T. Reuning made a call to the question approved with no dissent.
- ix. Motion to vote on Resolution #30 approved with a vote of 19-0-0.

#### V. Recess until 6:15

#### VI. Continued: New Business

- a. Continued discussion on Resolution #42.
  - i. I. Pavlov supported the resolution as a way to support intersectional feminism on campus.
  - ii. S. Sun recommended scaling the number of required signatures to be reflective of the number of the members in the community. The international and first-gen communities are smaller and proportionally scaling the required number of signatures might be a stronger justification.
    - 1. M. Adeghe said they do not have those numbers and may not need or want to. The numbers may also be difficult to obtain for some communities.
  - iii. J. Feit said he wanted a better understanding of what reservations some individuals may have. He feels there is no downside to enacting the resolution immediately.
  - iv. M. Adeghe asked for a straw poll on whether S.A. members are comfortable with voting now.
    - 1. 6 members noted they are comfortable with voting.
    - 2. 11 members noted they would support the resolution as is.
    - 3. 7 members noted they would support the resolution if the amendment is passed.
  - v. Motion to move the resolution to Business of the Day approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
  - vi. Motion to vote on the resolution.
    - 1. S.A. member made a dissent. He has a question he would like to ask.
    - 2. Motion failed with a vote of 8-9-1.
  - vii. J. Clancy said he will vote for the resolution as is without the amendment. However, the communication should have been made clearer. It is not equitable to bring this up after the information session was held. This should not happen in the future.
  - viii. L. Smith agreed with J. Clancy. He acknowledged it is a great resolution but it could hurt the credibility of the S.A. He asked for a committee to look into setting the number of seats based on proportion.
  - ix. M. Baker said she does not feel the reduced number for the Womxn's Representative seat is accurate given that women make up 53% of population. She suggested having two resolutions.

- 1. A. Adeghe responded she is not comfortable with having two resolutions. She noted the power dynamics and that womxn are not equal.
- b. Motion to extend the meeting by 10 minutes approved with a vote of 18-0-1.
  - i. B. Weintraub asked why the resolution was not brought up earlier.
    - 1. SA member responded that they had their first spring meeting this Sunday. They did not want to wait to introduce this the next cycle and continue disenfranchising people.
  - ii. O. Egharevba said he is not opposed to accessibility. However, he noted that the timing was controversial.
  - iii. A. Adeghe made a motion to vote approved with no dissent
  - iv. Motion to vote on Resolution #42 approved with a vote of 12-4-2.
- c. Statement of Solidarity with Not Again SU approved 18-0-0
  - i. C. Benedict introduced a statement of solidarity with the Not Again SU movement at Syracuse University. The movement is protesting the lack of university response and Chancellor Kent Syverud's lack of approving all of the demands that were listed by the Not Again SU movement last semester. He explained that students occupied a building and were all immediately suspended by the university.
  - ii. J. Feit noted that the things transpiring at Syracuse University are disgusting representations of the hatred in this country. The right to protest is the only way things get done in this county and he supports these protests. He urged S.A. members that they have an obligation to stand up for those who do not have that privilege.
  - iii. Motion to vote approved with no dissent.
  - iv. Motion to approve the statement of solidarity with Not Again SU approved with a vote of 18-0-0.
- d. Motion to extend the meeting by 5 minutes approved with no dissent.

#### VII. Continued: Business of the Day

- a. S.A. Resolution #31: Amending the Student Assembly Charter to Create a Ticket System for the President and Executive Vice President Election
  - i. Motion to table the resolution to February 27, 2020 tabled with a vote of 16-0-1.
- b. S.A. Resolution #33: Changing The Name of the "LGBTQ+ Liaison At-Large" Position to "LGBTQIA+ Liaison At-Large"
  - i. SA member made a motion to amend line 85 to add "queer" after "transgender" resolution amended with a vote of 17-0-1.
  - ii. Motion to vote approved with no dissent.
  - iii. Motion to vote on Resolution #33 approved with a vote of 17-0-1.

#### VIII. Adjournment

a. Meeting adjourned at 6:43 pm.

Respectfully Submitted, *Catherine Tran* Clerk, Office of the Assemblies



1

28

29

Originally Presented On	04/23/2020
Type of Action	Internal Policy
Status/Result	New Business

S.A. Resolution #62

Establishment of the Students with Disabilities Representative At-Large 2 3 ABSTRACT: This resolution is intended to increase representation and accessibility to Cornell undergraduates with disabilities on the Cornell Student Assembly 4 5 Sponsored by: Uche Chukwukere '21, Conan Gillis '21, Joseph Anderson '20 6 Whereas, The Cornell Student Assembly is charged with examining matters of interest to the 7 undergraduate student community, and making proposals to the appropriate officers or decision-8 making bodies of the University. 9 10 Whereas, The Cornell Student Assembly has legislative authority over the policies of the Office 11 of the Dean of Students and the Department of Campus Life, and establishes the undergraduate 12 Student Activity Fee and guidelines for its distribution 13 Whereas, Accurate and fair representation on the Cornell Student Assembly should be the highest priority at all times 14 15 Whereas, The most marginalized communities should have utmost priority and assurance that they will be fairly and accurately represented on a governing body such as the Cornell Student 16 17 Assembly Whereas, Cornell University is committed to diversity and inclusiveness with the goal of 18 19 providing an accessible, usable and welcoming environment for all Cornell community 20 members, including those with disabilities 21 Whereas, Students with disabilities at Cornell University deserve and should be afforded the 22 same rights and privileges of all members of the Cornell community and provided equitable 23 representation on governing bodies with the legislative ability to affect all undergraduate 24 students 25 Whereas, There is no representation for students with disabilities on the Cornell Student 26 Assembly 27 Whereas, There is currently necessary representation for other marginalized affinity groups on

the Assembly and there should be a continual push for the members of the Assembly to increase

access and representation of communities in which they do not identify with

- 30 Whereas, The Cornell Union for Disability Awareness is an alliance of people with disabilities 31 and allies interested in celebrating our culture and making an impact on and off campus. 32 Whereas, According to Article IV: Membership, Section 1: Composition, 184 - 205: two at-large seats are to be reserved for candidates seeking to represent minority students; one 33 at-large seat is to be reserved for candidates seeking to represent international students; one at-34 35 large seat is to be reserved for candidates seeking to represent women's issues in relation to the broader Cornell community; one at-large seat is to be reserved for candidates seeking to 36 represent First Generation College students; and one at-large seat is to be reserved for candidates 37 38 seeking to represent the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer community.
- **Whereas,** The following schools receive representation on Cornell's Student Assembly:
  - 1) College of Architecture, Arts and Planning, with 503 undergraduate students represented 20 by 1 voting member as of Fall 2018;
  - 2) College of Arts and Sciences, with 4,602 undergraduate students represented by 3 voting 23 members as of Fall 2018;
  - 3) College of Human Ecology, with 1,221 undergraduate students represented by 1 voting 26 member as of Fall 2018; 27
  - 4) School of Industrial and Labor Relations, with 977 undergraduate students represented 29 by 1 voting member as of Fall 2018;
  - 5) College of Engineering, with 3,203 undergraduate students represented by 2 voting 32 members as of Fall 2018;
  - 6) School of Hotel Administration, with approximately 870 undergraduate students 35 represented by 2 voting members as of Fall 2018;
  - 7) College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, with approximately 3,100 undergraduate 38 students represented by 2 voting members as of Fall 2018;
- Be it therefore resolved, the position of Students with Disabilities Representative At-Large
   should be an established, voting, full-fledged seat on the Cornell Student Assembly
- Be it finally resolved, the following amendments be made to the Cornell Student AssemblyCharter
- 58 Respectfully submitted,

60 Uche Chukwukere '21

61 Undesignated Representative At Large, Student Assembly

63 Conan Gillis '21

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

51

52 53

59

62

65

64 President, Cornell Union for Disability Awareness

66 Joseph Anderson '20

67 President, Student Assembly



Originally Presented on:	(4/23/2020)
Type of Action:	Legislation
Status/Result:	New Business

1	S.A. Resolution #63
2	Increasing the Grace Period on Cornell University Loans
3	
4 5	ABSTRACT: This resolution recommends that Cornell University extend the grace period for Cornell University loans.
6 7 8	Sponsored by: Joe Anderson '20
9 10 11	Whereas, Cornell University provides university loans to students as part of their financial aid package;
12 13 14	Whereas, these loans do not accrue interest throughout their time at Cornell nor requires payment or accrue interest in the 6 months post-graduation;
15 16	Whereas, the COVID-19 Pandemic has caused financial struggles across our economy;
17 18 19	Whereas, some graduating students have struggled to find a job, are at risk at having their offer rescinded, have had their offer rescinded, or have had their start dates significantly pushed back;
20 21 22	Whereas, this puts immense pressure on these students to pay back any loans and interest, as they might not have a source of income;
23 24 25	<b>Whereas,</b> payment and interest accrual on Cornell University loans for graduating students will not start until January 1, 2021;
26 27 28	<b>Whereas,</b> some students might not have a stable stream of income at that time due to the fact that the COVID-19 Pandemic has unpredictable effects, due to a potentials second wave;
29 30 31	<b>Be it therefore resolved,</b> that Cornell University extends the grace period by 6 months for a full grace period of 12 months;
32 33 34 35	<b>Be it further resolved,</b> that if Cornell University is not able to unilaterally extend the grace period, that students can individually petition to extend the grace period on a case-by-case basis as January 1, 2021 comes closer;
36 37 38	<b>Be it finally resolved,</b> that if one of these programs are set up that the University Bursar makes the program aware to students.
39 40	Respectfully Submitted,
41	Joe Anderson '20
42	President, Student Assembly
43	(Reviewed by: Executive Committee, 5-0-0, 4/21/2020)



Originally Presented on:	04/20/2020
Type of Action:	Byline Report
Status/Result:	New Business

1	S.A. Resolution #64
2	Amendments to the Class Councils and Senior Days Governing Documents
3	
4	ABSTRACT: This resolution affirms changes that were made to the CC and Senior Days Bylaws to
5	merge the two into one organization.
6	
7 8	Sponsored by: Moriah Adeghe '21
9	Whereas, Class Councils and Senior Days has requested to change their charter,
10	
11	Whereas, amendments to constitutions of by-line funded organizations must be approved by the
12	Student Assembly,
13 14	<b>Be it therefore resolved,</b> that the Student Assembly approve the attached changes.
15	be it therefore resolved, that the student Assembly approve the attached changes.
16	Respectfully submitted,
17	
18	Moriah Adeghe '21
19	Vice President for Finance, Student Assembly
20	411 11 411 11 1 6 11 04/20/2020
21	Approved by Appropriations Committee, 04/20/2020
22	

#### Article 1. NAME

The name of this organization shall be the Cornell University Class Councils. Each undergraduate Class Council shall also have a distinct name of "The Class of 20XX Council."

#### **Article 2. PURPOSE**

The Cornell University Class Councils will serve as a community-building organization focused on forging unity among the University's undergraduate classes and varied student communities. The Class Councils will serve to develop and maintain Cornell traditions, and shall strengthen student ties to the University before and after graduation.

#### Article 3. MEMBERSHIP

#### **Section 1.** Requirements for Active Membership

- **3.1.a** All members of the respective four undergraduate classes are encouraged to participate as an active member of their Class Council. All undergraduate students are eligible to apply to join the Class Councils regardless of sex, race, gender, color, religion, ability, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, and national or ethnic origin.
- **3.1.b** Any person desiring an active membership in their respective Class Council shall become an active member upon the appointment through an interview/application process that was open to the entire class.
- **3.1.c** Members, who were active in the previous academic year, shall be considered active at the start of the next academic year unless they are not a registered student of the class. Students who are participating in Cornell sponsored off-campus programs shall be considered an active member upon their completion of the program and their return to Cornell's main campus.

#### **Section 2.** Loss of Active Member Status

- **3.2.a** Any member, having unexcused absences from three regularly scheduled meetings or four excused absences from regularly scheduled meetings in an academic semester, shall lose their status as an active member of their respective Class Council and any position he or she held will be considered vacant. Members can regain their active member status through attending outreach events on campus; for every outreach event one unexcused absence will be forgiven.
- **3.2.b** The member in question will have one week to appeal his or her status and must send the appeal to the standing Internal Affairs Committee. The Internal Affairs Committee will have two weeks to meet, consider the appeal, and make a decision regarding the appeal.
- **3.2.c** Failure of any officer to remain an active member shall result in immediate dismissal from office.

