

Student Assembly Meeting

AGENDA November 17, 2022 4:45 - 6:30pm MEMORIAL ROOM, WILLARD STRAIGHT HALL

<u>ZOOM</u>

Meeting ID: 947 1648 3660 | Passcode: 303164

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call
- 2. Land Acknowledgement of the Gayogohó:no (Cayuga Nation)
- 3. Late Additions to the Agenda
- 4. Consent Agenda: <u>Approval of November 10th, 2022 Meeting Minutes</u>
- 5. Open Microphone
- 6. Announcements and Reports
- 7. Initiatives
- 8. Presentations and Forums
- 9. Business of the Day
- 10. New Business
 - 1. Resolution #14- Special Projects Funding for Willard Straight Union Board
- 11. Adjournment

If you are in need of special accommodations, contact the Office of the Assemblies at (607) 255-3715 or Student Disability Services at (607) 254-4545 prior to the meeting.



Cornell University Student Assembly

Minutes of the November 10, 2022 Meeting 4:45 PM – 6:30 PM 407 Willard Straight Hall

I. Call to Order

a. President V. Valencia called the meeting to order at 4:54pm

II. Roll Call

- a. Members Present [19]: S. Ali, S. Bhardwaj, D. Cady, A. Lampert, J. Lee, R. DeLorenzo, D. Nachman, J. Kalinski, M. Song, V. Valencia, S. Williams, K. Liu, B. Mehretu, A. Richmond, Y. Yuan, D. Edelman, N. Son, JP. Swenson, B. Luckow
- b. Members Excused: M. Baker, K. Chan, R. Chatterji, P. Da Silveira, G. Dong, B. Koth, L. Lu, J. Mayen, C. Ting, E. D'Angelo, A. Lewis

III. Land Acknowledgment of the Gayogohó:no (Cayuga Nation)

a. President V. Valencia stated the land acknowledgment

IV. Late Additions to the Agenda

- a. A. Lampert motions to add Resolution 13 under New Business, passed by unanimous consent
- b. S. Bhardwaj motions to add Resolution 12 under New Business, passed by unanimous consent

V. Consent Agenda

- a. Approval of the November 3, 2022 meeting minutes
 - i. S. Bhardwaj motions to change "Amisha Chowdhurry to Chowdhury," passed by unanimous consent
 - ii. B. Mehretu motions to approve the meeting minutes, passed by unanimous consent

VI. Open Microphone

a. None

VII. Announcements and Reports

- a. V. Valencia announces a written statement on the recent events involving Greek life
- b. R. De Lorenzo announces the approval of \$321 to the Disability Awareness Union at Cornell to host an event to discuss mental health and disability justice



- i. The event will consistent of welcomes, intros, Disability Awareness Union presentations, Cornell EARs presentation, student testimonials with a Q&A, and a reception
- ii. \$180 will go towards two hours of sign language interpreters for the event and the rest will go towards refreshments and advertisements
- iii. C. Taylor expands that getting referred to disability specialists is extremely difficult at Cornell, so the goal is to increase awareness of resources that are available and provide a step-by-step guide to navigating referrals that'll be on video and recorded with a transcript and sign language interpretation on their website. It'll be available to all students for at least the next year and a half
- iv. V. Valencia clarifies that since it's less than \$400 there's no vote, just an announcement
- v. J. Kalinski asks how much is left in the special projects fund
- vi. R. De Lorenzo responds it's around \$15,000 \$321
- vii. S. Bhardwaj asks when the event is
- viii. C. Taylor responds it's on Tuesday the 15th at 4:30pm in Ives 112
- c. S. Bhardwaj announces an in-person meeting for the Student Health Advisory Committee this weekend to discuss the recent events in Greek Life and how we can support students and survivors
- d. D. Cady announces that President Pollack will be visiting the University Assembly on the 15th as well

VIII. Initiatives

a. None

IX. Presentation and Forums

a. None

X. Business of the Day

a. None

XI. New Business

- a. Resolution 12 is presented by S. Bhardwaj, R. De Lorenzo, and S. Williams
 - i. S. Bhardwaj states this resolution condemns the recent events and urges the implantation of harm reduction strategies in Greek life
 - ii. S. Bhardwaj reads their resolution



