

## GPSA Resolution 6: Bringing Cornell University Policy into Compliance with Federal Civil Rights Legislation

Sponsored by: Anna Waymack, Daniel Citron

**WHEREAS**, Federal legislation, namely Title IX, requires that, "[I]n order for a school's grievance procedures to be consistent with Title IX standards, the school must use a preponderance of the evidence standard"; and

**WHEREAS,** Appendix C to Cornell University Policy 6.4 specifies that faculty appeals on the basis of subordinate-supervisory relationships or academic freedom can be defeated only by "clear and convincing evidence," including in grievances arising from sexual harassment and assault; and

**WHEREAS**, this places Cornell University Policy in direct conflict with federal civil rights legislation; and

WHEREAS, the university has already had the opportunity to respond to the 2013-2014 "Annual Report of the Judicial Codes Counselor," dated May 31, 2015, which observes, "Cornell preserved a procedure affording comparatively extensive protections to accused faculty members when allegations of misconduct arise out of a supervisory-subordinate relationship or within a context of academic freedom. This procedure seems to violate federal law, which does not distinguish between complaints against students and complaints against faculty"; and

**WHEREAS**, the university has additionally had the opportunity to respond to the *Cornell Review* when the Review Staff described these particular policies as being in "clear violation of federal guidelines" on June 16, 2015; and

**WHEREAS**, a lack of recent utilization of this appeals process has no bearing on future reliance upon it, especially in a changing landscape of reporting; and

**WHEREAS**, the Office for Civil Rights already has an open investigation into the university for other potential violations of Title IX related to sexual assault; and

**WHEREAS**, the 2015 Report on the AAU Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct for Cornell University finds that among Cornell students who have been sexually harassed, 26.1% of female graduate students and 16.9% of male graduate students identify the offender as a faculty member; and

**WHEREAS**, a significant proportion of incidences of sexual harassment and assault perpetuated upon graduate and professional students take advantage of this specific subordinate-supervisory relationship;



44	
45	
46	

**BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED,** that the GPSA earnestly recommends Cornell University and specifically the Faculty Senate immediately undertake to change the burden of proof to a "preponderance of the evidence" standard in appeals of cases arising under Policy 6.4 and in appeals of cases otherwise under the aegis of Title IX.

48 49

47

50

## 51 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

- 52 Anna Waymack,
- 53 GPSA Field Representative, Medieval Studies

54

- 55 Daniel Citron,
- 56 GPSA Field Representative, Physics



42 43

Daniel Citron,

## GPSA Resolution 7: Supervisor-Student Relationships 1 2 3 4 Sponsored by: Anna Waymack, Daniel Citron 5 WHEREAS, the Cornell University graduate school policy on faculty-student relationships 6 is only outlined at http://gradschool.cornell.edu/policies/relationships; and 7 8 **WHEREAS**, it is therein unclear who enforces this policy and in what ways the policy is 9 enforced; and 10 **WHEREAS**, this existing policy requires that such relationships must not develop or 11 12 continue without an exemption from the supervising dean of the person in authority; and 13 14 WHEREAS, there is no enforcement provision in place for violations of this policy; and 15 16 WHEREAS, unreported relationships with such academic and professional power 17 differentials are rife with potential for abuse; and 18 19 **WHEREAS**, it is in the best interest of the Cornell faculty, administration, and student 20 body that Cornell avoid attracting negative journalistic attention in line with recent 21 publicized cases from peer institutions; 22 23 **BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED,** that the GPSA strongly recommends that in cases of 24 violation of this policy, the presumption of wrongdoing be on the person in position of 25 authority, as it is their responsibility to report; and 26 27 **BE IT FURTHERMORE RESOLVED,** that the GPSA strongly recommends that in cases 28 of violation of this policy, the professional relationship shall be dissolved; and 29 30 BE IT FURTHERMORE RESOLVED, that the GPSA strongly recommends that said 31 professional relationship be replaced with one amenable to the student at no financial cost 32 to the student; and 33 34 **BE IT FURTHERMORE RESOLVED,** that the GPSA strongly recommends that said 35 cases be handled, insofar as is within university control, without professional repercussions 36 to the student. 37 38 39 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 40 Anna Waymack, 41 GPSA Field Representative, Medieval Studies



44 GPSA Field Representative, Physics