#### **Article 4. CLASS OFFICERS**

#### Section 1. Executive Board Membership

4.1.a The Executive Boards of each Class Council shall consist of the six Class Officers: President, Executive Vice President, Vice President of Administration, Vice President of Finance, Vice President of Public Relations, and Vice President of Internal Affairs.

#### Section 2. Procedures for Dismissal of Officers

**4.2.**a In the event an officer fails to meet the minimum performance or conduct requirements for office, the executive board shall meet without the officer in questions and

decide whether or not to issue a written warning to said officer. Upon receiving the warning, a timeline for improvement will be set.

- 1. If the President fails to meet the requirements of his/her office, the Director of Class Council must give permission to initial the dismissal process.
- **4.2.b** Any active member of the Council may request that the President issue a warning to any officer, and the President shall preside at his/her discretion with the input of the Director of Class Councils. Any active member may request that the Director of Class Councils issue a warning to the President and the Director shall proceed at his/her discretion.
- **4.2.c** Failure of said officer to improve within the set timeline will result in a written censure from the President and Director of Class Councils. The entire Class Council will be notified of the censure. The officer in question will have one week to prepare an explanation for the Council as to why he/she has continued to fail to meet the requirements of his/her office.
- **4.2.**d After giving the officer in question an initial warning and written censure, the active members of the Council may hold a vote to dismiss the officer. The dismissal vote must reach a two-thirds majority.
- **4.2.e** The officer may appeal the Council's decision to the Class Council Internal Affairs Committee within one week after receiving verbal and written notification of the Council's decision. The appeal must be in writing and submitted to the Director of Class Councils to be reviewed in accordance with Article VI Section 3.
- **4.2.f** Upon dismissal or resignation of any Officer, a letter from the President and Director of Class Councils or the Internal Affairs Committee (if involved) explaining the circumstances be kept on file. The officer being dismissed/resigning also has the option of providing an explanatory letter to keep on file.

#### Section 3. Procedure for Replacement of Vacant Elected Positions

- **4.3.a** Upon the vacancy of the Presidency, the Executive Vice President shall become President.
- **4.3.b** If any elected position on the Executive Board is left vacant at any time the position is to be filled by an application/interview procedure open to active Class Council members.
  - (1) The specifics of the application and/or interview procedure are to be determined by the current executive board.
  - (2) After applications and/or interviews are complete, a candidate may be appointed by a 2/3 vote of the current executive board.

#### **Article 5. OTHER ROLES**

#### **Section 1.** Ad-Hoc Committees and Event Chairpersons

**5.1.a** Committees may be formed as the need arises. Any active council member can chair these committees. Chairpersons will be appointed on a volunteer basis, by an interview process, or by the Class Council President.

#### **Section 2. Selection of Convocation Chair**

After a notification of the position to the entire class, the Executive board of the Sophomore Class Council and three elected sophomores of the Student Assembly shall interview and select the Convocation chairperson during the spring semester of the sophomore year.

#### Section 3. Senior Class Council Committees

- **5.3.a** After a notification of available chair positions to the entire class, the Executive Board-elect of the following year's Senior Class shall interview and select Senior Committee Chairpersons immediately after being elected.
- **5.3.b** Senior Chairpersons are needed for Zinck's, Commencement, and Senior Week
- **5.3.c** The Executive Board-elect will have discretion in determining how many individuals will chair or co-chair each committee.
- **5.3.d** See Appendix A, "Senior Class Council Chairs and Committees", for individual senior committee chairperson eligibility criteria, requirements, and responsibilities.

#### **Article 6. ELECTION PROCEDURES**

#### **Section 1.** Eligibility and Petitioning Procedures

- **6.1.a** Any active Council member is eligible to run for the Presidency in the class of which he/she is a constituent. Candidates must plan to be a full-time registered student for the length of the term of office.
- **6.1.b** All undergraduates running for President must submit a petition of fifty signatures of constituents in the class they intend to represent. Said petition must be submitted by a deadline set by the Director of Class Councils and the Class Council Internal Affairs Committee.
- **6.1.c** In order to qualify to run for President, candidates must have maintained active member status for the full prior semester. Candidates who were participated in a Cornell-sponsored off-campus program must have maintained active member status during the semester prior to his/her program. Candidates must attend the election meeting in the spring semester prior to running. A student wishing to run in elections while participating in a Cornell-sponsored off-campus program will be subject to slightly modified rules for campaigning.
  - 1. Such a student may appoint one person residing on the Ithaca campus to serve as his/her proxy for elections, including completing all necessary elections materials and campaigning on the candidate's behalf while adhering to all elections rules.
  - 2. Students with meeting conflicts may request an exception from the Class Officer Internal Affairs Committee.

#### **Section 2.** Voting Procedures

- **6.2.a** All undergraduates are eligible and should be given equal opportunity to vote for the President of the Class Council they are constituents.
- **6.2.b** The President shall be elected by a plurality vote.
- **6.2.c** If no individuals submit a petition to run for the President of a class or all candidates are deemed ineligible to run for the Presidency, candidates will be nominated from active Class Council members.
- **6.2.d** A printout of election results shall be available in the Office of Undergraduate Class Councils for one year, but disqualified candidates will not have their vote tallies made available.

#### Section 3. Class Officer Internal Affairs Committee

- **6.3.a** Any member of the Senior Class Council who has previously run in a Class Council election, regardless of whether or not he or she has held, or currently holds, an elected position, will be eligible for the position of Internal Affairs Committee Chair. Active members of all Class Councils will vote for an Internal Affairs Committee Chair at the penultimate meeting of the spring semester. The candidate who wins a majority of the vote from active Class Council members in attendance shall serve as the Chair of the Class Council Internal Affairs Committee. The Director of Class Councils shall serve as an exofficio member of the Election's Committee. The Internal Affairs Committee Chair will appoint members to the Internal Affairs Committee in whatever way he or she sees fit. Every effort should be made to have equal representation across the class years.
- **6.3.b** The Class Council Internal Affairs Committee shall establish the formal rules and procedures governing Class Council elections. The jurisdiction of the election committee shall be restricted to this document.
- **6.3.c** If there is a conflict of interest between a member of the Class Council Internal Affairs Committee and the person appealing, another member from that Class Council will be asked to represent said Council on the Class Council Internal Affairs Committee.
- (1) The Committee member with the conflict of interest will not be permitted to observe and give comments on the appeals process, and will not be permitted to participate in the final decision.
- **6.3.d** The Chair shall ensure that the committee is completing the following tasks:
  - (1) Ensuring the greatest number of candidates apply for each available position
  - (2) Work with candidates to make them aware of the elections rules and ensure that they abide by all relevant policies.
  - (3) Hold office hours throughout the elections process to provide an outlet for candidates to ask questions and meet with Committee members.
  - (4) Working with the Director of Class Councils to ensure all candidates have submitted all necessary documents
  - (5) Ensuring every effort to achieve the highest voter turnout for the election.
- **6.3.e** The Class Council Internal Affairs Committee and the Director of Class Councils shall set the annual timeline for elections.
  - 1. In addition, the annual timeline for elections must include a set date where election materials, such as descriptions of positions, will be provided to all members in the semester prior to the election.
  - 2. The Class Council Internal Affairs Committee and the Director of Class Councils shall also set a date for a public presentation of the candidates. At this public forum, the Internal Affairs Committee will oversee the introduction of the candidates as well as facilitate a question and answer discussion between the candidates and the members of the undergraduate population.
- **6.3.f** The Election Committee will not have access to the preliminary results prior to and during challenge deliberations. The Office of Undergraduate Class Councils will release election results from the challenged races after the Internal Affairs Committee has validated the election results.
- **6.3.g** The Class Council Internal Affairs Committee shall review any petition or election challenges filed by candidates.

#### **Section 1.** Affiliation

**7.1.a** The Cornell Bus Program shall be directed by and affiliated to the Class Councils. All revenue raised by the Cornell Bus Program shall be deposited into the Class Councils' bank account, and shall be appropriated to Class Councils and its affiliated programs by a consensus of the acting Vice President of Finances, Senior Days Chair, Convocation Chair for the four years.

#### Section 2. Structure

- **7.2.a** Any major changes to the structure of the Cornell Bus Program, the appropriation of its revenue, or reporting structure within the Cornell administration must be approved by a two-thirds vote of active Class Councils members.
- **7.2.b** The student Bus Program Director shall report to the Senior Class President.

#### Article 8. THE DIRECTOR OF CLASS COUNCILS

#### Section 1. Responsibilities and Powers of the Class Councils Director

- **8.1.a** The Class Councils Director shall have the duties of advising the Class Councils, in addition to acting as the liaison between the Class Councils and the Cornell administration.
- **8.1.b** The Class Councils Director may suggest changes to the operations of Class Councils. If the acting Class Presidents believe it is necessary, the Class Councils Director may bring the matter to a vote of the 4 class executive boards. If at least one half of active executive board members vote in favor of the matter, the Class Councils Director may then enact the change.
  - 1. If the change in question conflicts with the Class Councils Constitution, then the Class Councils Director must find a sponsor among the active members to put forward a constitutional amendment, and the amendment must be adopted in accordance with Article 9 of this document.

#### Section 2. Process for Selecting a New Class Councils Director

- **8.2.a** When it becomes necessary to select a new Class Councils Director, the acting Class Presidents must select one active member to join the final interviews (2nd phone interview and on campus interviews) and if possible have a seat within the selection committee.
- **8.2.b** The administration will allow the Class Council Student Representative to offer feedback and input to the selection committee (if not apart of the committee) before the job listing is posted, and will seek the student's input on the job description and listed responsibilities.
- **8.2.c** The Class Council Student Representative shall be informed throughout the screening, reviewing, and selection processes and be able to offer input at each stage. When the new Class Councils Director is chosen, they shall welcome the new Director to the Cornell Class Councils.
- **8.2.d** Before the selection for a new Class Councils Director begins, the Class Presidents must work with the administration to identify an interim Class Councils Director who shall serve as the Class Councils Director until a new Director is chosen. It is important to have an Interim Director due to purchasing, university events (Senior Days, Convocation Speaker, etc.), and approval of event registrations.

#### **Article 9. AMENDMENTS**

#### Section 1. Process

- **9.1.a** Any active member may propose an amendment to the Class Councils Constitution.
- **9.1.b** Within three regularly scheduled meetings after previous notice has been given, all four councils shall discuss and vote on the proposed amendment during their regularly scheduled meeting. Previous notice shall consist of announcing the proposed amendment in writing at a regularly scheduled Class Council meeting.

#### Section 2. Adoption

- **9.2.a** A two-thirds vote of the active members shall be required for the adoption of any proposed amendments.
- **9.2.b** Pending approval by each Class Council Executive Board, amendments shall be referred to the Student Assembly for ratification.

#### APPENDIX A - SENIOR CLASS COUNCIL CHAIRS AND COMMITTEES

#### **Article 1. ELIGIBILITY AND REQUIREMENTS**

#### Section 1. Eligibility and Requirements

- **1.1.a** Applicants must be a member of the Junior Class at time of selection (except for Convocation Chair which requires a Sophomore.)
- **1.1.b** Applicants must be available on campus throughout the entirety of their term in office in order to fulfill their duties.
- **1.1.c** All chairpersons are immediately required to become active members of the Class Council.

#### Article 2. SENIOR CHAIRPERSON RESPONSIBILITIES

#### Section 1. Commencement Chair

- **2.1.a** The Commencement Chair is responsible for student representation at activities related to the Commencement Ceremony including:
  - (1) Represent the Senior Class at the University Commencement Committee.
  - (2) Write a letter to all Seniors to be included in the December and March graduation information packets for May Commencement.
  - (3) Work with the Commencement Coordinator and Director of Class Councils to plan and implement January Graduation in December.
  - (4) Coordinate, plan, and implement the annual "Senior Info Fair" in March of Senior year.
  - (5) All other duties as assigned by the Commencement Coordinator.

