

GPSA Resolution 16: In support of further discussion on proposed curricular changes to language requirements in the College of Arts & Sciences

Sponsored by: Jesse Goldberg, GPSA Arts & Humanities Voting Member

Whereas, on March 20, 2018 the College of Arts & Sciences Curriculum Committee ("the committee") released a final report of its recommendations for curricular changes; and

Whereas, as part of that report, the committee recommends "that we replace the current language requirement — completion of one course taught in any language at or above the non-introductory level or at least 11 credits in one language — with a requirement that students complete one course taught in any language at the non-introductory level or at least two courses of three credits each in one language;" and

Whereas, on March 28, 2018, eighty-nine faculty members from departments and programs including nearly all of the faculty in Romance Studies, as well as faculty in English, Near Eastern Studies, Asian Studies, Food Sciences, Religious Studies, Sociology, German studies, Jewish studies, Music, Medieval Studies, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Linguistics, Comparative Literature, Science and Technology Studies, Classics, and Art signed a public Letter to the Editor in the *Cornell Daily Sun* asserting that "[t]hese changes are wide-ranging and, in our opinion, dramatically antithetical to the mission of the College. For this reason, we believe that further discussion must be held that include the opinions of the many members of the faculty who oppose the proposed changes;"² and

Whereas, the Letter to the Editor goes on to note, "we foresee a dramatic ripple effect from top to bottom with the language requirement as proposed — on graduate students, on undergraduates, on faculty;" and

Whereas, graduate students in Romance Studies have expressed concerns that one part of the "dramatic ripple effect" noted by faculty may likely be a reduction in funding opportunities via graduate teaching assistantships in language courses; and

Whereas, we believe that the March 28 Letter to the Editor evidences near unanimous agreement among Romance Studies faculty and overwhelming support from faculty across the College of Arts & Sciences for critical pushback on the committee's recommendation; and

1 http://as.cornell.edu/curriculum

² http://cornellsun.com/2018/03/28/letter-to-the-editor-on-proposed-curriculum-change-to-language-requirement/



38

74

39 many factors influence curricular revisions, we generally believe that all possibilities should 40 be exhausted before making curricular revisions which have the potential to negatively affect 41 graduate or professional student funding; and 42 43 Whereas, while graduate teaching assistants are not recognized by Cornell University administration 44 as "employees," we believe that the labor that graduate TAs provide to language courses 45 constitute treating those TAs with the same respect and procedural reverence as employees; 46 47 Be it therefore resolved, that the GPSA joins our constituent colleagues in Romance Studies as 48 well as the eighty-nine faculty member signees of the March 28 letter in calling for further 49 discussion of the committee's proposal to reduce the language requirement; and 50 51 Be it further resolved, that the GPSA in no way dissents from, and in fact supports, the 52 committee's recommendation "that the College adopt a policy that, for the purpose of 53 completing the language requirement, "language" means any living or extinct human 54 language, including sign languages, offered at Cornell;" and 55 56 Be it further resolved, that the GPSA urges the College of Arts & Sciences to explicitly consider 57 lost teaching assistantships as strongly as if they were employee layoffs; and 58 59 Be it further resolved, that the GPSA urges that should the College of Arts & Sciences finally 60 decide to reduce the language requirement, then the College ought to provide concrete data 61 demonstrating how a reduction in required courses that are heavily constituted by graduate 62 student labor will result in no lost funding opportunities for graduate students; and 63 64 Be it finally resolved, that the GPSA ultimately urges the College of Arts & Sciences to revise its 65 curriculum without cutting any courses that are heavily served by graduate student labor in 66 the form of assistantships. 67 68 69 Respectfully Submitted, 70 71 Jesse Goldberg 72 GPSA Voting Member, Arts & Humanities 73

Whereas, while the members of the Graduate and Professional Student Assembly understand that