

Resolution 56: Affirming the Responsibility of the Shared Governance System to Uphold Freedom of Expression

- 4 Abstract: This resolution acknowledges the essential importance of consulting the organs of shared
- 5 governance in policy making that directly affects undergraduate life, especially policies
- 6 concerning freedom of expression; it recognizes the fifty-year history of consultation between the
- 7 University President and the Student Assembly as the crucial mechanism to develop a cohesive
- 8 social contract on campus; it recognizes the role of the University President to administer the
- 9 University; and it recommends the interim Expressive Activity Policy not be renewed absent the
- 10 formal advice, consideration and review of the assemblies.
- 11 Sponsored by: J.P. Swenson '25, Patrick Kuehl '24, Claire Ting '25, Clyde Lederman '26, Suraj
- 12 Parikh '24

1

2

3

- 13 *Reviewed by:* Student Assembly, 02/22/2024, [fill-in vote count]
- 14 *Type of Action:* Sense-of-the-Assembly
- 15 Originally Presented: 02/22/2024
- 16 Current Status: [To Be Placed on the Agenda for the February 22 meeting via a Suspension of
- 17 the Rules]
- 18 Whereas, the system of shared governance was developed at Cornell University five decades ago
- as a fair and effective mechanism to create a binding social contract of community norms during
- a period of intensive national political, social, and generational divisions;
- Whereas, the Charter of the Student Assembly sets forth that the purpose of the Assembly is "to
- 22 effect more extensive involvement in campus governance in the undergraduate student body."
- Whereas, the Charter of the Student Assembly charges the Assembly with "the authority and the
- 24 responsibility to examine any matters which involve the interests or concern the welfare of the
- 25 student community."
- Whereas, on January 24, 2024, the interim Expressive Activity Policy (University Policy 4.23)
- 27 at Cornell University entered into force;



- Whereas, this policy was enacted by the University administration absent the formal advice of the
- 29 bodies of shared governance at Cornell, including the Faculty Senate, Graduate Student Assembly,
- and Student Assembly;
- Whereas, the only feedback permitted to be provided by the Student Assembly was required by
- 32 the Dean of Students to be done in a sixty-minute confidential meeting and with only six of the
- thirty-one members of the Student Assembly present;
- Whereas, the aforementioned confidential meeting occurred twelve days prior to the enactment
- of Policy 4.23, yet the University Administration claims this policy has been in development for
- 36 many years;
- Whereas, none of the feedback offered during the aforementioned meeting was implemented;
- 38 Whereas, the confidential nature of this process with stakeholders chosen by the University deeply
- 39 undermines the spirit of collaboration and representative participation that undergirds the half-
- 40 century old system of shared governance;
- Whereas, the directly elected trustees representing undergraduate and graduate students were
- 42 entirely unaware of the development of this policy, as well as other on campus constituency-
- 43 elected trustees;
- 44 Whereas, the Student Assembly acknowledges the legal mandates that instruct the nature of
- 45 University regulations on expressive activity, including by the Rules for the Maintenance of Public
- 46 Order (RMPO);
- Whereas, the Student Assembly affirms that the University President, pursuant to Article V(1) of
- 48 the University Bylaws, "is charged with responsibility for providing general supervision to all
- 49 affairs of the University";
- Whereas, for fifty years the Board of Trustees and University Presidents have affirmatively,
- formally, and seriously consulted the organs of shared governance in the exercise of their duties
- for the sake of campus unity and effective policymaking;
- Be it therefore resolved, that is the sense of the Student Assembly that the fifty-year history of
- 54 consultation between the University President and the Student Assembly is crucial mechanism to
- develop a cohesive social contract on campus;
- Resolved, that is the sense of the Student Assembly that formal participation of democratically
- 57 elected representatives in policy making is essential when issues as fundamental to university life
- as the regulation of public assemblies are concerned;



- Resolved, that is the sense of the Student Assembly that implementation of policies governing free expression and protest activity absent the formal advice of the assemblies severely strains the
- social contract at the University that is essential for a community of safety and belonging;
- Resolved, that is the sense of the Student Assembly that the undergraduate student body is keenly
- and extraordinarily concerned with the provisions of University Policy 4.23 because of its outsized
- and restrictive effect on the exercise of freedom of expression;
- Resolved, Student Assembly requests, petitions, and recommends that the University President
- and the Executive Policy Review Group not renew University Policy 4.23 beyond the first instance
- of its expiration without the formal advice of the assemblies in a process to be developed between
- 68 University Assembly and the University President; and
- Resolved, it is the intention of the Student Assembly to develop a comprehensive process to solicit
- 70 feedback from undergraduate students and provide detailed amendments to University Policy 4.23
- 71 prior to the conclusion of the 2024 Spring Semester.
- 72
- 73 Respectfully submitted,
- 74 J.P. Swenson '25
- 75 Student Elected Trustee, Cornell University
- 76
- 77 Patrick Kuehl '24
- 78 *President,* Student Assembly
- 79
- 80 Claire Ting '25
- 81 Executive Vice President, Student Assembly
- 82
- 83 Clyde Lederman '26
- 84 Vice President for Internal Operations, Student Assembly
- 85
- 86 Suraj Parikh '24
- 87 Vice President for External Affairs, Student Assembly