#### Section 2. Convocation Chair

- **2.2.a** Convene a meeting of Class representatives to serve as a Selection Committee. This committee is to be comprised of: all active members of Class Council at the time the committee is formed, elected members on the Student Assembly at the time the committee is formed and those fifteen members selected by the Convocation Chair and Class President. Other individuals may be appointed by the Dean of Students or the Vice President for Student and Academic Services with consultation of the Convocation Chair.
- **2.2.b** Invite, via email, members of the class to be part of the Convocation committee.
  - (1) Fifteen members from the class will be selected, by the Convocation Chair and Class President, from the pool who show intent by responding to the emailed invitation.
  - (2) Selection of these fifteen members from the class will be based on representing the diverse interests of the class. The selection process shall be transparent to all members of the Cornell community, with the Convocation Chair and Class President directly accountable for those selected.
- **2.2.c** The Selection Committee meetings shall be conducted in confidentiality and any member of the committee who breaches this confidentiality shall be removed from the committee.
- **2.2.d** Responsible for organizing the Convocation Ceremony, including the following:

- (1) Working with the Selection Committee to establish a list of potential speakers and make initial contacts with agents during the fall semester of Junior year.
- (2) Confirm with the Special Events office that a room at the Statler Hotel has been reserved for the Convocation speaker.
- (3) Have a confirmed acceptance of our invitation by the end of Junior year to insure a speaker for Convocation.
- (4) After the speaker has been confirmed, make reservations and travel arrangements for his/her arrival.
- (5) Work closely with the Vice President for Publicity and the Cornell News Service to ensure timely, accurate, and adequate publicity of the ceremony and biographical information on the speaker.
- (6) Make arrangements for a breakfast with the speaker and a news conference before Convocation for just the Executive Board and the speaker, as well as, a reception following Convocation for the speaker, the Class Council, the Selection Committee, their families, and invited guests (appropriate administrators and faculty based on the speaker.)
- (7) Make all arrangements for the speaker after Convocation until his/her departure.
- (8) Send a personal thank you to the speaker after Convocation from the Class Council.
- (9) Make a reservation for next year's Convocation Reception.

#### Section 3. Senior Week Chair(s)

- **2.3.a** Organize and coordinate a week-long program of approximately 55-85 activities to be held during the week prior to Commencement.
  - (1) Also responsible for promoting and developing funds for Senior Week activities through Class Council funds and Zinck's support.
- **2.3.b** Select, train, and oversee the Senior Week chairs/committee as a whole.
- **2.3.c** Chair and facilitate all Senior Week meetings.
- **2.3.d** Facilitate the inputting of all Cornell Card charges during Senior Week ticket sales.
- **2.3.e** Put together all Chaperone folders for Senior Week events.
- **2.3.f** Work with the Director of Class Councils to create, maintaining and keeping within budget for each event, Senior Week overall, and all additional fiscal matters.
- **2.3.g** Fundraise as needed to increase the overall Senior Week Budget
- **2.3.h** The following responsibilities are to be divided among the Senior Week Chairpersons and/or delegated to Event Chairs:
  - (1) Coordinate all publicity for Senior Week events and ticket sales
  - (2) Organize buses and box lunches for each event as needed.
  - (3) Designing and printing the Senior Week T-shirts
  - (4) Coordinate online ticket sales/reservations for all Senior Week ticketed events.
  - (5) Coordinate staffing of the Class Council Office during ticket sales.
  - (6) Create tickets and other forms necessary for ticket sales, as well as coordinating and purchasing all supplies needed for ticket sales/distribution.

- (7) Train all individuals involved in ticket sales.
- **2.3.i** Make reservations for all of Willard Straight Hall for next year's Senior Week.

#### **Article 3. SENIOR DAYS COMMITTEE**

#### Section 1. Purpose- The purpose of this club shall be:

- **3.1.a** To promote and develop the relationships of Cornell University's graduating class.
- **3.1.b** To engage in fun and safe events meant to engage seniors in cooperation with other resources on campus.
- **3.1.c** To ensure a diverse and inclusive environment that allows each and every Cornell senior to enjoy before graduation.

#### Section 2. Membership

- **3.2.a** Membership shall be open to members of any Cornell class as long as said student is with good standing with the university and is a member of Class Councils.
- **3.2.b** Senior Days was a separate committee for several years before the 2020-2021 academic year. As Senior Days merges back into Class Councils, the most ideal form of membership for students who were on the separate Senior Days committee will be to join Class Councils in order to participate. If this is not an option for an individual, they may be allowed to assist with Senior Days in a different capacity. This will be at the discretion of the Senior Class Council, the Senior Days Co-Chairs, and the Class Councils Advisor and will be handled on a case-by-case basis.

#### Section 3. Meetings

- **3.3.a** Senior Days shall be an agenda item on all Senior Class Council meetings throughout the year. Additional need for meetings will be discussed by the Co-Chairs and the Class Councils Advisor.
- **3.3.b** The Co-Chairs and Advisor may choose to host separate weekly or bi-weekly meetings as needed in order to prepare for large group meetings.
- **3.3.c** The Co-Chairs shall have the authority to appoint any special committees, with the approval of the Faculty Advisor, from time to time as need demands. These special committees will meet outside of Class Council meetings to work on project assignments.



Originally Presented on:	04/23/2020
Type of Action:	Legislation
Status/Result:	New Business

1	S.A. Resolution #65
2	Office of the Student Advocate Observations and Recommendation on Community
3	Life
4 5	
6	ABSTRACT: This resolution is intended to put forth policy recommendations stemming from
7	casework collected by the Office of the Student Advocate and in acknowledgement of existing
8	policies at companion Universities to Cornell.
9 10	Sponsored by: Liel Sterling '21, Uche Chukwukere '21, Anuli Ononye '22, Natalia
11	Hernandez '21, Cat Huang '21 ,Deborah Nyakaru '20, Gavin Martin '20, Moriah Adeghe
12	<b>'21</b>
13 14	Whomas the Office of the Student Adviceste was founded in Fell 2010.
15	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate was founded in Fall 2019;
16	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate was founded to address student needs and help
17	students advocate for themselves at all levels of the University;
18 19	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate dedicated three months to studying campus
20	policies and procedures;
21	
22 23	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate dedicated the 2019-2020 Academic Term to
23 24	meeting with the administration and faculty about existing policies;
25	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate dedicates a director specifically to focus on
26	Student and Campus Life;
27 28	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has been accepting cases from students since the
29	Fall of 2019 through the present date;
30	
31 32	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has been logging and documenting casework since our creation in Fall of 2019;
33	our creation in Pan of 2019,
34	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has been noting aspects of campus policy that we
35	might be able to alter in order to improve the student experience and ensure equity in disciplinary
36 37	procedures;
38	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate examined and analyzed policies and procedures
39	across companion universities to understand how Cornell's policies compare;
40 41	Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has specifically logged cases brought forth because
42	of lack of basic diversity and inclusion trainings for officials involved in community standard
43	procedures;



**Whereas,** the Office of the Student Advocate has specifically logged cases that could have clearly benefited from restorative justice and mediation measures;

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has specifically logged cases that highlight misconduct from advisors and a lack of tangible recourse when the advisors violate student trust;

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has specifically logged case that would have benefited from a more empathetic model from counselors advising codes procedures;

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has specifically logged cases in which parties to a code violation expressed interest in having an undergraduate student serve in a counseling role;

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in baseline restorative justice approaches, such as, the University of Pennsylvania has instituted the following programs: "Restorative Circles, Conflict Coaching, Mediation, Restorative Conferences, Workshops on Communication and Conflict Resolution skills, and Workshops on Restorative Circles. These services are available to all members of the Penn Community who seek to build community, or manage/resolve conflict. Additionally, restorative practices are considered by the Office of Student Conduct when resolving violations of the Code of Student Conduct when appropriate.";

 Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in baseline restorative justice approaches, such as, the University of Michigan provides Adaptable Conflict Resolution (ACR) in addition to Formal Conflict Resolution (FCR) as a restorative justice measure. ACR includes methods such as Conflict Coaching, Facilitated Dialogue, Restorative Justice Circles, Shuttle Negotiation, and Social Justice Mediation;

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in increased student involvement in conduct procedures, such as, the University of Michigan has a student "Advisor Corps." According to the University, "these advisors will be available to any student party involved in Statement proceedings (excluding mediations). All potential peer advisors in the Advisor Corps must successfully complete training provided by OSCR. OSCR will develop and conduct training in consultation with CSG."

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in increased student involvement in conduct procedures, such as, the University of Virginia's Judiciary Committee, composed of a First Year Committee, Educators, Investigators, and Counselors, is entirely student-run. Their constitution states that "To be eligible to be a candidate for election to the Judiciary Committee an undergraduate student must have completed three semesters of work toward a degree while graduate students must have completed one semester of work unless the student is enrolled in a one-year degree program. Each candidate for election shall be a fulltime student and in the process of completing at least two full semesters of work in their particular school. No student on probation may be a candidate for election. If after election a member of the Committee is placed on probation their office shall become vacant.";



Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in baseline restorative justice approaches, such as, Brown University ingrains restorative justice approaches within their Code of Conduct, including "restorative circles, restorative conferences, restorative board hearings, addressing conflict, repairing harm, and building community.";

**Whereas,** the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in increased Diversity and Inclusion Trainings for any parties involved in the code of conduct procedural process, such as, in 2018, Brown University assessed their Diversity and Inclusion procedures and published a Diversity and Inclusion Action Plan to address work that needs to be done;

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in increased student involvement in conduct procedures, such as, Columbia University allows the respondent "to be accompanied to any meeting or hearing related to an incident of misconduct by the advisor(s) of his/her choice... Advisors may include but are not limited to family members, friends, counselors, therapists, clergy, attorneys, academic advisors, professors, and administrators.";

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in increased student involvement in conduct procedures, such as, Dartmouth College states in their policies and procedures that "Students responding to disciplinary allegations heard by the COS are entitled to have a single advisor present at their hearing. Students who report sexual misconduct or physical violence have the same right to an advisor. Students must identify their advisor to the JAO in writing. Only currently enrolled Dartmouth students, members of the Dartmouth faculty, and members of the Dartmouth administration may serve as advisors.";

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in baseline restorative justice approaches, such as, Dartmouth College has developed restorative justice approaches called its "Principles of Community." Dartmouth states that "In [certain contexts], there are responses which are more effective and more in keeping with the aspirations of an academic community: expressions of disapproval in the exchange of different ideas through free and open discussion and debate, mediation, or other restorative processes.";

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in baseline restorative justice approaches, such as, Princeton University offers Informal Procedures as a restorative justice measure to violations of the Code of Conduct. According to their 2019 Rights, Rules, and Responsibilities, "The University encourages open and honest communication between members of the community. Most conflicts and differences of opinion between members of the University community can be resolved by the individuals directly confronting issues and jointly exploring alternatives. In addition, there are a variety of resources available to individuals who may be called upon to assist in informal conflict resolution.";

Whereas, the Office of the Student Advocate has taken interest in increased student involvement in conduct procedures, such as, Princeton University states regarding their hearing procedures that, "The student may be accompanied at the committee hearing by an adviser, who must be a



132 current member of the resident University community, and who may participate in the same 133 manner as the student in the hearing." 134 135 Whereas, Cornell University, in comparison with companion universities, is behind on its 136 adoption of community standard procedures that include the undergraduate student voice; 137 138 Whereas, the Student Assembly has the unique opportunity to consider student input based on 139 tangible casework data and take a stance on crucial procedural and policy changes; 140 141 Whereas, student members of the Cornell Judicial Codes Committee see a need for the undergraduate voice in the development of new codes procedures and policies; 142 143 144 Whereas, the attached procedures only pertain to student conduct, and not the conduct of faculty 145 and staff; 146 147 Be it therefore resolved, that the Student Assembly acknowledges the careful collection of data 148 and analysis completed by the Office of the Student Advocate in the 2019-2020 Academic 149 Term: 150 151 **Be it therefore resolved**, that the Student Assembly endorses the change of the Campus Code of 152 Conduct to reflect the procedures included in the attached appendix to this resolution; 153 154 **Be it therefore resolved,** that the Student Assembly requests acknowledgement from the 155 University Assembly and Board of Trustees that the attached procedures are specifically what 156 students want; 157 158 **Be it therefore resolved,** that a copy of this resolution with attached appendix is posted on the 159 Office of Assemblies website for public comment/feedback; 160 161 Be it therefore resolved, that any inaction by levels of government consisting of non-student 162 representatives shows a lack of regard for the student voice; 163 164 Be it finally resolved, that the University should adopt the attached procedural changes to the 165 Campus Code of Conduct and Community Standards; 166 167 Respectfully submitted, 168 169 Liel Sterling '21 170 Student Advocate 2019-2020, Office of the Student Advocate 171 172 Uche Chukwukere '21 173 Undesignated Representative At Large, Student Assembly President, Multicultural Greek and Fraternal Council 174 175