- iii. It details the crime alerts relating to roofying and sexual assault that went out over the weekend
- iv. On November 6th, all IFC social events were suspended by the IFC
- v. On November 7th, President Pollack and Vice President Lombardi released a joint statement condemning these events and reminding the community that this behavior must be eradicated
- vi. Cornell is committed to facilitating a culture of safety, consent, and inclusivity and all students must feel safe and supported in Greek Life and other social spaces
- vii. In order for Cornell University to remain true to its motto of "any person, any study," Cornell must reaffirm its dedication to the safety of students of all genders, sexual orientations, races and other backgrounds
- viii. The Cornell SA unequivocally condemns sexual assault and the drugging of our peers in the strongest possible terms
- ix. The SA urges administration to implement actionable steps to reduce harm inflicted on our community, including strengthening mandatory, semesterly trainings on consent culture where a majority of fraternity members from each chapter are present, exploring further a relationship with the Tompkins County Advocacy Center and Greek Life organizations to promote healthy relationships and improve the safety of social settings, and bolstering supports for survivors of sexual assault, including restructuring the title ix office to reduce wait times with support staff, decrease case resolution times, and provide support if survivors pursue legal action
- x. S. Williams continues that they meet with IFC and PHC and have been in cntact with members of MGFC
- xi. R. De Lorenzo states he's very happy with this resolution and members of IFC, PHC, and MGFC are here as well
- xii. M. Baker asks about the decision in line 42 to make it a majority and not more
- xiii. R. De Lorenzo responds that Greek organizations have many members, some sorority orgs have 100+. Having the majority of those people there is very strong for the community, but it could definitely be more
- xiv. V. Valencia emphasizes that the SA, IFC, and PHC really care about working together to ensure that these events don't happen again
- xv. D. Cady encourages everyone to look at how they can help with this resolution. Exploring ways we can further develop the relationship with Tompkins County Advocacy Center sounds incredible. Maybe have them present here and talk about ways we could support them. Also talking about



> ways we could further support the title ix office, ways we could examine some issues around case wait time and staff shortages. We have a lot of money and resources and are well-connected to work on this.

- xvi. S. Bhardwaj states they'll be talking about title ix on Saturday at the Student Health Advisory committee meeting, so that's the first step and they'll keep everyone in the loop
- xvii. D. Cady motions to end debate, passed in a vote of 19-0-1
- xviii. In a vote of 18-0-2, Resolution 12 passes
- b. Resolution 13 is presented by the Office of Ethics
 - i. V. Valencia clarifies that since it is a bylaw amendment it will be voted on next week
 - Office of Ethics explains that this is a re-introduction of the Office of Ethics bylaws amendments. Most of the changes were friendly amendments and some bring back old functions that the original bylaws already have.
 - iii. Line 88 adds a clarification about the ex-officio position that the chairperson of the Office of Ethics has
 - iv. Line 102 brings back the original term limits for members of the Office of Ethics keeping it at a term of one academic year unless their term is renewed and clarifies the process for removing fellow members of the Office of Ethics
 - v. Line 126 clarifies that any vacancy in the Office of Ethics is able to be filled by any member of the SA if confirmed by 2/3 vote and reviewed by Office of Ethics
 - vi. Line 139 adds a new process that requires the chairperson of the Office of Ethics to be confirmed by a 2/3 vote of the SA
 - vii. Line 142 added that the chairperson of the Office of Ethics will decide upon the retention of the voting membership of the incoming office
 - viii. J. Kalinski thanks them for their work on this and their patience in this process
 - ix. B. Mehretu asks about line 41 and how that process would work
 - x. Office of Ethics responds that the chair is elected by the Office of Ethics and confirmed by the SA and then after that the chair decides if the members who are staying on are indeed staying on and then the SA can fill the vacancies in the beginning of the next academic year
 - xi. D. Cady asks about line 160-165 and the intention behind having a full Cornell University student body vote



