


Cornell University Student Assembly

Cornell University Student Assembly

Agenda of the Thursday, April 30th, 2020 Meeting
4:45pm via Zoom

<https://cornell.zoom.us/j/115376253>

- I. Call to Order & Roll Call**
- II. Approval of the Minutes**
 - a. [12/05/19 Meeting Minutes](#)
 - b. [2/27/20 Meeting Minutes](#)
- III. Open Microphone**
- IV. Confirmations**
 - a. Office of the Student Advocate Staff
- V. New Business**
 - a. [S.A. Resolution #66: Instituting Mandatory IDP Training for SA Members](#)
- VI. Business of the Day**
 - a. [S.A. Resolution #62: Establishment of the Students with Disabilities Representative At-Large](#)
- VII. Adjournment**



Cornell University Student Assembly

Cornell University Student Assembly

Minutes of the Thursday, December 5th, 2019 Meeting
4:45-6:30pm in the Memorial Room of Willard Straight Hall

I. Call to Order & Roll Call

- a. J. Anderson called the meeting to order at 4:45 pm.
- b. Roll Call:
 - i. Present: M. Adeghe, J. Anderson, M. Baker, C. Benedict, A. Cass, U. Chukwukere, J. Clancy, O. Egharevba, J. Feit, M. Haddad, C. Huang, J. Kroll, Y. Li, G. Martin, N. Matolka, I. Pavlov, T. Reuning, L. Smith, P. Solovyeva, N. Watson, B. Weintraub, K. Wondimu, V. Xu, J. Youngblood, Y. Yuan
 - ii. Absent: L. Ordonez (excused), S. Sun (excused); S, Xu (excused)

II. Announcements

- a. J. Anderson noted that last sprint is on Sunday, 12/8/19. He asked S.A. members to attend.

III. Open Microphone

- a. No speakers at the open microphone.

IV. SAIFC Report

- a. The Whisper Room
 - i. U. Chukwukere stated the SAIFC approved \$9,470 to the State of the Pod Productions and the Whisper Room to pay for card-access to the Whisper Room in Comstock Hall, refurbished laptops, copies of the Hindenburg Audio Software, and software to check out laptops and recording equipment for the Fall 2019 semester. The award represents 9.47% of the SAIFC 2019-2020 budget.
- b. Cornell Fashion Collective Project
 - i. U. Chukwukere stated the SAIFC approved \$1,700 to Cornell Fashion Collective to pay for two ADA Accessible Ramps and private changing rooms. The award represents 1.70% of the SAIFC 2019-2020 budget.
- c. AppDev TCAT App
 - i. U. Chukwukere stated that the SAIFC approved \$1,800 to the Cornell AppDev Project Team to pay for the IthacaTransit App development and maintenance. The award represents 1.80% of the SAIFC 2019-2020 budget.
 - ii. S.A. member asked for an explanation on how the IthacaTransit App differs from Google Maps.

V. Business of the Day

- a. Resolution 17: Establishing a Framework for Electronic Voting

- i. O. Egharevba noted additional edits in a document supplied to SA members via the S.A. Slack channel. He explained that the intention behind the resolution was to provide more transparency and accountability to the broader student body. The resolution also seeks to assist in solving the accessibility issue. The resolution would amend the Bylaws to include two sections on default voting method via electronic device and procedures for electronic voting, respectively.
- ii. Motion to amend the resolution to strike lines 13-14.
 - 1. Motion to move into discussing the amendment— passed 22-1-1.
 - 2. Motion to amend the resolution to strike lines 13-14—amended by 19-2-1.
- iii. J. Feit stated his appreciation for O. Egharevba's efforts, but if everyone just raises their placard to vote. He does not see a need for this and they are wasting hours discussing this.
 - 1. Dissent from people might not like the resolution but we should respect O. Egharevba's efforts and perhaps he can make modifications.
 - 2. O. Egharevba said the S.A. to ask themselves what kind of message are we sending to the community. It would benefit people who cannot make it to S.A. meetings.
- iv. Motion to vote on Resolution 17.
 - 1. S.A. member made a dissent.
- v. Motion to vote to vote on Resolution 17—passed 20-3-1.
- vi. Motion to vote on Resolution 17—failed with 8-11-5.

VI. Recess

- a. J. Anderson called for a recess. The S.A. reconvened at 5:30pm.

