

## GPSA Resolution 6: Bringing Cornell University Policy into Compliance with Federal Civil Rights Legislation

Sponsored by: Anna Waymack, Daniel Citron

**WHEREAS,** Federal legislation, namely Title IX, requires that, "[I]n order for a school's grievance procedures to be consistent with Title IX standards, the school must use a preponderance of the evidence standard"; and

**WHEREAS,** Appendix C to Cornell University Policy 6.4 specifies that faculty appeals on the basis of subordinate-supervisory relationships or academic freedom can be defeated only by "clear and convincing evidence," including in grievances arising from sexual harassment and assault; and

**WHEREAS**, this places Cornell University Policy in direct conflict with federal civil rights legislation; and

WHEREAS, the university has already had the opportunity to respond to the 2013-2014 "Annual Report of the Judicial Codes Counselor," dated May 31, 2015, which observes, "Cornell preserved a procedure affording comparatively extensive protections to accused faculty members when allegations of misconduct arise out of a supervisory-subordinate relationship or within a context of academic freedom. This procedure seems to violate federal law, which does not distinguish between complaints against students and complaints against faculty"; and

**WHEREAS**, the university has additionally had the opportunity to respond to the *Cornell Review* when the Review Staff described these particular policies as being in "clear violation of federal guidelines" on June 16, 2015; and

**WHEREAS**, a lack of recent utilization of this appeals process has no bearing on future reliance upon it, especially in a changing landscape of reporting; and

**WHEREAS**, the Office for Civil Rights already has an open investigation into the university for other potential violations of Title IX related to sexual assault; and

**WHEREAS**, the 2015 Report on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct for Cornell University finds that among Cornell students who have been sexually harassed, 26.1% of female graduate students and 16.9% of male graduate students identify the offender as a faculty member; and

**WHEREAS**, a significant proportion of incidences of sexual harassment and assault perpetuated upon graduate and professional students take advantage of this specific subordinate-supervisory relationship;



44 45 46

**BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED,** that the GPSA earnestly recommends Cornell University and specifically the Faculty Senate immediately undertake to change the burden of proof to a "preponderance of the evidence" standard in appeals of cases arising under Policy 6.4 and in appeals of cases otherwise under the aegis of Title IX.

48 49

47

50

## 51 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

- 52 Anna Waymack,
- 53 GPSA Field Representative, Medieval Studies

54

- 55 Daniel Citron,
- 56 GPSA Field Representative, Physics