- xii. Office of Ethics responds that the rational of having it go to community vote is because the vote of no confidence removes all members of the Office of Ethics, so they opened it up to a vote of 15% of the student body, so that previous decisions of assemblies can't be wiped away by one assembly
- xiii. C. Taylor states that 15% of the student body would be a higher turnout then we usually receive in the SA Spring elections and would make it almost impossible. Is that the level they wanted to assign?
- xiv. Office of Ethics responds that the 15% number was put in their suggested changes document, but could make it something more in line with how voting turnout has been in previous semesters. They don't want to make it impossible at all
- xv. B. Luckow states an appropriate change would be a minimum of the last election cycle turnout or no threshold at all
- xvi. Office of Ethics asked if the that would be allowed
- xvii. C. Taylor states the wording for that would be "at least the percentage of the undergraduate population that voted in the previous terms student assembly presidential election would be required in order to remove a member of the Office of Ethics from their position" and it can be done
- xviii. M. Baker states it should say "it's sufficient to"
- xix. C. Taylor motions to amend the line to say "a percentage of the undergraduate population which voted in the previous terms student assembly presidential election in the will be sufficient to remove a member of the Office of Ethics from their position"
- xx. D. Cady dissents. Asks why it can't be a simple majority
- xxi. B. Luckow states we're making the assumption that more people would care about this than the presidential election and if we can not meet that threshold then we'd be stuck in a cycle of no confidence, so doesn't think there should be a threshold
- xxii. Office of Ethics responds they had a community vote of a majority before and have more confidence in that
- xxiii. R. De Lorenzo motions to amend like 162-164 to say "5% of the undergraduate population must vote in favor of the Office of Ethics Student Assembly membership for the vote to be sustained" by unanimous consent
- xxiv. S. Bhardwaj dissents. Office of Ethics recommends the simple majority
- xxv. B. Mehretu motions to amend line 162-164 to say "by simple majority," passed in a vote of 15-1-3
- xxvi. M. Baker asks if it was 50% of people who turnout



xxvii. Office of Ethics says yes

- xxviii. D. Cady states in line 171-172 there shouldn't be a three-meeting limit because he doesn't see a purpose for it
- xxix. Office of Ethics states the reason behind that is if it's during anytime then they could potentially get the bylaws changed and passed at any time to prevent someone from getting a violation and that could affect the outcome of any violation
- xxx. V. Valencia states they could change it to "while an investigation is occurring"
- xxxi. D. Nachman asks for clarification on why they did this
- xxxii. Office of Ethics responds that it's a check on them, so that they don't change the bylaws
- xxxiii. A. Lampert motions to amend line 171-172 to say "not while an investigation is ongoing," fails in a vote of 3-12-7
- xxxiv. R. De Lorenzo motions to strike lines 171-172 by unanimous consent
- xxxv. S. Bhardwaj dissents. The Office of Ethics brought up valid reasons for having this check
- xxxvi. C. Taylor states they could make it "the bylaws as they stand at the beginning of an investigation will hold through the investigation"
- xxxvii. Office of Ethics states that they like that a lot, but didn't know if they could do that
- xxxviii. B. Luckow suggests that all changes in the first three meetings could be immediate and the rest could take place later, but likes C. Taylor's suggestion more
- xxxix. D. Cady motions to strike lines 171-172 with the understanding that if an investigation were to come up that requires changing the bylaws a debate could occur, passes in a vote of 14-3-4
 - xl. B. Mehretu motions to postpone to next week, passes in a vote of 17-0-2

XII. Adjournment

a. R. De Lorenzo motions to adjourn, passed by unanimous consent

The meeting was adjourned at 5:45pm

Respectfully Submitted, Megan Birmingham Clerk of the Assembly





Resolution 14: Approving Special Projects Funding Request for Willard Straight Hall Union Board

- 3
- 4 *Abstract*: This resolution approves \$1,000.00 of Special Projects Funding to the Willard Straight
- 5 Hall Union Board to continue the Cornell tradition of serving freshly popped popcorn in the
- 6 Willard Straight Hall lobby to those affiliated with Cornell University.
- 7 Sponsored by: George Rocco DeLorenzo '24
- 8 *Reviewed by*: Executive Committee, 11/14/22, 5-0-1
- 9 *Type of Action*: Recommendation
- 10 Originally Presented: 11/16/2022

11 *Current Status*: New Business

- 13 Whereas, the Student Assembly Standing Rules, Section 8: Spending Guidelines, Part B:
- 14 Special Projects Funding outlines the purpose of Special Projects Funding and the process of
- 15 approving funding requests.
- Whereas, lines 281-283 of the Student Assembly Standing Rules explains the purpose of Special
 Projects Funding as follows:
- 18 Rule 5: The Student Assembly may choose to fund any project, program or service
 19 through SA Special Projects that it deems to improve the quality of undergraduate student
 20 life or to further the goals of the SA. Special Projects funding is a type of category
 21 spending.
- Whereas, lines 304-307 of the Student Assembly Standing Rules describes the approval process
 of Special Projects Funding as follows:
- 24 Rule 8: The Executive Committee shall decide upon special projects requests over \$400
- and under \$1500 by a majority vote. The SA, at large, may reverse an Executive
- 26 Committee decision to fund amounts over \$400 by a two-thirds vote. The request should
- 27 be presented to the Student Assembly in the form of a resolution.