VII. Business of the Day (Continued)

- a. Resolution 19: Creating Internal Election Rules
 - i. N. Matolka noted that Resolution #19 would create rules for its internal elections and would amend the Standing Rules. The intention is to make the end of the year elections progress go a little smoother.
 - ii. S.A. member expressed concern over who would be reviewing the candidate statements.
 - iii. S.A. member agreed there is an identified need for a more formal process. However, there is a concern regarding Rule 4 on line 21 noting that only positive comments and statements in support could be made about a candidate. If there is something seriously wrong, there should be a way to let people know that is the case.
 - iv. S.A. member supported allowing for a platform for non-positive comments or perceived personal attacks to be discussed.
 - v. S.A. member suggested adding an appeals process in response to comments or statements.
 - vi. S.A. member suggested support for anonymous claims to be made.
 - vii. S.A. member suggested keeping comments and statements anonymous and allowing candidates to view them. If the candidate objects to a comment or

statement, it can be brought before the President, Executive Vice President, and Parliamentarian.

viii. Motion to table Resolution 19—tabled with 20-0-1.

VIII. New Business

- a. Resolution 23: Establishing the Position of the Vice President of Oversight, Accountability, & Reform and the Creation of the Oversight, Accountability, and Reform Committee
 - i. G. Martin introduced the resolution, which seeks to create a new executive position and committee tasked with oversight, accountability, and reform. He highlighted line 32, which outlines a list of behavior, comments, and concerns regarding racism, sexism, harassment, and diversity. He stated that recent history has shown a need for this position and committee. He also noted the resolution would amend the Bylaws. This resolution is not something that needs to be voted on today.
 - ii. J. Anderson noted that Resolution 19 is indirectly related to this resolution. It would be beneficial for Resolution 23 to be passed before Resolution 19 is passed.
 - iii. Motion to table Resolution 23—Resolution 23 tabled to the 12/10/19 meeting.


IX. Adjournment

- a. J. Anderson adjourned the meeting at 6:30 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Wendy Treat and Catherine Tran

Senior Coordinator and Clerk of the Employee Assembly, respectively
Office of the Assemblies



Cornell University Student Assembly

Cornell University Student Assembly

Minutes of the Thursday, February 27th, 2020 Meeting
4:45-6:30pm via Zoom

I. Call to Order & Roll Call

- a. J. Anderson called the meeting to order at 4:45 pm.
- b. Roll Call:
 - i. Present: M. Adeghe, S. Ali, J. Anderson, C. Benedict, A. Cass, J. Clancy, O. Egharevba, M. Haddad, C. Huang, J. Kroll, Y. Li, G. Martin, N. Matolka, I. Pavlov, T. Reuning, P. Solovyeva, S. Sun, N. Watson, B. Weintraub, K. Wondmu, S. Xu, V. Xu, J. Youngblood, Y. Yuan
 - ii. Absent: M. Baker (excused), U. Chukwukere (excused), J. Feit (unexcused), L. Smith (excused)

II. Announcements & Presentations

- a. J. Anderson announced that the candidate information session for the S.A. elections will take place after the S.A. meeting in the Memorial Room.

III. Approval of the Minutes

- a. February 13, 2020
 - i. Motion to approve the February 13, 2020 minutes—**approved** by 20-0-1.

IV. Open Microphone

- a. A panel of representatives read a letter signed by the Cornell S.A. Black Caucus, Cornell Students for Justice in Palestine, Cornell Jewish Voice for Peace, Haven: The LGBTQ+ Student Union, and Cornell Men of Color Council. On March 1, 2020, student leaders at Cornell announced plans to attend the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) conference in Washington D.C. The signatories of the letter joined together to condemn the actions of the student leaders in supporting an organization implicit in suppressing and sidelining Palestinian and LGBTQ+ rights. The panel noted well-documented abuse of Palestinian children and that AIPAC's anti-boycott campaign had spread to college campuses. In 2018, the University of California censored any and all faculty support of the Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions (BDS) movement. Last year, President Trump signed an executive order to punish academic institutions that do not combat pro-Palestinian student activities. Among speakers of this year's conference is Vice President Mike Pence, who has stated on the record that being gay is a choice and a learned behavior. He has a record of unacceptable actions, including cosponsoring a proposed amendment that would define marriage as solely between a man and a woman. The panelists also noted that AIPAC is one of the most powerful right-wing lobbying groups on Capitol Hill and serves as the main intermediary between the U.S. government and

