

Originally Presented on:	03/30/2017
Type of Action:	Investigation
Status/Result:	New Business

## S.A. Resolution #40 1 2 A Comprehensive Investigation into Cornell Exam Scheduling 3 4 ABSTRACT: This resolution recommends the formation of an ad-hoc committee of faculty and 5 students, charged with exploring inter-departmental exam-scheduling coordination, increased 6 student consultation, increased faculty communication, a reconsideration of the 24-hour rule for 7 final exams, and general transparency concerning exam scheduling procedures. 8 9 10 Sponsored by: Justin Selig '17 11 12 Whereas, the process of exam scheduling has varied widely across departments, relying mostly on 13 discussion between faculty, students remain passive stakeholders in arguably one of the most 14 important components of their academic pursuits; 15 16 Whereas, 'exams' shall be herein defined as Tuesday/Thursday registrar-scheduled prelims, registrar-17 scheduled final exams, and in-class exams occurring at any other times determined by the course 18 instructor; 19 20 Whereas, faculty whose exams are not scheduled by the university registrar generally consult directly 21 with their students to schedule alternative exam dates. These unilaterally-determined exam dates 22 are typically decided by majority vote without deference to the registrar; 23 24 Whereas, while faculty may try to mitigate exam overlap by considering other courses in that faculty 25 member's major or department, students often take many courses across departments, schools, 26 and colleges of which individual faculty members cannot have extensive knowledge; 27 28 Whereas, the university registrar strongly urges faculty to "grant student requests for a make-up 29 examination" in the case that a student has more than two exams in one 24-hour period. The 30 registrar defines "more than two exams in one 24-hour period" as: 31 32 More than two exams in one day (9:00 AM, 2:00 PM, and 7:00 PM). 33 An evening exam followed by morning and afternoon exams (7:00 PM, 9:00 AM, 34 and 2:00 PM). 35 Afternoon and evening exams followed by a morning exam (2:00 PM, 7:00 PM, and

**Whereas**, it is possible for students to have more than three final exams in a 48-hour, two-day period, thereby negating the perceived spirit and efficacy of the current 24-hour rule. For example:

40 41 Exam 1: 9am, Day 1 42 Exam 2: 7pm, Day 1 43 Exam 3: 2pm, Day 2 44 Exam 4: 7pm, Day 2;

9:00 AM).

36

37 38

39

46 47

48 49 50

51 52 53

54 55 56

57 58 59

60 61

63 64 65

62

66 67 68

70 71 72

69

77

78 79 80

82 83 84

81

87

85 86

88 89

- Whereas, as a result of disjoint exam-scheduling methods, students are limited in their course choices as they are discouraged from taking classes with conflicting exam times. These times are typically released on syllabi in the first few days of a course;
- Whereas, students following similar course tracks who experience clustered exam schedules should be easily identified by their respective departments;
- Whereas, prelim exam scheduling is handled by the registrar for T/TH exams based mostly on roomavailability, however, there is no explicit policy for rescheduling MWF exams or in-class exams;
- Whereas, while the Educational Policy Committee (EPC) of the Faculty Senate is charged with considering "matters of general educational policies relating to the instruction that concern more than one college, school or separate academic unit... [including] Preliminary and Final Examination Policies," the EPC focuses on a broad range of policy concerns;
- Whereas, the Academic Policy Committee performed an initial investigation into inter-departmental procedures for exam scheduling, (including CHEM, ECE, CS, ILR, ECON, PSYCH, HDD, MATH, PAM) and received responses by department chairs validating mixed procedures and minimizing the stressfulness of exam-taking in favor of current procedures;
- Whereas, exams are arguably the broadest and most universal university-imposed source of student
- Be it therefore resolved, that the Student Assembly recommends the Faculty Senate, in consultation with Cornell Health, form a committee with members of the faculty and undergraduate student body to investigate possible solutions to the problems tied to exam-scheduling outlined above;
- Be it further resolved, that the proposed committee look into extending the 24-hour rule to a longer 30 or 36-hour rule that prevents the possibility of a student having more than three exams in a twoday, 48-hour period;
- Be it further resolved, the Academic Policy Committee recommends other potential topics to be investigated, including:
  - Scheduling of exams during religious holidays.
  - Exam conflicts with other forms of evaluation including group projects, essays, and labs;
- Be it further resolved, that the Student Assembly acknowledges and respects the autonomy given to faculty in crafting their course syllabi and recommends the proposed committee look into the feasibility of the following solutions:
  - Including language in the faculty handbook to suggest consulting students to set exam
  - Consulting the EPC on ideas for conflict mitigation;



90 91 Be it further resolved, that the proposed committee work with undergraduate university departments to 92 seek solutions applicable to the problems determined relevant by the proposed committee; 93 94 Be it finally resolved, that this resolution be forwarded to the Dean of Faculty, the Dean of Students, 95 and the Educational Policy Committee of the Faculty Senate; 96 97 Respectfully Submitted, 98 99 Justin Selig '17 100 College of Engineering Representative, Student Assembly 101 102 Samir Durvasula '17 103 Member, Student Assembly Academic Policy Committee 104 105 With Support from: 106 107 Noah Chovanec '18 108 Alexis Pollitto '18 109 Shreya Mantrala '18 110 Caleb Sturman '19 111 Christine Amenechi '19 112 Members, Student Assembly Academic Policy Committee 113 114 Dale Barbaria '19 115 Chair, Student Assembly Academic Policy Committee 116 117 Gabe Kaufman '18 118 Former Chair, Student Assembly Academic Policy Committee 119 120 Reviewed by: Academic Policy Committee, 15-0-1, 03/27/2017 121 Reviewed by: Academic Policy Committee, 12-0-1, 03/30/2017