- 28 Whereas, in an effort to revive a much-beloved pre-COVID tradition in Willard Straight Hall,
- 29 the Student Union Board has begun serving real, kettle-cooked popcorn free of charge to all
- 30 students from 5-7 PM every Tuesday and Thursday in the main lobby of WSH.

31 Whereas, in addition to all-you-can eat free popcorn, the popcorn station also offers a variety of

- flavorings (including but not limited to: pumpkin spice, cinnamon sugar, cheddar cheese, butter, and nutritional yeast) for students to enjoy.
- 34 Whereas, the popcorn machine, acquired at the expense of the WSH Student Union Board, is

35 manned by trained student members of the organization, who work on a purely pro bono

- 36 volunteer basis.
- 37 Whereas, In addition to directly covering all expenses for the popcorn and the upkeep of the
- machine, the Student Union Board is responsible for the maintenance, storage, and cleaning of
 the machine.
- 40 Whereas, the popcorn station has become a vehicle for both social gatherings and a means to41 support other student groups on campus.
- Whereas, the WSH Student Union Board often lends out the popcorn machine to other student
 organizations free of charge and serves popcorn in conjunction with other student- led events
 happening in WSH.
- 45 **Whereas**, most bags of popcorn served also have promotional quarter-cards for Cornell student-46 created events affixed onto them as a means of free advertising support for other groups.
- Whereas, the success of the popcorn station has led to costs greatly exceeding initial projections,
 largely due to a much higher volume of oil, kernels, and flavoring being consumed than
 anticipated
- 50 **Whereas,** the Student Union Board has no intention of cutting back either the quantity or caliber 51 of popcorn served to the student body.
- 51 of popeoin served to the student obdy.
- 52 Whereas, much of the Willard Straight Hall Union Board byline allocation is spent for the
- numerous large-scale activities the Student Union Board runs throughout the year, like very cost intensive responsibilities of running Clubfest and Welcome Weekend events each semester.
- 55 Whereas, the total effective funding the Student Union Board can spend for the popcorn
- 56 machine and maintenance costs total is around \$1,750 for FY 22-23.

- 57 Whereas, the current costs are in excess of \$1100.00 already as of 11/9/22, this leaves
- approximately \$650.00 of remaining funds which the Student Union can economically spend onthe popcorn station.
- 60 **Be it therefore resolved,** that the Student Assembly approves the \$1,000.00 Special Projects
- 61 Funding disbursement to Willard Straight Hall Union Board.
- 62 **Be it further resolved,** that the Student Assembly necessitates that this funding be used to cover 63 the cost of the continuation of offering popcorn in the Willard Straight Hall lobby.
- 64 **Be it finally resolved,** that the Student Assembly encourages undergraduate students who can to
- stop by the Willard Straight Hall lobby when the popcorn is being offered that will be partiallyfunded by Special Projects Funding.
- 67 Respectfully Submitted,
- 68 George Rocco DeLorenzo '24
- 69 Vice President for Finance, Student Assembly





- 1
- 2

3

Resolution 15: Requesting that the University Provide Funds for an MD Gynecologist at Cornell Health

- 4 *Abstract:* The student health service at Cornell's Ithaca campus, Cornell Health, does not
- 5 currently have an MD gynecologist on staff, which impedes many students from receiving
- 6 necessary gynecological care. This resolution requests that the university provide funding for
- 7 Cornell Health to employ an MD gynecologist who is able to diagnose and treat the most
- 8 common gynecological issues in the student population, including chronic vulvovaginal
- 9 conditions and pelvic pain conditions.
- 10 Sponsored by: Valeria Valencia '23, Morgan Baker '23, Amisha Chowdhury '23, David
- 11 Nachman '25, Arielle Johnson PhD, Victor Wu '25
- 12 *Reviewed by:* Executive Committee, 11/16/2022 (5-0-1)
- 13 *Type of Action:* Recommendation
- 14 Originally Presented: 11/17/2022
- 15 *Current Status:* New Business
- 16 Whereas, the mission of Cornell Health is "to foster lifelong learning and well-being through
- 17 innovative services and strategies that promote the physical, emotional, and social health of
- 18 individuals and our diverse campus community" and
- 19
- 20 Whereas, pelvic pain conditions, including endometriosis, vulvodynia, and polycystic ovary
- syndrome (PCOS), affect up to 16% of cisgender women of reproductive $age^{1 2 3 4}$, as well as
- 22 many transgender and nonbinary people of reproductive age, and
- 23