the state of Israel. This relationship includes the U.S. sending \$3 billion in aid annually—much of which goes to Israel’s military industrial complex. As a permanent member of the UN Security Council, the U.S. has also helped to prevent adoption of any resolution condemning Israel for its racist policies and flagrant violations of human rights over 40 times. The AIPAC conference has been publicly condemned by many international figures, including senators. Senator Sanders has pointed out that the conference provides a platform for weaponizing anti-Semitism and hate to silence debate. As Cornell is a leading academic institution, the organizations penning the letter will not support those who choose to attend conferences promoting hatred and further marginalization of already disenfranchised people. The S.A. Black Caucus stated it chose to sign onto the letter based on its unequivocal support of the Palestinian people for their pursuit of liberation. Its members noted a refusal to stand by as student leaders choose to attend the conference with the intention of upholding settler colonialism and white supremacy. In addition, the panelists asked people to not confuse the lack of support for AIPAC as a lack of support for the Jewish community. They stand with the Jewish people in the fight against global anti-Semitism.

V. Business of the Day

- a. S.A. Resolution #39: Approving Special Projects Request for Smart is Strong Foundation
 - i. M. Adeghe noted that she mistakenly stated at the last meeting that Resolution #39 did not have to be approved by the S.A.. However, she was told that because it was introduced as New Business, it has to be voted on. She reiterated that The Smart is Strong Foundation will be receiving \$500 for the International Women’s Day Conference.
 - ii. Motion to vote on Resolution #39—approved 22-01.
- b. S.A. Resolution #31: Amending the Student Assembly Charter to Create a Ticket System for the President and Executive Vice President Election
 - i. M. Adeghe stated the issue has been previously discussed at the S.A. and she is opening it back up for suggestions and comments. The ticket system would not be going into effect this election cycle as it is already underway, but is intended for the future. The resolution could help lower barriers to entry to the S.A.
 - ii. Motion to table the resolution indefinitely—withdrawn.
 - iii. I. Pavlov expressed a concern that people wanting to run would not be able to find a running partner. She suggested having something in place to pair up those who want to run but do not have a partner.
 - iv. M. Adeghe added that this system will not resemble what last year’s looked like.
 - v. A. Cass expressed that the resolution was a generally positive idea but not an imperative one. In the interest of getting more things done, it may be advantageous to have the President and Executive Vice President (EVP) to be people who have similar stances on issues.
 - vi. O. Egharevba stated a concern that the ticket system may create an inequality where only the President and EVP positions are allowed to run with each other while other positions are not. While a ticket system makes sense for

national elections, they do not have political parties here. He also expressed a concern over the potential to “buy candidates.”

1. M. Adeghe stated she is interested in entertaining the idea but because there are so many seats open, endorsing on a lower level makes her feel it would create a party system. This can be discussed if the S.A. is open to it. However, she was not looking to tackle both the ticket and party system in the resolution. She is not opposed to political parties on campus, but she is aware that many are.
- vii. P. Solovyeva noted that the culture of the S.A. changes every year. The unintended consequences of two people from the S.A. partnering up and running may hinder others from running because they may not have S.A. experience. This may create more barriers to entry.
- viii. I. Wallace stated that with this change, candidates would no longer worry about their own candidacy but someone else’s as well. In addition, the two candidates would have to collect 650 signatures. This would raise the bar to entry.
 1. M. Adeghe explained that the extra 50 signatures was added because the two candidates would be running together. Individually, they would have to collect 300 signatures on campus. The number of signatures is not much higher and was not meant to be a barrier.
- ix. N. Matolka stated that the resolution is going in the wrong direction. The S.A. should be moving towards no endorsements at all.
- x. J. Feit disagreed and stated that endorsements are important and helpful. He suggested they should not consider the resolution until they have more substantial information to base decisions on.
 1. M. Adgehe noted she submitted a fact-finding effort. However, she does not feel they need to wait until May or April to discuss the resolution again. She does not feel the barrier to entry is any different. The candidates who run for President and EVP are typically not people who are complete outsiders and have never done anything with the S.A.
- xi. V. Xu added that having a President and EVP run separately brings the benefit of having two people of different viewpoints. They could balance each other out in a system of checks and balances. In addition, people should be the ones to decide who the best candidates are.
 1. M. Adeghe stated she has been entertaining the idea of having the President and EVP being internally elected. It is something the S.A. has done in the past and is likely something that will come up in the fact finding committee.
- xiii. B. Weintraub noted there have been people with no S.A. experience who have ran for the President position. He noted Trevor Davis who ran for S.A. President last year. He highlighted the oft-cited point that there is a lot of value in not having the top two people in the S.A. in complete agreement on everything. However, a lot of the work that is beneficial to the Cornell community is done by committees. Issues that are contentious oftentimes do not impact students as much as other issues, which are for the most part not contentious. The idea that the S.A. is not getting things done is inaccurate.

