

Cornell University Employee Assembly

Minutes of the Wednesday, June 15, 2016 Meeting 12:15pm – 1:30pm in Physical Sciences Building, Room 201

I. Call to Order

- U. Smith called the meeting to order at 12:17pm.
- Present at the Start of the Meeting: Atu Darko, BJ Siasoco, Brandee Nicholson, Carrie Sanzone, Imani Allen, Jeramy Kruser, Kathy Shiels, Kevin Fitch, Kristine Mahoney, Kristy Long, Laura Johnson-Kelly, Linda Majani, Liz Field, Matthew Carcella, Michelle Stefanski Seymour, Robert Morris, Shelly Cowles, Suzanne Willcox, Tamara Payne, Tammy Shapiro, Tanya Grove, Ulysses Smith
- Not Present at the Start of the Meeting: Babatunde Ayanfodun, Hazel Hall, Pilar Thompson
- Also Present: G. Giambatistta, B. Murphy, A. Bishop

II. Presentation by Allan Bishop, Associate Vice President for Human Relations

- A. Bishop introduced two proposed changes to University policy that would affect employees.
- The first was a streamlining of holiday and premium pay policy. Current policy allows employees to select one of three options for working on a holiday, and the move to a single option (two-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay) will reduce transactional burden.
- The second was a change to the vacation, health, and personal leave accrual policy for when non-union employees are on a paid leave. Note: paid leave does not include vacation time, but it does include worker's compensation, maternity leave, parental leave, and others. Currently, the policy allows for non-union employees to accrue leave while on a paid leave until the first of the month following twenty consecutive days of paid leave. The new proposes policy removes this, meaning non-union employees on paid leave will no longer accrue leave.
- M. Stefanski Seymour noted that the policy change would take away something, since staff
 members currently accrue leave over the first 20 days. The new policy means that paid
 leave won't be accrued.
- J. Kruser said that it would be just as simple to say "we do accrue" over leave and asked how often is it that employees reach the 20-day cutoff for leave accrual. A. Bishop said that a number of employees due, via short term disability, parental leave, or maternal leave. He added that a blanket leave accrual policy for paid leave would pose a new cost to the University.
- U. Smith asked if there had been data collected on this issue and if it is possible to analyze the data before making a decision. A. Bishop said that the data does exist, although he didn't have exact figures with him at the time. He also expressed concern about the accuracy of the data since the current procedure is manual and errors can occur.
- L. Johnson-Kelly said that the current policy is not fair, since the date you start paid leave can affect how much leave you accrue and asked if a blanket 20-day accrual policy could be implemented. A. Bishop said that the current goal is to achieve a technology-driven solution that removes the need for manual adjustment. He added that Workday is not



- currently customizable to that extent.
- M. Carcella said that the change appears as if benefits are being taken away from employees, and that this will have an effect on staff morale. He also said that inconsistent implementation because of human error is not a good reason to negatively change policy.
- J. Kruser said that the administration needs to look at what it would actually cost the University to allow leave accrual during entire paid leave time. He added that the cost could be negligible or result in increased staff morale.
- M. Stefanski Seymour asked what the financial benefit would be to the University if no leave accrual was allowed, as the proposed policy says. A. Bishop said that this information was not available
- M. Stefanski Seymour followed-up by asking if the policy change was already completed, and going to be implemented on July 1, 2016, as the information sheet says. She asked about the communication plan and its time. A. Bishop said that the policy is still getting feedback, from groups like the Assembly. The communication plan was going to center around groups that this change would affect most.
- S. Willcox asked if comparative research to peer Universities had been done and, if it had, what it showed. A. Bishop said that a similar practice at a peer institution could not be identified.
- U. Smith brought the discussion to a close and noted that the Assembly would consolidate feedback and pass it on to A. Bishop.

III. Internal Elections

- The following committee chairs were elected by unanimous consent:
 - O Staff Recognition and Awards Committee: Tanya Grove
 - o Employee Education Committee: Babatunde Ayanfodun
 - o Elections Committee: BJ Siasoco
 - o Personnel Policy Committee: Matthew Carcella
- The following members were elected by unanimous consent to committees to fill "from the Assembly" positions:
 - o Internal Operations Committee: Linda Majani
 - Staff Recognition and Awards Committee: Robert Morris, Shelly Cowles, Michelle Stefanski Seymour, Suzanne Willcox
 - o Employee Education Committee: Tammy Shapiro, Jeramy Kruser, Laura Johnson-Kelly, Imani Allen, Brandee Nicholson
 - o Communication Committee: BJ Siasoco, Ulysses Smith, Jeramy Kruser
 - o Personnel Policy Committee: Liz Field, Tamara Payne, Kristy Long, Kevin Fitch
 - o University Benefits:

Union: To Be Filled

Endowed: Kathy Shiels

Statutory: Michelle Stefanski Seymour

At-Large: BJ Siasoco

- The following members were elected by unanimous consent to the two Assembly representative positions on the University Assembly: Kevin Fitch and Jeremy Kruser
- The following members were elected by unanimous consent to the standing committees of the University Assembly:
 - o Campus Infrastructure Committee: Robert Morris



- o Campus Welfare Committee: Jeremy Kruser
- o Codes and Judicial Committee: Tammy Shapiro
- o Campus Planning Committee: Tamara Payne and Imani Allen

U. Smith adjourned the meeting at 1:31pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Brian Murphy

Office of the Assemblies