¹ https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/endometriosis

² https://www.monash.edu/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/1412644/PCOS_Evidence-Based-Guidelines_20181009.pdf ³ https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14681994.2013.842969

⁴ https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/roughly-one-quarter-us-women-affected-pelvic-floor-disorders



- 24 Whereas, untreated pelvic pain can cause short and long-term health consequences, including psychological and physical distress, anxiety, depression, poorer quality of life, anemia and 25 infertility, as well as causing absenteeism and productivity declines⁵, and 26 27 Whereas, we commend Cornell Health for providing quality gynecological treatment to many 28 29 students despite limited resources, while affirming that an MD gynecologist could provide 30 services that are currently unavailable, and 31 32 Whereas, other peer institutions including Harvard and Yale have MD gynecologists available through their student health services, and 33 34 35 Whereas, Cornell students do not have access to convenient and accessible off-campus gynecological care because the majority of MD gynecologists in the Ithaca area specialize in 36 childbirth services, which does not cover the full scope of gynecological care that Cornell 37 38 students need; this forces many students to travel to Rochester or New Haven to receive quality
- 39 care for common conditions, and
- 40

Whereas, on December 2, 2021, 136 students published an editorial in the Cornell Daily Sun to "urge Cornell to provide funding for Cornell Health to hire an MD gynecologist. Specifically, we need a gynecologist with experience diagnosing and treating chronic vulvovaginal and pelvic pain conditions such as vulvodynia, endometriosis, PCOS, and pelvic floor dysfunction"⁶, and

45

Whereas, on June 24th, 2022 following the U.S. Supreme Court decision overturning Roe v.
Wade, Dean Augustine M.K. Choi sent an email to the entire Cornell community stating that
"Cornell clinicians, across all our campuses, will continue to provide quality health care services
to women, as is legal in the state of New York, and will continue to serve the health care needs
of all our patients, faculty, staff and students," despite the fact that students needing
gynecological care do not have access to an MD gynecologist on Ithaca's campus, and

⁵²

⁵ https://www.fertstert.org/article/S0015-0282(19)32292-7/fulltext

⁶ https://cornellsun.com/2021/12/02/letter-to-the-editor-cornell-health-needs-a-gynecologist/



53 Whereas, considering that historically marginalized groups, including racial and ethnic minority 54 groups and LGBTQ+ persons, often have disproportionately low access to comprehensive gynecological care, Cornell Health could reduce access disparities in the student population by 55 providing inclusive care from an MD gynecologist^{7 8 9 10}, and 56 57 Whereas, we believe that providing quality gynecological care to students on the Ithaca campus 58 59 is an urgent issue, and such care could greatly improve the wellbeing of many students. 60 61 Be it therefore resolved, that the University secure funding and develop a projected timeline for hiring an MD gynecologist who can treat the most common gynecological issues faced by the 62 Cornell student population, and 63 64 Be it further resolved, that the University provide regular updates on the hiring process to the 65 GPSA and SA, and 66 67 68 Be it finally resolved, that the University adequately communicate all gynecological services, including those provided by the MD gynecologist, that are available at Cornell Health to the 69 student body. 70 71 72 **Respectfully Submitted**, Valeria Valencia '23 73 74 President, Student Assembly 75 Morgan Baker '23 76 Women's Issues Liaison At-Large, Student Assembly Amisha Chowdhury '23 77 78 Student Advocate, Student Assembly

⁷ https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26595584/

⁸ https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12712135

⁹ https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2012/05/health-care-for-lesbians-and-bisexual-women

 $^{^{10}\,}https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/full/10.1089/trgh.2018.0022$