1. M. Adeghe clarified that the current S.A. was not her example of a dysfunctional and gridlocked assembly. Rather, she was referring to assemblies in previous years. In addition, bringing up Trevor Davis' campaign is not appropriate as he made it clear he was running on satire.
- xiii. I. Wallace made a motion to table S.A. Resolution #31.
 1. M. Adeghe dissented. She asked to table the resolution until after the next sprint.
 2. Vote to vote on the motion to table S.A. Resolution #31 indefinitely—passed with 17-4-2.
- xiv. Vote to table Resolution #31—**tabled indefinitely** with 15-6-2.
- c. S.A. Resolution #32: Support of the development and implementation of a Cornell Campus Circulator System
 - i. T. Reuning inquired for the impacts of a Cornell Campus Circulator System on TCAT, TCAT staff, and the local economy.
 1. J. Youngblood replied that the specific design is up to Transportation Services. It is likely to somehow affect TCAT as it would also run in the same town and may take riders out of TCAT service. However, he anticipates some partnership with TCAT.
 - ii. A. Cass added he would want some assurance that there would be collaboration between Cornell and TCAT. He suggested a wording change.
 1. J. Youngblood noted that if A. Cass drafted an amendment, he would be happy to include it.
 - iii. G. Martin stated that after Resolution #32 was brought up, he went to ask constituents and employees for their thoughts. The overall feedback was positive. The largest questions are around funding. It is also important to recognize that many employees do not live close to campus. A circulator could help ameliorate long commutes.
 - iv. I. Pavlov stated it is important to stress that campus and community travel is still integrated. As Cornell owns a large part of TCAT, it is likely that they will stay integrated. She asked if the Campus Planning Committee was consulted as they oversee the Campus Master Plan. They may have valuable input.
 1. J. Youngblood explained that K. Barth is on the Campus Planning Committee and the resolution was driven by him.
 - v. A. Cass asked if the resolution was going through all the assemblies verbatim or if it has been edited.
 1. J. Youngblood replied that the resolution in front of them is what has been submitted to all the assemblies. Most of the other assemblies have amended it in some way to add their feedback. The intention is for it to go back and be edited take into account the feedback.
 - vi. Motion to vote on Resolution #32.
 1. J. Youngblood dissented. He made a motion to amend the resolution to add on line 61:“Be it further resolved, the development of the Campus Circulator does not come at the expense of current bus subsidies to students.”
 - a. Amendment seconded.
 - b. Motion to amend Resolution #32—**amended** 19-0-1.

2. Vote on Resolution #32—**passed** 18-1-1.

VI. New Business

- a. S.A. Resolution #43: Opposing Re-envisioning the College of Human Ecology to the College of Public Policy
 - i. M. Haddad introduced S.A. Resolution #43. A faculty committee made a recommendation to reroute the College of Human Ecology into a College of Public Policy. Most students, faculty, and alumni are not in favor of this recommendation. M. Haddad stated she originally intended for the student body to vote on this. However, she would like to recommend they move the resolution to Business of the Day and vote on it today as a decision is expected to be made this weekend.
 1. M. Haddad made a motion to change the resolution from Sense of the Body to a recommendation from the S.A.
 - a. Motion seconded.
 - b. Vote to amend Resolution #43—**amended** by 17-0-1.
 2. Motion to move Resolution #43 to Business of the Day.
 - a. Motion seconded.
 - b. Resolution #43 moved to Business of the Day by 19-0-1.
 3. M. Haddad made a motion to note in line 56 a petition circulating that has garnered signatures from 460 students, 13 staff members, 8 faculty, and 27 family members of Cornell students as of 5:00pm.
 - a. Vote to amend Resolution #43—**amended** by 18-0-2.
 4. M. Haddad made a point of order. She asked for the names and comments on the petition to be included in the appendix.
 - ii. A. Cass asked M. Haddad who supported the recommendation beyond the faculty committee that made the recommendation.
 1. M. Haddad replied that not a lot of people supported it. The opposing view is that having a College of Public Policy will give Cornell better rankings and more policy majors. Of the 10 people on the committee, six were in favor and four were not. M. Haddad shared that she is a Policy Analysis and Management major and that the major will shift drastically were the recommendation be adopted.
 - iii. I. Wallace noted that students who are applying to Cornell have to do a lot more research when looking at the College of Human Ecology. However with a College of Public Policy, the focus of the college may be more obvious.
 - iv. S. Sun asked where the College of Human Ecology majors would be displaced.
 1. M. Haddad replied that the committee did not make a decision based on that. However, the president has stated that no major will be erased. There was another proposal to make a shared College of Public Policy between the College of Human Ecology and the College of Arts & Sciences. However, it did not get the majority vote. A large concern many have is the uncertainty of what will happen.
 - v. J. Feit stated that the College of Human Ecology has a distinct culture on campus. The other majors may be shifted to other land grant schools at

Cornell. He asked M. Haddad if she was aware of any changes that would be made to New York State funding allocations.