176	Anuli Ononye '22
177	Student Advocate 2020-2021, Office of the Student Advocate
178	, Jo
179	Natalia Hernandez '21
180 181	Director of Student and Campus Life, Office of the Student Advocate
182	Deborah Nyakaru '20
183	Parliamentarian, Student Assembly
184	1 ar name war, swaem 11ssemery
185	Gavin Martin '20
186	Vice President of Research and Accountability, Student Assembly
187	Arts & Sciences Representative, Student Assembly
188	
189	Cat Huang '21
190	Executive Vice President, Student Assembly
191	
192	Moriah Adeghe '21
193	Vice President for Finance, Cornell Student Assembly
194	Minority Students Liaison-at-Large, Cornell Student Assembly
195	•
196	(Reviewed by: Executive Committee, 5-0-0, 4/21/2020)
197	
198	
199	
200	
201	
202	
203	
204	
205	
206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211 212 213 214	



215 **Code Procedures** 216 217 1 INTRODUCTION 218 These procedures apply when a student is alleged to have violated the Cornell Student Code of Conduct 219 ("Code"). Although these procedures incorporate certain principles associated with the legal system (such 220 as fair process protections), the Code is at its foundation a set of behavioral standards embracing teaching 221 and learning opportunities, whenever possible, to foster personal development and accountability. 222 Cornell's goal is to provide a safe environment for all members of the University community, to teach and 223 educate students regarding appropriate conduct, and to address misconduct when it occurs. 224 These procedures establish a process for University administrative review, and do not seek to replicate 225 substantive or procedural legal rules. Neither the Rules of Civil Procedure nor Rules of Evidence apply to 226 these procedures, though principles of fairness and predictability inherent in such rules inform and 227 provide guidance. These procedures are to be implemented in conjunction with the Code, where key 228 definitions and authority (including jurisdiction) are described. The administrative bodies that manage 229 and perform under these procedures are described in Section 2. 230 2 ADMINISTRATION OF THE CODE AND PROCEDURES 231 2.1 The Office of Student Conduct and Community Standards 232 The Director ("Director") of the Office of Student Conduct and Community Standards ("OSCCS") 233 manages the student conduct system and cases arising out of the Student Code of Conduct ("Code"). The 234 Director receives and ensures proper investigation and adjudication of alleged violations of the Code, or 235 of any other regulation as the University President or Board of Trustees may direct. 236 237 The fundamental role of the Director is to ensure that complaints are handled with fairness, integrity, and 238 objectivity for all parties concerned, consistent with the educational and rehabilitative goals of the 239 University's student conduct system. The Director does not prosecute cases against students. The Director 240 shall hire and train competent individuals to undertake careful, fair, and objective investigations of 241 complaints and to serve as chairs of hearing panels. The Director is also responsible for the training and 242 administration of the University Hearing and Review Panel, with the intention of ensuring fair and 243 consistent adjudication, findings of responsibility and as appropriate, imposition of sanctions based on the 244 circumstances of individual cases. Anyone can direct questions about the student conduct system to the 245 OSCCS. 246 247 The Director shall be appointed by and reports to the Vice President for Student and Campus Life. The 248 Vice President shall consult with the Student Assembly ("SA") and Graduate and Professional Student 249 Assembly ("GPSA") prior to the Director's appointment and shall request representatives from the SA 250 and GPSA to serve on the search committee when a new Director is hired. The Director shall provide an 251 annual report to the Vice President, the SA and the GPSA on the operations of the office and of the 252 student conduct system. The Director shall undergo an annual review overseen by the Vice President (or 253 designee). The Vice President shall request and thoughtfully consider feedback from the SA and GPSA as 254 part of that annual review.



#### 2.2 Office of the Student Code Counselors

The Office of the Student Code Counselors is part of the SA's Office of the Student Advocate. Student Code Counselors ("Counselors") provide free assistance and representation to both Complainants and Respondents within the Student Code of Conduct process.

Counselors are not attorneys and do not provide formal legal advice. Within the rules in these procedures governing the participation of non-parties, Complainants and Respondents may utilize Counselors exclusively, in addition to their own legal counsel, or may rely entirely on legal counsel of their choosing. Counselors explain how the student conduct system works and assist and support Complainants and Respondents at every stage of proceedings. The Lead Student Code Counselor ("Lead Counselor"), who manages the Office of Counselors, assigns individual Counselors to serve on individual matters. However, Complainants and Respondents may request specific Counselors, which request shall be honored to the extent practically possible. Complainants and Respondents may also request assignment of a new Counselor for good reason, which request shall be honored if practical and feasible in the discretion of the Lead Counselor. Counselors are required to adhere to strict confidentiality responsibilities and may not discuss a case within the community of other Counselors except as appropriate within the context of applicable procedures.

Each spring, the SA and GPSA, in consultation with the Director, shall select a Lead Counselor to manage the Office of Student Code Counselors for the following academic year from a group of no more than three individuals nominated by the Counselors. The Lead Counselor must be an undergraduate, graduate or professional student, and have previously served for at least two years as a Counselor. The normal term of appointment is one year; however, this individual may be reappointed for a second term. (In the first two years of operation of this new office, the SA and GPSA in consultation with the Director, may appoint any duly qualified person to serve as the Lead Counselor.) The Office of the Student Code Counselors shall create the procedures used in nominating candidates for Lead Counselor.

The SA and the GPSA, in consultation with the Director, shall set relevant qualifications for the Counselors, along with an application, selection and training process to be implemented by the Director. Counselors may be undergraduate, graduate, or professional students and will be appointed by the Lead Counselor from nominations supplied by the SA and the GPSA. To support an empathetic and knowledgeable approach to all conduct proceedings, Counselors will be trained and assigned to assist both Complainants and Respondents. Counselors will recognize that the goals of the Code are focused on education, rehabilitation and accountability, and shall treat parties, witnesses and each other with respect and consideration. Counselors may be reappointed by the Lead Counselor for continued one-year terms so long as their service is deemed exemplary, and they remain students at the University.

#### 2.3 The Hearing and Review Board

The Hearing and Review Board is a group of at least 55 members appointed from nominations submitted by the Student Assembly ("SA"), the Graduate and Professional Student Assembly ("GPSA"), the Faculty Senate and the Employee Assembly. The Board shall include at least 25 students, 15 faculty members, and 15 nonfaculty employees. The Assemblies and Senate shall solicit applications from interested faculty, students and staff on an annual basis and submit them to the Director no later than May 1 of each year. All applications shall be confidentially shared with the Executive Committees of the SA and GPSA for review and evaluation. Together with those committees, the Director shall make appointments. The Director may also make emergency appointments on a temporary basis. No person shall serve on the



300 Hearing Panel and Review Board who is at the same time a member of the SA or GPSA, or is an 301 employee of the Office of the Assemblies. 302 303 Members of the Hearing and Review Board are typically appointed for two-year staggered terms 304 beginning in June 1 of the year appointed. Any appointment to fill a vacancy or to address an emergency 305 shall become effective immediately. The Director shall have the authority, in consultation with the SA 306 and GPSA Executive Committees, to remove a member of the Board if the member is reasonably deemed 307 to not be honoring their commitment to communicate promptly regarding hearings, to serve on panels, to 308 participate ethically in hearings, and otherwise to participate responsibly in this process. 309 2.4 The Panel Chair 310 The Director shall hire a professional Panel Chair to guide Hearing Panel proceedings with appropriate 311 training and expertise to manage technical questions and rulings. The Panel Chair does not vote on 312 individual cases. Instead, the Panel Chair addresses the many procedural and evidentiary matters that arise 313 under these procedures in a fair and consistent manner, across many individual cases and proceedings. 314 The intent of appointing a trained Panel Chair is to afford consistency, fairness and professionalism in all 315 cases proceeding to hearings under the Code. 316 2.5 **University Hearing Panels** 317 A five-person panel of the Hearing and Review Board shall adjudicate cases under the Code. Panels are 318 chosen through a random process but shall be appointed to include three students, one faculty, and one 319 nonfaculty member. 320 2.6 **University Review Panels** 321 A three-person panel of the Hearing and Review Board shall hear appeals under the Code. Panels are 322 chosen through a random process but shall be appointed to include one student, one faculty, and one 323 nonfaculty member. The appointed faculty member serves as the Review Panel Chair. 324 2.7 **Training** 325 Every person serving in an official capacity or role under these procedures shall receive training from the 326 Director or other appropriate university experts appropriate to their position. In addition, training focused 327 on diversity, equity and inclusion that is approved by the Presidential Advisers on Diversity and Equity 328 ("PADE") shall be required on an annual basis. PADE shall solicit the involvement of leaders of diverse 329 and representative groups from the student community to assist in the development and vetting of this 330 training. 331

#### 3 DESIGNATION AS COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT

- 332 These procedures distinguish between Reports and Formal Complaints. A Report of alleged prohibited
- 333 conduct is often the first contact with the OSCCS. A Formal Complaint is filed with the Director in
- 334 writing, and is required for certain processes (commencing with required notifications and investigation)
- 335 to be initiated under these procedures. Any person providing an initial Report or filing a Formal
- 336 Complaint under these procedures will be designated the "Complainant." A person providing an initial
- 337 Report or filing a Formal Complaint of interpersonal misconduct committed against that individual, such



as assault and endangerment, harassment and hazing, is an "Individual Complainant." These procedures afford certain additional rights to Individual Complainants.

University units are expected to designate a specific individual or individuals to serve in the role of institutional Complainant for cases brought under the Code, and to represent institutional concerns regarding potential misconduct. Further, such institutional representatives (for example, from Residence Life or Fraternity and Sorority Life), may provide additional support to Individual Complainants in cases where violations of the Code have potentially affected both individual(s) as well as University concerns.

A student or University-registered organization against whom a Report or Complaint has been made will be designated the "Respondent."

Both the Complainant and the Respondent are referred to as "party" or "parties" throughout these procedures.

#### 4 EFFECTIVE DATE OF THESE PROCEDURES

353 The effective date of these procedures is **[TBD]**.

These procedures will apply in all cases where a Report or Formal Complaint of alleged prohibited conduct is made on or after [TBD].

Where the date of the alleged prohibited conduct precedes the effective date of these procedures or a subsequent update to these procedures, the definitions of prohibited conduct in existence at the time of the alleged conduct will be used.

These procedures, however, will be used to investigate and resolve all Complaints made on or after the effective date of these procedures or subsequent updates to these procedures, regardless of when the conduct occurred.

#### 5 TIME LIMIT TO FILE COMPLAINTS

To promote timely and effective review, the University strongly encourages persons with knowledge of possible violations of the Code to make Reports or file Formal Complaints as soon as possible, preferably within one year of the alleged prohibited conduct. A delay may affect the Director's ability to gather relevant and reliable information, contact witnesses, investigate thoroughly and respond meaningfully, and may also affect the imposition of appropriate discipline upon a Respondent who has engaged in prohibited conduct.

While prompt reporting is strongly encouraged, the Director will accept and review any Report or Formal Complaint that is filed under these procedures as long as the Respondent was a "student," at the time of the subject conduct and remains a "student" as defined by the Code, (e.g., has not graduated or permanently left the University).[1] If the Respondent is no longer a student at the time of the Formal Complaint, and the Director is unable to pursue resolution, that office will assess whether any remedial steps can be taken to address any prohibited conduct or its effects on the Complainant or others. Special circumstances and the University's continued assertion of jurisdiction may apply where a student leaves

the University to avoid a student conduct charge or its disposition.



