Proposed language regarding misusage of confidential information

Current Language (Title Three, Art. II, Sec. A.2.d (pg. 17, 2017).

- 2. It shall be a violation of this Title, as an offense against the University:
 - d. To (1) forge, fraudulently alter, willfully falsify, or otherwise misuse University or non-University documents (including computerized or noncomputerized records, parking permits, dining cards, identification cards, other permits or cards, reserve books, or other property), or (2) possess such forged, altered, or falsified documents, or (3) unlawfully possess the identification of another person if that identification has a date of birth that would make the person legal to consume alcohol at a time the accused is not of a legal drinking age.

Proposed Language (Title Three, Art. II, Sec. A.2.d (pg. 17, 2017).

- 2. It shall be a violation of this Title, as an offense against the University:
 - d. To (1) forge, fraudulently alter, willfully falsify, or otherwise misuse University or non-University documents (including computerized or noncomputerized records, parking permits, dining cards, identification cards, other permits or cards, reserve books, or other property), or (2) possess such forged, altered, or falsified documents, or (3) unlawfully possess the identification of another person if that identification has a date of birth that would make the person legal to consume alcohol at a time the accused is not of a legal drinking age, or (4) disclose University documents denoted in writing to be confidential where an individual has explicitly assented in writing or other recorded medium to described terms of confidentiality.

Proposed language clarifying JA appointment procedures

Current Language (Title Two, Art. II, Sec. A.3 (pg. 12 2017).

3. The Judicial Administrator shall be appointed for a two-year term. A Judicial Administrator can be reappointed for additional terms. In October of the year preceding the expiration of the term of the Judicial Administrator, or upon the University Assembly chair's receipt of notice of the Judicial Administrator's resignation or removal, the chair shall convene a six-member search committee, including two members appointed by the President and four members appointed by the University Assembly, to propose two or more nominees to the President. The President shall appoint a candidate with the concurrence of the University Assembly. In the event of an

Proposed Language (Title Two, Art. II, Sec. A.3 (pg. 12 2017).

- 3. The Judicial Administrator shall be appointed for a two-year term. A Judicial Administrator can be reappointed for additional terms. In October of the year
 - a. Six months preceding the expiration of the term of the Judicial Administrator, the chair of the University Assembly shall convene a six-member committee, including two members appointed by the President, two members appointed by the University Assembly, the chair of the Codes and Judicial Committee, and the Judicial Codes Counselor to provide feedback to the Judicial Administrator and evaluate their term. The committee

unexpected vacancy, the Associate Judicial Administrator shall be appointed by the President, with the concurrence of the University Assembly, to serve until a permanent Judicial Administrator is appointed. will internally elect a chair and shall make a recommendation to the President either in favor or against the Judicial Administrator being nominated for an additional term. Such recommendation must be made at least four months prior to the expiration of the current term. -or

b. Upon the University Assembly chair's receipt of notice of the Judicial Administrator's resignation or removal, the chair shall convene a six-member search committee, including two members appointed by the President and four members appointed by the University Assembly, to propose two or more nominees to the President.

The President shall appoint or reappoint a candidate with the concurrence of the University Assembly. In the event of an unexpected vacancy, the Associate Judicial Administrator shall be appointed by the President, with the concurrence of the University Assembly, to serve until a permanent Judicial Administrator is appointed.

Proposed language adding discretion to No Contact Directive procedures

Current Language (Title Three, Art. III, Sec. B.2 (pg. 19, 2017).

- a. In cases involving allegations of harassment, abuse, assault, rape, or other menacing activity, the Judicial Administrator, after making a reasonable effort to meet with the accused if appropriate to do so, may issue a No-Contact Directive.
- b. The Judicial Administrator shall make available to the accused the exact terms of the No-Contact Directive, as soon as it is issued. c. In the event the Judicial Administrator is notified of a violation of the terms of the No-Contact Directive, the accused shall be provided with an opportunity to review the matter with the Judicial Administrator within two business days. If the Judicial Administrator determines, based upon the information available, that the No-Contact Directive has been violated, he or she may suspend the accused temporarily, pending resolution of the underlying case.

Proposed Language (Title Three, Art. III, Sec. B.2 (pg. 19, 2017).

a. In cases involving allegations of harassment, abuse, assault, rape, or other menacing activity, the Judicial Administrator, after making a reasonable effort to meet with the accused if appropriate to do so, may issue a No-Contact Directive, binding upon all involved parties.

b. The Judicial Administrator shall make available to the accused the exact terms of the No-Contact Directive, as soon as it is issued.

c. In the event the Judicial Administrator is notified of a violation of the terms of the No-Contact Directive, the accused shall be provided with an opportunity to review the matter with the Judicial Administrator within two business days. If the Judicial Administrator determines, based upon the information available, that the No-Contact Directive has been violated, he or she may impose additional interim

measures or suspend the accused temporarily, pending resolution of
the underlying case.
c. In the case of such directive, the accused may petition the
University Heard Board in writing for a review of the decision. That
board shall meet to consider the petition as soon as possible, but no
later than seven business days after it receives the petition. However,
that board may grant a postponement upon the request of the accused,
to a date not later than 21 calendar days after the petition is received.
If that board determines that the No-Contact Directive was improper
or is no longer necessary, it shall lift the directive immediately. The
board's decision may not supersede an active court order.