1. M. Haddad responded that she is not aware of any changes. However, it is a concern that many are expressing. She cited a letter to the editor published in The Cornell Daily Sun from an alumnus who studied Design and Environmental Analysis in the College of Human Ecology. The alumnus noted that her experiences in the College of Human Ecology made her a better policy analyst.
- vi. A. Cass noted it does not seem like anyone in the College of Human Ecology supports this and there are a lot of unclear details.
- vii. The S.A. was alerted to a message on their Slack channel. The recommendation to turn the College of Human Ecology will not be happening.
- viii. Motion to vote on Resolution #43—passed with 21-0-1.
- b. S.A. Resolution #44: Creating the Laundry Reform Task Force
 - i. M. Adeghe stated that she began conversations with the Laundry Services office to talk about free laundry and what it would look like. N. Watson came up with an idea to create a task force for centralization. She recommended also starting up the task force again next semester so the committee could be in constant communication with the office.
 - ii. J. Feit questioned why the Residential Student Congress was not working on the issue and asked M. Adeghe if she was working with them.
 1. M. Adeghe pointed out lines 35 and 36, which notes that the Residential Student Congress will have two seats on the committee. She is not sure the Residential Student Congress has started those conversations and intends to include them.
 2. J. Anderson added that the Residential Student Congress only has jurisdiction over North Campus and Collegetown. It is not intended to be an intensive advocacy body like the S.A., but rather a leadership development organization. The S.A. has a history of inviting the Residential Student Congress to partner on issues.
 - iii. Motion to move Resolution #44 to Business of the Day—moved with 21-0-1.
 - iv. Motion to vote on Resolution #44—passed with 21-0-1.


VII. Adjournment

- a. J. Anderson adjourned the meeting at 5:49 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Catherine Tran

Clerk of the Employee Assembly
Office of the Assemblies



Originally Presented on:	(4/3/2020)
Type of Action:	Legislation
Status/Result:	New Business

1 S.A. Resolution #66
2 Instituting Mandatory IDP Training for SA Members
3

4 ABSTRACT: This resolution calls for the SA to institute a mandatory Intergroup Dialogue Project
5 training into the SA new member orientation. This training will be repeated with every SA new
6 member orientation.

7
8 **Sponsored by: Colin Benedict '21, Valentina Xu '22, Moriah Adeghe '21, Cat Huang '21**
9

10 **Whereas**, the undergraduate Student Assembly has a responsibility to keep its membership
11 informed on how to actively and productively engage in dialogue around issues that affect all
12 students at Cornell,
13

14 **Whereas**, to this point, there has been no structured diversity training integrated into any part of the
15 SA new member orientation,
16

17 **Whereas**, the Intergroup Dialogue Project (IDP) is an academic initiative at Cornell University that
18 creates community across difference through dialogue,
19

20 **Whereas**, the IDP offers a customizable 3-hour workshop program that fosters social identity
21 development, develops dialogue as a tool for communication, and enhances group dynamics
22 while inspiring participants to explore avenues for social justice advocacy,
23

24 **Be it further resolved**, that the SA will amend Line 99 of the Bylaws to the following:
25

26 Coordinate and supervise new member orientation with the Vice President of Internal
27 Operations and the Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion
28


29 **Be it further resolved**, that the SA will amend Line 113 of the Bylaws to the following:
30

31 Coordinate and supervise new member orientation with the Executive Vice President and
32 the Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion,
33

34 **Be it further resolved**, that the SA will amend Bylaw Article 3: Officer Duties, Section 6: Vice
35 President of Diversity and Inclusion, to include the following:
36

37 Line 174: Coordinate with the Executive Vice President, the Vice President of Internal
38 Operations, and the Intergroup Dialogue Project to incorporate a IDP Training Session into
39 the SA new member orientation.
40