#### 381 6 COMPUTATION OF DEADLINES

- In computing any time period specified in these procedures, the day of the event, act, or default that
- initiates the period will be excluded.

#### 7 THE RESPONSE TO A REPORT OF PROHIBITED CONDUCT

#### 385 7.1 Initial Assessment

384

- Upon receipt of a Report (or Formal Complaint) alleging that a student or University-registered
- organization has violated the Code, the Director[2] will make an initial assessment of the information and
- work to address any immediate health or safety concerns. Where the identity of an Individual
- Complainant is known, the Director will ensure that the Individual Complainant receives a written
- 390 explanation of available resources and options and is offered the opportunity to meet promptly to discuss
- those resources and options. Where the identity of an Individual Complainant is unknown, the Director
- will assess the nature and circumstances of the Report (or Formal Complaint), including whether it
- provides information that identifies the potential Individual Complainant, the potential Respondent, any
- witnesses, and/or any other third party with knowledge of the reported incident, and the Director will take
- reasonable and appropriate steps to respond to the complaint.

#### 396 7.2 Actions Following Initial Assessment

#### 397 7.2.1 Where the Complainant Seeks Resolution Under These Procedures

- 398 In any case where the Complainant reports prohibited conduct and requests resolution under these
- procedures, the Director will move forward. Initiation of this process requires the Complainant to submit
- a signed, written Formal Complaint, if one has not already been filed.

#### 401 7.2.2 Where the Complainant Requests That No Formal Complaint Be Pursued Under These

#### 402 **Procedures**

- The University will generally honor an Individual Complainant's choice not to file or withdraw a Formal
- 404 Complaint. Where an Individual Complainant declines to participate in an investigation, the Director's
- 405 ability to meaningfully investigate and respond to a report may be limited. However, the University may
- elect, particularly in cases involving threats to personal safety or inherent public safety considerations
- 407 such as interpersonal violence, harassment, assault or hazing, to evaluate whether doing so will
- 408 adequately mitigate the risk of harm to the Individual Complainant or other members of the University
- 409 community. The Director will consider the following factors, among others, when determining whether to
- 410 honor the request that no formal resolution be pursued under these procedures:
- 411 412

416

417

- 1. Whether the Respondent has a history of violent behavior or is a repeat offender;
- Whether the incident represents escalation in prohibited conduct;
- The increased risk that the Respondent will commit additional acts of interpersonal misconduct;
  - 4. Whether the Respondent used a weapon or force;
    - 5. Whether the Complainant is a minor;
- Whether the University possesses other means to obtain evidence such as security footage or other tangible evidence; and



7. Whether available information reveals a pattern of perpetration at a given location or by a particular group.

Regardless of whether an Individual Complainant chooses to file or participate in an investigation of a Formal Complaint, the Director will assist an Individual Complainant with reasonable and available accommodations or interim measures in appropriate cases, with fairness towards and notice to the Respondent. Interim measures such as housing or academic/scheduling accommodations, referrals to counseling, or other supportive or protective measures are designed to advance and balance a number of goals:

- to support and protect the safety and health of the Individual Complainant, the Respondent, the University's educational environment, and the University community;
- · to deter retaliation; and
  - $\cdot$   $\,$  to preserve the integrity of the investigation and resolution process pursuant to these procedures.

The Director may also take proactive steps, such as training or awareness efforts, to address misconduct in a general way that does not identify the Individual Complainant.

#### 7.2.3 Director's Determination that the Complainant's Request(s) Cannot Be Honored

Where the Director determines that the office cannot honor the Individual Complainant's request that no complaint be pursued under these procedures, the Director will promptly initiate the investigation process by filing a Formal Complaint on behalf of the University community. The Director will notify the Individual Complainant that the office intends to proceed and that it will take actions to protect and assist the Individual Complainant, including reasonable efforts to protect the privacy of the Individual Complainant. The Individual Complainant is not required to participate in any proceedings that follow.

#### 7.2.4. Alternative Dispute Resolution and Summary Disposition

It is the intention of the Code to foster a system of that prioritizes accountability, education and the growth of students as responsible community members. For this reason, these procedures enable the Director to handle many reports (or Formal Complaints) in a flexible way to address the alleged misconduct promptly and, in a manner emphasizing education, restorative justice, and rehabilitation where these are appropriate. Towards this end, the Director may also recommend that the parties explore restorative justice opportunities, alternative dispute resolution and/or for any Respondent to undertake voluntary AOD screening, education and prevention programming, at any time, rather than continuing under these Procedures. A Respondent need not accept responsibility for the prohibited conduct in order for such referrals to be made. Similarly, either the Complainant or the Respondent may request to engage in alternative dispute resolution or restorative justice processes at any point in the process. Such a request will be carefully considered by the Director, with opportunity for input from both parties if only one has requested diversion, and granted or denied at the Director's discretion.

The Director also has discretionary authority to resolve charges that do not involve interpersonal misconduct (such as assault and endangerment, harassment and hazing), upon completion of an initial assessment when the Respondent agrees. In such cases, the Director and the Respondent may agree, in writing, to any resolution such as restitution, community service, alcohol or other drugs ("AOD") screening, education and prevention programming, or other remedy for the alleged offense(s). Matters



- involving interpersonal misconduct involve the full involvement of the Individual Complainant under
- Alternate Resolution, Section 14, unless the Individual Complainant has chosen not to participate in the
- 468 proceedings.

#### 8 TEMPORARY SUSPENSIONS

#### 8.1 Temporary Suspensions Pending Resolution

- In consultation with appropriate University officials, the Director or other Presidential delegate [3] has
- discretionary power temporarily to suspend a Respondent pending resolution of the underlying case
- 473 where immediate action is necessary to protect the Complainant or the University community. Temporary
- Suspension may include the withdrawal of any or all University privileges and services, including class
- attendance, participation in examinations, utilization of University premises and facilities, and in the case
- of University-registered organizations, recognition by the University, as determined by the Director or
- 477 designee.

478 479 480

481

469

470

Since the underlying allegation of prohibited conduct has not yet been adjudicated on the merits, a Temporary Suspension may be imposed only when less restrictive measures are deemed insufficient to protect the Complainant or the University community. In determining whether a Temporary Suspension is appropriate, the following factors, among others, should be considered:

482 483 484

486

487

488

489

490

- whether the Respondent has a history of violent behavior or is a repeat offender;
- whether the incident represents escalation in unlawful conduct;
  - whether there are facts indicating a risk that the Respondent will commit additional acts of interpersonal misconduct or violence; and
  - · whether there represents reasonable basis of concern for retaliatory acts;
  - whether there exists reasonable basis for concern over possible harm to the safety of others involved or the campus community generally;
  - · whether the Respondent used a weapon or force.

# 491 492

### 8.2 Review of Temporary Suspensions of Students and Temporary Suspensions of University-

#### 493 **Registered Organizations**

- The Respondent may file a written request to lift the Temporary Suspension with the University Hearing
- and Review Board. The Director may file a response. Three members of the Board (one student, one
- faculty, and one nonfaculty member) shall serve as a Review Panel. The Review Panel will meet to
- 497 consider the request to lift the temporary suspension within five (5) business days of receiving the
- Complainant's request, with exceptions only for extraordinary cause. If the Review Panel determines that
- 499 good cause for the Temporary Suspension is inadequate or absent, that other less restrictive alternatives
- are available, or that circumstances have changed so that the suspension is no longer necessary, the
- Temporary Suspension will be immediately lifted. The Review Panel may simultaneously provide the
- 502 Director with guidance regarding appropriate alternate interim measures, and such alternatives are within
- the Director's continuing authority. The Review Panel's decision is final; there is no further right of
- 504 appeal.

505

#### 9 NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT OF DIRECTOR'S ACTIONS



The Director will inform the Complainant and the Respondent of any actions undertaken that will directly affect either party, including the filing of a Formal Complaint.

# 10 NOTICE TO PARTIES OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

- 509 Upon receipt of a Formal Complaint, the Director will notify the Complainant and the Respondent, in 510 writing, of the commencement of an investigation and provide both parties with a copy of the Complaint, 511 the Code, and these procedures. Such notice will:
- 512 identify the Complainant and the Respondent;
- 513 · specify the alleged prohibited conduct and its date, time, and location, to the extent 514 known:
- specify the factual allegations pertaining to the prohibited conduct;
- 516 · specify any sanctions that may be imposed, including the University's transcript notation policy;
- 518 · identify the investigator;
- 519 · include information about the parties' respective rights and obligations under the Code of Conduct and these procedures;
- 521 · inform the parties of the availability of alternative dispute resolution and restorative justice processes for resolving complaints;
- 523 · inform the parties of their right to seek the assistance of a Counselor/advisor or attorney 524 and a support person for emotional support, all of whom may accompany the respective parties to 525 meetings and proceedings;
  - · inform the parties of the range of available resources, including mental health and academic support resources;
- 528 explain the prohibition against retaliation; and
- instruct the parties to preserve any potentially relevant evidence, whatever its form.

#### 11 COUNSELORS/ADVISORS AND SUPPORT PERSONS

- At all stages under these procedures, both the Complainant and Respondent will be afforded the
- assistance of an advisor provided through the Office of the Student Code Counselors to assist and advise.
- Alternatively, each party has the right to select and consult with an advisor and/or legal counsel of their
- own choosing. Both the Complainant and Respondent also have the right to a support person of their
- 535 choice.

526

527

530

536

508

- Counselors/advisers and support persons may be any person, including an attorney, who is not a party or
- witness or otherwise involved in the case. A Counselor/advisor and a support person may accompany the
- party to all meetings, such as investigative interviews, and proceedings, but it is expected that the parties



- will speak on their own behalf, and that Counselors/advisers and support persons will not interfere with meetings or proceedings. During hearings, Counselors/advisors and support persons may confer with the party, and submit written requests and objections to the Hearing Chair on the party's behalf, at the time and in the manner prescribed by the Chair. Throughout the proceedings, Counselors/advisors and support
- 544 persons may also help the party prepare written submissions. 545
- By accepting the role of Counselor/advisor or support person, such persons agree to comply with the rules and processes set forth in these procedures, including rules regarding both process and party privacy
- requirements. In unusual cases where either the Director or Panel Chair determines that a
- Counselor/advisor or support person's conduct undermines the integrity of these procedures, is abusive
- towards the other party, or has a serious conflict of interest, the Counselor/advisor or support person will
- be prohibited from continuing to serve in that case. The affected party will be permitted a reasonable
- amount of time to obtain a substitute Counselor/advisor or support person and acquaint that person with
- 553 the case.

#### 12 WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

- For all written submissions permitted by these procedures, other than the written objections and requests
- specifically permitted during hearings, the documents must be submitted by the individual parties.
- Written submissions from a Counselor/advisor, support person, or other individual made on behalf of a
- party, other than the written objections and requests specifically permitted during hearings, will not be
- included in the investigative or hearing records. Where a form has been developed by the Director for a
- written submission, the party must use the form for the submission. Where required by these procedures,
- a party must sign their written submission.

## 562 13 OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE TRUTHFUL INFORMATION

- At all stages of the process, all Cornell University community members are required to provide truthful
- information. "Furnishing false information to the University with intent to deceive" is prohibited and
- independently subject to disciplinary sanctions under the Code. This provision does not apply to reports
- made or information provided in good faith, even if the facts alleged are not later substantiated.

#### 567 14 DUTY TO COOPERATE

- All members of the University community are expected to cooperate and participate in inquiries,
- investigations, and resolutions of Reports and Formal Complaints under these procedures. However,
- 570 Individual Complainants and other alleged victims of interpersonal misconduct such as assault and
- endangerment, harassment and hazing, are not required to participate and may not be charged with
- violating the duty of cooperation.

### 573 15 ALTERNATE RESOLUTION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT[A1]

- The parties or the Director may seek to resolve a Formal Complaint of prohibited conduct through
- Alternate Resolution at any time. Participation in Alternate Resolution is entirely voluntary; the Director
- will neither pressure nor compel either party to participate in the process or to agree to any specific terms.
- Both the Complainant and the Respondent must agree to explore Alternate Resolution as a potential



578 means of resolution. The parties are strongly encouraged to consult with their Counselor/advisor and any 579 support persons during the Alternate Resolution process. Even if both parties request Alternate 580 Resolution, the Director has discretion to determine whether the matter is appropriate for that process.

