Originally Presented on:	(09/21/15)
Type of Action:	Byline Report
Status/Result:	New Business



Organization	ALANA
2014-2016 Allocation	\$8.04
2016-2018 Request	\$8.05
Appropriations Recommendation	\$8.05

### Rationale of the Committee

The Appropriations Committee recommends funding ALANA \$8.05 for the 2016-2018 By-Line Funding Cycle. The Appropriations Committee believes that ALANA has made significant improvements since its decrease in funding during the 2014-2016 cycle. They believe that the large surplus represents an overly conservative approach from an organization that was pushed to trim their budget as much as possible. The Appropriations Committee believes that umbrella organizations are currently being underfunded according to their needs and that surplus should be primarily dedicated to the growth of these organizations. The Committee, however, remains hesitant regarding the significant surplus and recommends that future Committees analyze this surplus in the context of a temporary decrease if it remains at its current level or higher. Finally, the Appropriations Committee suggests that ALANA determine better mechanisms to budget and work with umbrella organizations. Although the Committee is sympathetic to the amount of information that ALANA needs to utilize relative to other byline organizations, they believe that ALANA can do more to create a more understandable, appropriate packet without repeated mistakes.

### Vote Totals

The Committee votes down, from the highest number proposed to the lowest, to give the group the advantage. The vote totals from the Committee were as follows:

Amount	Vote
\$8.05	8-1-1

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Stefanko

Vice President for Finance

No appeal.

Originally Presented on:	(09/21/15)
Type of Action:	Byline Report
Status/Result:	New Business



Organization	Haven
2014-2016 Allocation	\$3.22
2016-2018 Request	\$3.80
Appropriations Recommendation	\$3.50

#### Rationale of the Committee

The Appropriations Committee recommends funding Haven \$3.50 for the 2016-2018 By-Line Funding Cycle. The Appropriations Committee believes that the diverse communities that Haven represents are being significantly underfunded by Cornell University but represent an important, valuable area of campus. The Committee agreed that Haven showed enough growth in member organizations to justify an increase. Despite this desire to increase member organization funding, they did not believe that enough justification was given to warrant an increase as significant as \$0.58 per student to be directed towards those organizations. The Committee would like to see more willingness on behalf of those organizations to cosponsor and produce programming prior to that increase. The Committee also felt that cost per student numbers are slightly too high and that efforts should be made to either cut unnecessary costs or increase attendance. Finally, the Appropriations Committee believes that Haven should continue to promote events that engage a wide variety of campus while keeping administrative and food costs low.

# Vote Totals

The Committee votes down, from the highest number proposed to the lowest, to give the group the advantage. The vote totals from the Committee were as follows:

Amount	Vote
\$3.80	1-7-2
\$3.50	9-0-1

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Stefanko

Vice President for Finance

No appeal.

Originally Presented on:	(09/21/15)
Type of Action:	Byline Report
Status/Result:	New Business



Organization	MGLC
2014-2016 Allocation	\$0.00
2016-2018 Request	\$0.50
Appropriations Recommendation	\$0.50

#### Rationale of the Committee

The Appropriations Committee recommends funding MGLC \$0.50 for the 2016-2018 By-Line Funding Cycle. The Appropriations Committee believes that MGLC provides a significant service to students on campus by acting as both a programming board oriented towards cultural events as well as a place for students not traditionally involved in the Greek system to feel welcome and embraced. The Appropriations Committee believes that significant growth has been achieved to justify inclusion on the Student Activity Fee and that numerous successful events in the community are an indication of that. Despite this potential, the current Committee strongly recommends that future members of the Committee investigate whether or not chapters within MGLC are spending at equitable rates and the extent to which the Student Activity Fee is necessary given the bevy of alternative funding sources that exist on campus. Finally, although MGLC organizations have grown significantly over the past few years, the group is still in its infancy and should be watched to see if their presence is large enough in future years to warrant this amount of money

# Vote Totals

The Committee votes down, from the highest number proposed to the lowest, to give the group the advantage. The vote totals from the Committee were as follows:

Amount	Vote
\$0.50	9-0-1

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Stefanko

Vice President for Finance

No appeal.