41 **Be it finally resolved**, the previous amendments be made to the Student Assembly Bylaws, and the
42 IDP training session be permanently integrated into the SA new member orientation,
43
44



Cornell University Student Assembly

- 45 **Respectfully Submitted,**
46
47 Colin Benedict, '21
48 *VP of Diversity and Inclusion, Student Assembly*
49
50 Cat Huang '21
51 *Executive Vice President, Student Assembly*
52
53 Valentina Xu '22
54 *VP of Internal Operations, Student Assembly*
55
56 Moriah Adeghe '21
57 *VP of Finance, Student Assembly*
58
59 *(Reviewed by: Executive Committee, 5-0-0, 4/28/2020)*



Cornell University Student Assembly

Originally Presented On	04/23/2020
Type of Action	Internal Policy
Status/Result	New Business

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

S.A. Resolution #62

Establishment of the Students with Disabilities Representative At-Large

ABSTRACT: This resolution is intended to increase representation and accessibility to Cornell undergraduates with disabilities on the Cornell Student Assembly

Sponsored by: Uche Chukwukere '21, Conan Gillis '21, Joseph Anderson '20

Whereas, The Cornell Student Assembly is charged with examining matters of interest to the undergraduate student community, and making proposals to the appropriate officers or decision-making bodies of the University.

Whereas, The Cornell Student Assembly has legislative authority over the policies of the Office of the Dean of Students and the Department of Campus Life, and establishes the undergraduate Student Activity Fee and guidelines for its distribution

Whereas, Accurate and fair representation on the Cornell Student Assembly should be the highest priority at all times

Whereas, The most marginalized communities should have utmost priority and assurance that they will be fairly and accurately represented on a governing body such as the Cornell Student Assembly

Whereas, Cornell University is committed to diversity and inclusiveness with the goal of providing an accessible, usable and welcoming environment for all Cornell community members, including those with disabilities

Whereas, Students with disabilities at Cornell University deserve and should be afforded the same rights and privileges of all members of the Cornell community and provided equitable representation on governing bodies with the legislative ability to affect all undergraduate students

Whereas, There is no representation for students with disabilities on the Cornell Student Assembly

Whereas, There is currently necessary representation for other marginalized affinity groups on the Assembly and there should be a continual push for the members of the Assembly to increase access and representation of communities in which they do not identify with

30 **Whereas**, The Cornell Union for Disability Awareness is an alliance of people with disabilities
31 and allies interested in celebrating our culture and making an impact on and off campus.

32 **Whereas**, According to Article IV: Membership, Section 1: Composition, 184 - 205:

33 two at-large seats are to be reserved for candidates seeking to represent minority students; one
34 at-large seat is to be reserved for candidates seeking to represent international students; one at-
35 large seat is to be reserved for candidates seeking to represent women's issues in relation to the
36 broader Cornell community; one at-large seat is to be reserved for candidates seeking to
37 represent First Generation College students; and one at-large seat is to be reserved for candidates
38 seeking to represent the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer community.

39 **Whereas**, The following schools receive representation on Cornell's Student Assembly:

- 40 1) College of Architecture, Arts and Planning, with 503 undergraduate students
41 represented 20 by 1 voting member as of Fall 2018;
- 42 2) College of Arts and Sciences, with 4,602 undergraduate students represented by 3
43 voting 23 members as of Fall 2018;
- 44 3) College of Human Ecology, with 1,221 undergraduate students represented by 1
45 voting 26 member as of Fall 2018; 27
- 46 4) School of Industrial and Labor Relations, with 977 undergraduate students
47 represented 29 by 1 voting member as of Fall 2018;
- 48 5) College of Engineering, with 3,203 undergraduate students represented by 2 voting
49 32 members as of Fall 2018;
- 50 6) School of Hotel Administration, with approximately 870 undergraduate students 35
51 represented by 2 voting members as of Fall 2018;
- 52 7) College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, with approximately 3,100 undergraduate 38
53 students represented by 2 voting members as of Fall 2018;

54 **Be it therefore resolved**, the position of Students with Disabilities Representative At-Large
55 should be an established, voting, full-fledged seat on the Cornell Student Assembly

56 **Be it finally resolved**, the following amendments be made to the Cornell Student Assembly
57 Charter

58 **Respectfully submitted**,

59
60 Uche Chukwukere '21
61 *Undesignated Representative At Large, Student Assembly*

62
63 Conan Gillis '21
64 *President, Cornell Union for Disability Awareness*

65
66 Joseph Anderson '20
67 *President, Student Assembly*