The Director will manage the Alternate Resolution process and have access to all University records in the matter, including any records or reports prepared during an investigation. The Director may personally conduct Alternative Resolution or utilize mediators or others with appropriate expertise to support the process through consultations with both parties. The Director ultimately documents the proposed terms of a potential Alternate Resolution agreement. Such terms may include, but are not limited to, any sanctions or remedies that could be imposed by a Hearing Panel after a hearing under these proceedings.

The investigation will be paused during the Alternate Resolution process. At any time before a written agreement is effective (see below), the Complainant or the Respondent may withdraw from the Alternate Resolution process, and the Director may also, at their discretion, terminate the process. If the Alternate Resolution process is terminated for any reason, the matter continues under these procedures. For this reason, the investigator will not participate in an Alternate Resolution process.

If both parties are satisfied with the Director's recommendation, the matter will be resolved with a written agreement to be executed by both parties. The Director will provide each party, separately, with a copy of the proposed agreement for the party to review, sign, and return. Once a party has returned the signed agreement to the Director, the party has two (2) business days to reconsider and withdraw from the agreement by notifying the Director in person or in writing. If either party withdraws from the agreement, the Formal Complaint will be resolved according to these procedures. Once an agreement is effective, the parties may not appeal the agreement.

If the Respondent agrees to an Alternate Resolution that provides for a suspension, withdrawal, or dismissal (i.e. expulsion) from the University, there will be a transcript notation consistent with University policy. The parties are expected to honor and comply with the terms of the Alternate Resolution. Later noncompliance may be subject to proceedings under the Code.

To protect both parties' confidential disclosures within the Alternate Resolution process, if the matter moves back to the Formal Complaint resolution process, neither the Director nor the parties will disclose to the investigator, Panel Chair, University Hearing Panel, or University Review Panel either the fact that the parties had participated in Alternate Resolution or any information learned during the process.

#### 16 THE PARTIES' PARTICIPATION IN THE INVESTIGATION AND HEARING

- **PROCESSES**
- Both the Complainant and the Respondent may decline to participate in the investigation and/or hearing.
- However, the Director may continue without a party's participation, completing the investigation, and the
- Hearing Panel may meet, reach findings, and issue sanctions based on the record available.

### **16.1 Declining to Participate in the Investigation**

- Parties are expected to cooperate in the process, including the investigative stage and interviews. If a
- 619 party declines to participate in investigative interviews or other aspects of the investigative process, the
- party will generally forfeit the opportunity at the hearing to give a written opening statement, testify, and
- 621 give oral and written closing statements, absent demonstration of compelling circumstances that



- 622 reasonably prevented the party from cooperating in the process. The potential for or pendency of a related 623 civil or criminal court proceeding shall not constitute compelling circumstances. A party who later seeks 624 to participate may file a written request with the Panel Chair. No request for participation under this 625 Section will be granted to a party who failed to request a postponement or otherwise timely explain to the 626 investigator why they were unable to engage with the investigation process prior to filing the request. If 627 the Panel Chair agrees that non-participation was justified by compelling circumstances, they will assess 628 whether the investigation should be reopened or whether the party should instead be permitted to file a 629 written statement for consideration by the Hearing Panel. In determining what level of participation (and 630 resultant delays) are appropriate, the Panel Chair shall consider fairness to the opposing party and the 631 University's legitimate interest in resolving the matter. The Panel Chair's decisions on such requests to
- reopen are final and are not subject to further review.

## 16.2 Declining to Attend or Participate in the Hearing

- Parties are expected to participate in the hearing. If, despite being notified of the date, time, and location of the hearing (at their last known contract information), either party is not in attendance, the hearing may
- proceed, findings may be reached and applicable sanctions may be imposed. In addition, the parties are
- not required to testify at a hearing and the Hearing Panel will not draw a negative inference from a party's
- election to remain silent. Where a party declines to testify, the Hearing Panel's ability to hear information
- necessary to make an informed decision in that party's favor may be limited and the Hearing Panel will
- render a decision on the record and the evidence before it.

## 641 17 CONSOLIDATION OF INVESTIGATIONS AND HEARINGS UNDER THESE

#### 642 **PROCEDURES**

At the discretion of the Director, multiple reports or Formal Complaints under these procedures that are factually related will be joined in one investigation whether they involve single or multiple Complainants or Respondents.

645 or Res

654

655

633

- At the discretion of the Panel Chair, in consultation with the investigator, multiple Formal Complaints, whether or not joined in one investigation, and multiple investigations under these procedures may be
- joined in one hearing if doing so is likely to result in reliable and more efficient outcomes without causing
- prejudice to a party or parties or confusion for the fact finders. In determining whether to consolidate, the Panel Chair will provide the parties with an opportunity to explain their preferences for consolidated or
- severed hearings. In all hearings involving multiple Respondents, the Hearing Panel will consider
- individually the sanctions and remedies appropriate for each Respondent.

#### 18 INVESTIGATION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

## 18.1 Overview of Investigations of a Formal Complaint

- The investigation is designed to be timely, thorough, and impartial and to provide for a fair and reliable
- gathering of the facts. All individuals involved in the investigation, including the Complainant, the
- Respondent, and any witnesses, will be treated with fairness and respect. The investigation will generally
- include individual interviews of the Complainant, the Respondent, and relevant witnesses. Upon
- completion of the investigation, the investigator will prepare a final investigative record and an
- investigative report. The investigative record is a compilation of statements by the parties and witnesses



as well as other evidence gathered by the investigator. The investigative report explains the scope of the investigation and summarizes the information gathered. The investigator does not make any determination or recommendations as to responsibility, other than to make an assessment, in consultation with the Director, as to whether there is sufficient evidence for the case to proceed. The absence of an element necessary to determine responsibility for a subject charge is sufficient cause to decline to proceed on that charge. In the event of a hearing, the final investigative record and report become part of the hearing record.

668 669 670

671

662

663

664 665

666

667

The Complainant and the Respondent will have an equal opportunity to participate in the investigation, including an equal opportunity to be heard, submit evidence, and suggest witnesses who may have relevant information. Specifically, during the investigation, each party will have the opportunity to:

672 673 674

be interviewed by the investigator:

675 676

review their own interview statements prior to the statements being distributed to the other party and included in a draft investigative record;

677 678 provide evidence to the investigator;

679

suggest witnesses to be interviewed by the investigator; propose questions to be asked of witnesses and the other party; and

680

review a draft investigative record and comment on it, in writing, before the investigator finalizes the record and prepares an investigative report.

681 682 683

At the hearing, the Hearing Panel will rely upon the final investigative record and report as well as any additional statements and information provided to the Hearing Panel pursuant to the procedures set forth below.

684 685

686

#### 18.2 **Time Frame of the Investigation**

687 The investigation will be completed as expeditiously as possible, commensurate with its complexity. The 688 investigator will establish reasonable time limits for the various stages of the investigation, including 689 meetings and deadlines for any submissions or responses, and the parties shall comply with these time 690 limits. The parties may request extensions that may be granted, if reasonable, at the discretion of the 691 investigator. Extensions granted to one party will be granted to the other party. Delays simply to prolong 692 the process will not be permitted, and failure to meet deadlines will generally result in forfeiture of a 693 party's ability to participate in that aspect of the investigation. Subject to a demonstration of compelling 694 circumstances as described in section 16.1 above, a party who declines or fails to participate in a meeting 695 or interview, provide evidence, or suggest witnesses, waives their right to do so upon the issuance of the final investigative record and report.

696

697

#### 18.3 **Investigative Interview Process**

698 The parties have the opportunity to request in writing witnesses they would like the investigator to 699 interview and offer questions and topics they would like the investigator to ask of witnesses, themselves, 700 and/or the other party. The investigator has the discretion to determine the relevance of any proffered 701 witnesses or questions, and, accordingly, the investigator will determine which witnesses to interview and 702 questions to be asked. In general, the investigator will not consider as relevant witnesses who are offered 703 solely for the purpose of providing evidence of a party's character.



- Investigative interviews with the parties and any witnesses may be audio recorded at the discretion of the investigator. At the start of a recorded interview session, the investigator will inform an interviewee that the session is being audio recorded. Parties and witnesses will receive copies of any audio recordings of their own interviews. The parties will be provided with access to listen to any audio recordings of other witnesses and/or other party interviews upon request during business hours at a secure and private campus location, with access facilitated by the Director. All persons being interviewed, including the parties, are prohibited from recording interviews.
- In the event of a failure rendering an audio recording of an interview inaudible in whole or in part, the investigator will either reconstruct the interview with input from the interviewee or re-conduct the interview, as the investigator deems appropriate. The reconstructed interview statement will become part of the investigative record. A recording failure will not constitute grounds for appeal.

## 717 **18.4 Evidentiary Materials**

712

733

734

735

736 737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

- The investigator will gather relevant available evidentiary materials, including physical evidence,
- documents, communications between the parties, and electronic records and media as appropriate. The
- parties may request in writing the evidentiary materials they would like the investigator to obtain. The
- investigator has the discretion to determine the relevance of any requested materials, and, accordingly the
- investigator will determine what materials to seek to obtain.

## 723 **18.5 Expert Testimony and Materials**

- 724 If the investigator determines that expertise on a topic will assist the Hearing Panel in making its
- determinations, upon the investigator's own initiative or at the request of a party, the investigator may
- include in the investigative record medical, forensics, technological, or other expert testimony and
- materials (such as writings and recordings) that the investigator deems relevant and reliable. The
- investigator has the discretion to determine the relevance and reliability of any expert testimony and
- materials, and, accordingly, the investigator will determine what, if any, expert testimony and materials
- will be included in the investigative record. Requested expert testimony or materials not included in the
- investigative record will not be considered by the Hearing Panel. The results of polygraph tests and other "lie-detection" techniques are inadmissible in proceedings under these procedures.

## 18.6 Evidence to be Excluded or Redacted from the Record

At the request of a party or witness, the investigator during the investigation or the Panel Chair during the hearing process, may exclude and, as necessary, redact the following content:

- 1. Past Findings: During both the investigation and any hearing to determine responsibility, participants in this process may request exclusion of evidence of their own past school disciplinary findings. Such past findings may be probative and thus admissible, however, to demonstrate a pattern of misconduct, at the discretion of the Panel Chair. Such findings are regularly admissible at the stage of the hearing for determining sanctions.
- 2. Mental Health Treatment and/or Diagnosis: Generally, during both the investigation and any hearing to determine responsibility, participants in this process may exclude evidence of their own mental health diagnosis and/or treatment. However, any party who wishes to have the Hearing Panel consider mental health information that the party considers favorable and relevant to their case, must voluntarily share such information with the investigator for inclusion in the investigative record.



3. <u>Sensitive Personal Identifying Information and Medical Records</u>: Throughout these proceedings, sensitive personal identifying information, such as Social Security numbers and irrelevant information contained in medical records, will be excluded.

750 751 752

753

748

749

Exclusions and redactions will be noted and thereby become part of the investigative record.

- Excluded or redacted content not included in the investigative record will not be considered by the
- Hearing Panel. The parties should make all requests for exclusions and redactions to the investigator
- during the investigation prior to the issuance of the final investigative record and report.

### 18.7 Draft Investigative Record and the Parties' Review

Upon completion of the investigation, the investigator will prepare and provide to the parties an electronic or hard copy of a draft investigative record. The investigative record is a compilation of the investigative interviews, evidentiary materials, and expert testimony and materials, if any, and includes:

759 760 761

762

763

764

756

757

758

- transcripts (but not audio files) of all interviews by the investigator with the parties and any witnesses, if applicable; and
- copies of any documents, electronic records, and media and photographs or descriptions of physical materials collected during the course of the investigation and not excluded from the investigative record under these procedures.

765 766 767

768 769

770

771

The parties will have five (5) business days to review the draft investigative record and submit in writing:

- · comments about content, including requests for redaction;
- · requests for additional meetings with the investigator; and
- · requests for the investigator to conduct further investigation or questioning.

772 773 774

The parties may request extensions in the review period that will be granted, if reasonable, at the discretion of the investigator. Any extension granted to one party will be granted to the other party. Delays simply to prolong the process will not be permitted and failure to make submissions within five

Delays simply to prolong the process will not be permitted and failure to make submissions within fi 776 (5) business days or any approved extensions will result in a forfeiture of the right to do so later.

777 778

The parties' written comments and requests will become part of the final investigative record.

779 780

781

789

The investigator has discretion whether to conduct any additional requested meetings, interviews, or questioning.

## 782 18.8 Final Investigative Record and Report

- 783 The investigator will issue a final investigative record and an investigative report.
- In the report, the investigator will explain the scope of the investigation and summarize the information
- gathered during the investigation. At their discretion, the investigator may identify contested and
- uncontested facts, highlight inconsistencies, credibility issues and observations and address relevancy of
- evidence. The investigator will not render an opinion on responsibility, other than to make the
- determination as to whether there is sufficient evidence to proceed to a hearing (see below).

#### 19 DISMISSAL OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT



The Director may dismiss a Formal Complaint and close a case at any stage of proceedings where the Director determines:

- the subject matter of the complaint or the individual against whom the complaint has been filed are not subject to the University's jurisdiction under these procedures; or,
- the facts set forth in the Formal Complaint do not constitute prohibited conduct under the Code; or,
- the Complainant fails or refuses to cooperate with the investigation such that the investigator is materially hindered in their ability to investigate, including where the Complainant cannot be located, the Complainant fails or refuses to be available for interviews or meetings, or the Complainant fails to provide necessary information; or,
- the investigator concludes, after appropriate investigation, that a Hearing Panel would not have a reasonable factual basis on which to find that the Respondent committed the prohibited conduct alleged.

If the Director determines that a Formal Complaint should be dismissed, the Director will provide the Complainant with a written decision explaining the reasons for the dismissal and notify the Complainant of the dismissal. The Complainant may ask the Hearing Panel to review the Director's decision to dismiss. Such review must be requested within ten (10) business days in the form of a letter explaining why the dismissal is erroneous, and shall include any written evidence in support of the Complainant's position. The materials are to be submitted to the Director, who will forward them to the Hearing Panel and the Panel Chair.

The Director will also notify the Respondent that a request for review has been filed and provide a copy of the Complainant's letter and any supporting materials to the Respondent. The Respondent may respond in writing to the Complainant's request for review of the dismissal in a letter to the Hearing panel no later than ten (10) business days from the date of such notification.

The Panel Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, will establish a reasonable process and timeline for handling the matter. The Hearing Panel may review the matter absent a hearing and based solely upon the written materials prepared, including the Director's reasons underlying the decision to dismiss. The Panel Chair may request a hearing on the respective oral positions of the parties only where the Panel Chair feels it is necessary in advance of a Board decision. The Hearing Panel shall not disturb the Director's decision by substituting its own judgment for the judgment of the Director unless the Hearing Panel determines that the dismissal

- Panel determines that the dismissal was clearly in error. If the Hearing Panel determines that the dismissal was clearly erroneous, the Formal Complaint will be reinstated and resolved according to these
- procedures without further appeal of the decision to reinstate. If the Hearing Panel determines that the
- dismissal was not clearly erroneous, it will affirm the dismissal, which action is final and not subject to
- 828 further review.

## 20 HEARINGS

### 20.1 Overview of Hearing Process

- Findings of responsibility and determinations regarding sanctions and remedies are made through a
- hearing process conducted by the five (5) member Hearing Panel and a non-voting Panel Chair. At least
- four members of a five-person Hearing Panel must sit for a given case, in addition to the nonvoting Panel



834 Chair, and at least three votes shall be required for any decision. The hearing is intended to provide the 835 parties with a fair opportunity to present relevant information and to enable the Hearing Panel to make 836 informed decisions regarding responsibility and sanctions/remedies. 837 838 A member of the Hearing Panel may be asked to withdraw from participation for good cause (including a 839 valid conflict of interest), which shall be determined by the Panel Chair. Mere knowledge of the events at 840 issue shall not disqualify a member. In the event that a member withdraws or is excused, an alternate 841 member shall be randomly selected by the Panel Chair. 842 20.2 Presumption of Non-Responsibility and Standard of Proof 843 The Respondent is presumed "not responsible" unless and until a Hearing Panel finds the Respondent 844 responsible for prohibited conduct under the Code by a majority vote using a preponderance of the 845 evidence (i.e. more probable than not) standard of proof[A2]. 846 847 If the Hearing Panel does not find the Respondent responsible for any prohibited conduct under the Code, 848 it will dismiss the case. If the Hearing Panel finds that the Respondent is responsible under the Code, it 849 will consider appropriate sanctions and remedies. 850 20.3 Responsibilities of the Panel Chair and Hearing Panel 851 The Panel Chair provides procedural oversight and guidance to the process and the Hearing Panel. 852 However, the Hearing Panel makes all findings and issues any sanctions or remedies. The Panel Chair 853 will draft the Hearing Panel's decision, including findings of fact and rationales for their determinations 854 regarding both responsibility and sanctions or remedies. The Panel Chair will obtain the Hearing Panel's 855 review and approval before issuing a written decision. 856 **Notice of Hearing** 20.4

Hearings are scheduled as timely as possible after completion of an investigation. A timely Notice of
Hearing is sent to the parties which includes the charges at issue; a brief summary of the alleged
prohibited conduct; the date, time, and place of the hearing; the name of the Panel Chair; and, if
determined, the Hearing Panel members. If the notice does not include the name of the Hearing Panel
members, the parties will be so notified, in writing, at a later time, prior to the hearing. All efforts will be
made to provide the Notice of Hearing no later than ten (10) business days prior to the hearing.

### 20.5 Request to Reschedule Hearing

863

Either party may request that a hearing be rescheduled. Absent extenuating circumstances, requests to reschedule must be submitted at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing. A request to reschedule a hearing must be supported by a compelling reason for the delay. Given the number of individuals involved in a hearing, and the attendant difficulty of scheduling and rescheduling them in a timely manner, it may not be possible to accommodate all scheduling requests. The Panel Chair may also reschedule a hearing, without a request by the parties, when there is reasonable cause to do so.

## 870 **20.6** Newly Discovered Evidence

If after the issuance of the final investigative record and investigative report and prior to the hearing, a party seeks to present a witness or introduce evidence not requested prior to the hearing and not disclosed



to the investigator, the Panel Chair may grant admission of a witness or evidence only upon a sufficient showing that the witness or evidence is highly relevant, material, and could not have been discovered during the investigation with reasonable due diligence.

Where a Panel Chair permits a party to introduce a newly discovered witness or evidence, to prevent surprise to the other party, the Panel Chair will reschedule or adjourn the hearing for the investigator to review the newly discovered witness or evidence. The Panel Chair may also re-open the pre-hearing submission process, as appropriate, so that the parties may respond to the new information.

## 20.7 Pre-Hearing Submissions by the Parties

Prior to a hearing, the parties will be asked in writing by the Director to make certain decisions and requests regarding the conduct of the hearing. This process is designed to ensure that the hearing is conducted in as equitable, respectful, and efficient a manner as possible.

There are two stages at which the parties will be asked to make Pre-Hearing Submissions.

- First, the parties will be asked to submit in writing an opening statement (describing their views on the allegations in the Complaint) and names of any requested witnesses.
- Second, once witnesses are approved and finalized, the parties will be asked to submit in writing any proposed questions or topics for individuals who might testify, including themselves, as explained below.

All Pre-Hearing Submissions are optional and are waived if not completed by the stated deadlines. Prior to the hearing, the Director will distribute each party's Pre-Hearing Submissions to the other party for their review.

# **20.7.1** First Pre-Hearing Submission – Written Opening Statements and Witness Requests

Upon providing the parties with copies of the final investigative record and report to be transmitted to the Hearing Panel, the Director will instruct the parties, in writing, that they have the opportunity to submit a written opening statement (not to exceed 2500 words) and a written list of proposed witnesses. The parties may not add or address information in the opening statement not contained in the investigative record, as the Hearing Panel will not consider new information. The parties should include specific page citations to the final investigative record. All interview statements contained in the final investigative record become part of the hearing record and are before the Hearing Panel. If a party wants the Hearing Panel to hear directly from a witness, the party must submit a written witness request with their opening statement. Such a request should include:

1. The names of proposed witnesses, including the investigator, if the party requests that the investigator testify.

2. For each proposed witness an explanation of why the individual's presence is relevant and helpful to the Hearing Panel in determining responsibility. For example, the party should explain why a witness's interview statement contained in the final investigative report is not sufficient for the Hearing Panel to make its finding.

3. The parties are encouraged to include proposed questions for or general topics to be addressed by each witness. The parties will have an opportunity to supplement and revise their requests for questions and topics once they learn who will testify at the hearing. However, by indicating proposed questions and topics at this juncture, the parties will help the Panel Chair and



Hearing Panel understand why the parties would like to hear from specific individuals. The parties may request only witnesses who were interviewed by the investigator during the investigative process.

The Panel Chair will establish a reasonable deadline for these submissions, typically no longer than five (5) business days. The Panel Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, will review the parties' opening statements (for relevance and admissibility) and requests for witnesses, and the parties will be provided with those decisions in writing. This triggers the opportunity to file a second pre-hearing submission:

## 20.7.2 Second Pre-Hearing Submission – Questions and Topics

The second pre-hearing submission affords both parties the opportunity to submit a succinct proposal with:

- 1. Questions and topics for the witnesses.
- 2. Questions and topics for themselves and the other party. The parties are not required to commit to testifying at this juncture, but are encouraged to prepare for the eventuality that they and the other party would testify by submitting proposed questions and topics.

The Panel Chair will establish a reasonable deadline for these final submissions, typically no later than five (5) business days prior to the hearing. The Panel Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, will review and rule on the parties requested questions and topics to be permitted at the hearing, approving those deemed relevant and that are not prohibited by these procedures or applicable laws, prejudicial, or duplicative of other evidence.

## 20.8 Hearing Process and Format

### 20.8.1 Overview of Hearing Process and Format

All hearings will be private. The only persons present will be the parties, their Counselor/advisor and support person, witnesses (when testifying), the Hearing Panel and Panel Chair, (and, at the Board's discretion, its counsel), the investigator, and any staff necessary for the conduct of the hearing. Witnesses may be present only for their own testimony.

In cases of interpersonal misconduct such as assault, harassment or hazing, either party may request that the parties with their advisor(s) and support person, if applicable, will be in separate rooms. If separated, the parties will participate remotely via a secure audio-visual connection, with the exception that when a party testifies and gives their oral closing statement, generally, they should do so in the presence of the Hearing Panel and Panel Chair; they may be accompanied by their Counselor/advisor and support persons.

The Panel Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, may establish reasonable time limits, rules, and format. The Panel Chair may adjourn the hearing, once commenced, and later reconvene the hearing in consideration of factors including, but not limited to, the unavailability of a witness, party, Panel Chair, Hearing Panel member, or needed personnel; inclement weather; late hour; or in order to make an evidentiary or procedural ruling.



961 Formal rules of evidence do not apply, the Panel Chair shall make all determinations regarding the 962 admissibility, probative value, prejudicial effect, repetitiveness, redundancy, relevancy, etc., of evidence 963 presented. Evidence that was excluded or redacted from the investigative record as impermissible will not 964 be admissible at the hearing. Typically, the format of the hearing will be as follows:

965 966

967

- Introduction by the Panel Chair. The Panel Chair will explain the hearing process, address any necessary procedural issues, and answer questions.
- 968 Testimony by the Complainant.
- 969 Testimony by the Respondent. 970
  - Testimony by any witnesses.
- 971 Closing statements by the Complainant followed by the Complainant.

#### 972 20.8.2 Testimony

Testimony is conducted through a question-and-answer format. Questioning will primarily be conducted by the Hearing Panel, but the Panel Chair may supplement the Hearing Panel's questioning. The Panel Chair will ask persons being questioned to affirm that they will testify truthfully. Both the Complainant and the Respondent may testify or decline to testify and may make their election when their turn to testify arises. If a party testifies, they are expected to answer all questions asked. A party who testifies may propose supplemental questions that they wish to answer. Counselors/advisors participate in this process as set forth in Section 11 of these procedures.

979 980 981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

973

974

975

976

977

978

The Panel Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, may call a witness not on the witness list but previously interviewed by the investigator, and ask any question. In such cases, the parties will be given time to propose questions for the witness.

#### **20.8.3 Closing Statements**

The parties may provide both oral and written closing statements. This is the opportunity for the parties to marshal the evidence in the hearing record and suggest inferences and conclusions. The parties may not add or address information not contained in the hearing record, as the Hearing Panel will not consider new information. Nor may the parties address issues that pertain to sanctions and remedies. The Hearing Panel does not consider these issues when determining responsibility. The parties may appropriately raise such issues in their Impact/Mitigation Statements.

990 991 992

993

994

995

996

The Panel Chair will establish a time limit for brief oral closing statements, typically around five (5) minutes. The Panel Chair will also set the schedule for submission of written closing statements. The parties should assume that deliberations will commence immediately following the hearing, in which case the parties will be expected to submit written closing statements shortly after the oral closing statements. If there is an adjournment for deliberations, the Panel Chair may provide the parties with limited additional time to submit their statements.

997 998

1002

999 Each party's signed closing statement will be limited to 2000 words and to the evidence contained in the 1000 investigative record and hearing. The written statements will be distributed to the other party, Panel Chair, 1001 and Hearing Panel for their review.

#### **20.8.4** Impact/Mitigation Statement

1003 The parties are permitted, but not required, to prepare a written Impact/Mitigation Statement relevant to 1004 any sanctions. The parties may submit the statement up until the end of a hearing, but are advised to begin



- to compose such statements in advance. The statements are distributed to the Hearing Panel only upon a finding of responsibility and are included with the Hearing Panel's written decision to the parties.
- 1007 **20.9 Deliberations on Findings of Responsibility**
- After closing arguments, the Hearing Panel may begin its deliberations. Deliberations will be completed as expeditiously as possible. Deliberations are conducted in private and they are not audio-recorded.
- 1010 **20.10 Sanctions and Remedies**
- A Hearing Panel that finds the Respondent responsible will continue its deliberations to consider
- sanctions and remedies. It will issue its findings on responsibility and sanctions/remedies simultaneously.
- Prior to deliberating on sanctions and remedies, the Panel Chair will distribute to the Hearing Panel any
- 1014 written or recorded Impact/Mitigation Statements previously submitted by the parties.

- 1016 If the Respondent has a Cornell disciplinary record, a known disciplinary record from another institution, or a known criminal conviction, the Panel Chair may distribute to the Hearing Panel a copy of such
- disciplinary and/or criminal records prior to deliberating on sanctions and remedies. (Where an
- educational record, including a Cornell disciplinary record, is being considered solely for sanctions, it will not be shared with the Complainant.)

1021 1022

The Panel Chair may support the deliberations but may not express views on the merits and may not vote. The Hearing Panel will determine sanctions and remedies by a majority vote. In determining sanctions and remedies, the Hearing Panel will consider:

1024 1025 1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1023

- the severity of the prohibited conduct;
- the circumstances of the prohibited conduct;
- the impact of the prohibited conduct and sanctions and remedies on the Complainant;
- the impact of the prohibited conduct and sanctions and remedies on the community;
- the impact of the prohibited conduct and sanctions and remedies on the Respondent:
- prior misconduct by the Respondent, including, the Respondent's previous disciplinary record at Cornell University and if known, other disciplinary records or criminal convictions;
- the goals of the Code and these procedures; and
- any other mitigating, aggravating, or compelling factors.

1034 1035 1036

The Hearing Panel may impose one or more of the following student sanctions and remedies:

1037 1038

1042

1043

- Measures similar in kind to the interim measures specified under these procedures.
- 1039 Appropriate educational steps (such as alcohol or drug education, reflection exercises, counseling, or directed study).

  1041 Community work, which shall not be more than 80 hours per violation, and must be
  - Community work, which shall not be more than 80 hours per violation, and must be performed in a manner acceptable to the Director.
  - · Restitution to the Complainant.
  - Fines of not less than \$20 nor more than \$500 payable to the University Treasurer.
- Restrictions or loss of specific or all privileges at the University for a specified period of time.
- 1047 · Oral warnings.
- 1048 · Written reprimands.



1049 Disciplinary probation for a stated period. 1050

Suspension from the University for a stated period not to exceed three (3) years.

Dismissal (i.e., expulsion) from the University.

1051 1052 1053

The Hearing Panel may impose one or more of the following sanctions and remedies on Universityregistered organizations:

1054 1055 1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065 1066

- Measures similar in kind to the interim measures specified under these procedures.
- Appropriate educational steps for organization members (such as alcohol or drug education, reflection exercises, counseling, or directed study).
- Community work performed by organization members, which shall not be more than 80 hours per violation, and must be performed in a manner acceptable to the Director.
- Restitution.
- Fines of any reasonable and appropriate amount payable to the University Treasurer.
- Restrictions or loss of specific or all privileges for the organization at the University for a specified period of time.
- Written reprimands.
- Dismissal, i.e., rescission of permission to operate on University property and/or termination of the organization's agreement and relationship with the University.

1067 1068 1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

Ordinarily, the penalties for subsequent or repeated violations, whenever such violation(s) occur, should be more severe than for a first violation. Further, certain types of violations are so fundamentally inconsistent with the University's educational mission that, absent unusual mitigating factors, a sanction of substantial suspension or dismissal ordinarily will ordinarily be imposed. Such violations include acts of violence or other violations that substantially threaten the University's educational mission or property, or the health or safety of University community members. The Hearing Panel may also recommend to the Director that the University take measures on campus to remedy the effect or prevent the reoccurrence of such prohibited conduct. Sanctions and remedies will be effective immediately unless otherwise specified by the Hearing Panel.

1076 1077

1078

1079

## 20.11 Decision of the Hearing Panel

1080 1081 1082

1083

1084

1085

The Hearing Panel will issue a written decision as expeditiously as possible upon completion of deliberations. The Director will provide the written decision to the parties simultaneously and as soon as practicable. The decision will include:

- The specific prohibited conduct for which the Respondent was found responsible and not responsible; and
- the findings of fact and the rationale for the Hearing Panel's determinations regarding both responsibility and sanctions.

1086 1087 1088

1089

1090

The decision may incorporate and reference any portions of the proceedings, including the investigative record and report, as the Hearing Panel deems appropriate. The decision will include instructions and time limits for appeals. Both the Complainant and the Respondent will be informed simultaneously of the decision and any sanctions and remedies, the date by which the requirements must be satisfied (if applicable), and the consequences of failure to satisfy the requirements.

1091 1092

1093

### 20.12 Hearing Record



1107 1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113 1114

1115 1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125 1126

1127

1128 1129

1130 1131

1132

1133

1134

- The hearing record will include: the audio recording of the hearing, the Hearing Panel's decision, the final
- investigative record and report, the parties' pre-hearing submissions, the written witness lists, written
- opening and closing statements, written submissions permitted by these procedures made to the Panel
- 1097 Chair or during the hearing, and the parties' Impact/Mitigation Statements (if considered by the Hearing
- Panel). The hearing record may also include a transcript of the hearing.

#### 21 APPEAL OF A HEARING PANEL DECISION

- Both the Complainant and the Respondent may appeal a decision of the Hearing Panel to a three (3)
  member Review Panel. The faculty member appointed to the Review Panel serves as its Chair. No person
  who served on the Hearing Panel will sit on the Review Panel in the same case. A member of the Review
  Panel may be asked to withdraw for good cause upon request of either party, which determination shall be
  made in the Review Panel Chair's sole discretion. If the Review Panel Chair is reasonably challenged by
  a party, the Director shall appoint another member. In the event that a member withdraws or is excused,
  an alternate member shall be randomly selected by the Review Panel Chair.
  - Appeals will be based solely upon the hearing record except that when relevant to a stated ground for appeal, the Review Panel may supplement the record on appeal with evidentiary materials excluded or redacted from the investigative record or newly discovered evidence. If the Review Panel reverses a finding of not responsible, the record on appeal will be supplemented with the parties' Impact/Mitigation Statements. Findings of fact will not be set aside unless clearly erroneous. Harmless error will be ignored.

Appeals may be brought only upon one or more of the following grounds:

- 1. A University official or officials, including the Hearing Panel, assigned responsibility for performing specific functions by these procedures, committed an error in interpreting or applying the Code of Conduct or these procedures, and such error had a prejudicial effect upon the outcome.
- 2. The Hearing Panel rendered a decision that is clearly erroneous.
- 3. New evidence was discovered after the decision that could not have reasonably been discovered before the decision and that would with high probability, have changed the outcome.
- 4. The sanctions or remedies are substantially disproportionate to the severity of the injury/violation or are otherwise manifestly unjust.

The appealing party commences an appeal by submitting a written statement to the Director within ten (10) business days of service of the Hearing Panel's decision.

The appeal statement will be limited to 3500 words and must set forth:

- the determination(s) being appealed,
- the specific ground(s) for the appeal, and
- the facts supporting the grounds.

Failure to submit an appeal within the ten (10) business days or any approved extension constitutes waiver of the right to appeal. The Review Panel has discretion to grant any such request upon a finding of good cause for the delay.



1139 A copy of the appeal statement will be provided to the other party, who, within ten (10) business days 1140 may submit a written response to the Director. The response should address both the specific ground(s) 1141 for appeal set forth in the appealing party's statement and the specific facts asserted by the appealing 1142 party. The response will be limited to 2500 words. 1143 1144 The Review Panel will issue a timely written decision, typically no later than thirty (30) business days after receipt of the non-appealing party's submission or the time for submission has expired. The decision 1145 1146 is final and binding on all parties. The decision must be by a majority vote of the Review Panel and will 1147 include the rationale for the Review Panel's decision and any dissenting opinion. 1148 1149 The Review Panel may affirm the decision of the Hearing Panel or sustain any of the above-specified 1150 grounds for appeal, in which case the Review Panel may: 1151 reverse a finding: 1152 change a sanction or remedy; 1153 remand a case to the original Hearing Panel for clarification or reconsideration consistent 1154 with the Review Panel's decision, if doing so would assist with a timely, practicable, and efficient 1155 resolution of the case; 1156 remand a case for a new hearing to either the original Hearing Panel or a newly 1157 composed Hearing Panel; or 1158 remand a case for a new or additional investigation, followed by an adjudication 1159 consistent with these procedures, to either the original investigator or to a new investigator. 22 1160 REQUEST FOR A STAY PENDING APPEAL 1161 The Review Panel has discretion to stay (i.e. postpone implementation of) any sanctions pending a final 1162 decision on the appeal. It may, but is not required to, stay a sanction where the appealing party 1163 demonstrates the need for a stay by a clear showing. An application for a stay must be submitted to the 1164 Director. The Director will provide a copy of the stay application to the Review Panel and the other party, 1165 who is entitled to respond to the stay application by submitting to the Director a written response. The 1166 Review Panel will set a reasonable timeline for handling the stay application, including a deadline for the 1167 other party to respond to the stay application. The Review Panel has discretion to reconsider its decision 1168 on a stay at any time during the appeal. The stay expires at the conclusion of the appeal. 1169 23 CONSISTENCY OF INTERPRETATION 1170 Because the student conduct system utilizes the decision of the University Hearing and Review Panels to 1171 define or interpret violations, summaries of all decisions of those boards shall be kept on file in the 1172 Director, including a brief description of the nature of the case and its disposition, but with names of 1173 individuals and other identifying information redacted. 1174 1175

[1] Complaints against a student organization are addressed so long as the organization remains registered with the

**Cornell University Student Assembly** □**www.CornellSA.com** Stay Informed. Get Involved. Make a Difference.

University or is deemed to be operating even without approval or recognition.

1176



1178	[2] Throughout these procedures, various University officials, such as the Director, are assigned responsibility for
1179	performing specific functions. Named officials are authorized to delegate responsibility to other appropriate
1180	University officials and non-University consultants except where such delegation contravenes University policy.
1181	Additionally, named officials and their designees may always consult with appropriate University administrators, the
1182	Office of University Counsel, and subject-matter experts.
1183	

[3] For example, the Vice President for Student and Campus Life, or the Dean of Students.