- 79 David Nachman '25
- 80 Students With Disabilities Representative At-Large, Student Assembly
- 81 Victor Wu '25
- 82 Member, Student Health Advisory Committee, Student Assembly
- 83 Arielle Johnson PhD
- 84 Pelvic Pain Association at Cornell
- 85 Planned Parenthood Generation Action at Cornell
- 86 PERIOD@Cornell
- 87 The Advocacy Project from Cornell University
- 88 Law Women of Color Collective
- 89 Cornell Law School Women's Law Coalition
- 90 Disability+
- 91 Multicultural Academic Council
- 92 Cornell University Women's Health Initiative
- 93 Qgrads (LGBTQ+ Graduate Student Association)
- 94 Gender Justice Advocacy Coalition, Cornell University
- 95 Biodiversify Affiliates at Cornell
- 96 I-An "Amy" Su
- 97 President, Cornell's Graduate & Professional Women's Network (GPWomeN)



Resolution 13: Student Assembly Office of Ethics Bylaw Amendment

2

1

- 3 Abstract: This resolution amends and ratifies the Student Assembly Bylaws. These amendments to
- 4 the Bylaws create an ex-officio position for the Chairperson of the Student Assembly Office of
- 5 Ethics, permit members of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics to remain during executive
- 6 session, and establish the format of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics.
- 7 Sponsored by: Michelle Song '25, Pedro Da Silveira' 25
- 8 *Reviewed by:* Student Assembly Office of Ethics, 11/08/2022
- 9 Type of Action: Internal Policy
- 10 Originally Presented: 11/10/2022
- 11 *Current Status:* New Business
- 12 Whereas, the Student Assembly Office of Ethics was established through Student Assembly
- 13 Resolution 22 as an external executive office to hold the Student Assembly accountable, maintain a
- 14 high moral standard, and provide necessary ethical guidance and procedure to the Student Assembly;
- 15 Whereas, the membership of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics has actively researched,
- 16 analyzed, coordinated, argued, and discussed ethical philosophies and applications;
- 17 Whereas, the members of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics have unanimously agreed that the
- 18 Student Assembly, is in need of a structured system imbued with the authority to ensure
- 19 accountability, ethical conduct, justice, and growth;
- 20 Whereas, the current language utilized in "Section 2: Ex-officio Executive Committee Positions" of
- 21 "Article I: Ex-Officio Members," "Section 5: Executive Session" of "Article IV: Meetings," and
- 22 "Section 5: External Committees" of "Article VI: Committees" lines 10-19, 233-238, 562-617
- 23 prevent the Student Assembly Office of Ethics from becoming this type of system;
- 24 **Whereas,** the Code of Ethics and amendments to the Ethics Clause of the Student Assembly's
- 25 Standing Rules have been incorporated into the framework of the Assembly, and an amendment to
- 26 the Bylaws is necessary for the Office to function
- 27 **Be it therefore resolved,** that "Section 2: Ex-officio Executive Committee Positions" of "Article I:
- 28 Ex-Officio Members," "Section 5: Executive Session" of "Article IV: Meetings," and "Section 5:
- 29 External Committees" of "Article VI: Committees" lines 10-19, 233-238, 562-617 of the Student
- 30 Assembly Bylaws shall read as follows:



31 **ARTICLE I: Ex-Officio Members**

32 Section 2: Ex-Officio Executive Committee Positions

A. The SA can grant an ex-officio position to any member of the Cornell community to serve 33 as the Executive Archivist. 34 B. The SA can grant an ex-officio position to any member of the Cornell community to serve 35 as the Parliamentarian. 36 C. The SA can grant an ex-officio position to any member of the Cornell Community to serve 37 as Director of Elections. 38 39 D. The SA can grant an ex-officio position to any member of the Cornell Community to serve as the Student Advocate. 40 E. The SA can grant an ex-officio position to any member of the Cornell Community to serve 41 as the Director of Student Government Relations. 42 F. The SA must grant an ex-officio position to any member elected by the Student Assembly 43 44 Office of Ethics to serve as the Chairperson.

45 **ARTICLE IV: MEETINGS**

46 Section 2: Executive Session

- 47 With the concurrence of two-thirds (2/3) of the voting members in attendance, the SA or any of its
- 48 committees may go into executive session during a regularly scheduled meeting only to discuss
- 49 confidential matters as defined by this Charter. No policy determinations will be made in executive
- 50 session. The Student Assembly may also hold executive sessions for internal elections and planning
- 51 purposes. Executive session shall be closed to non-voting, non-executive members of the assembly
- 52 except the Chairperson of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics and all present members of the
- 53 Student Assembly Office of Ethics. Community members may be invited by the assembly to
- 54 participate in an executive session. Secret ballot votes shall be reserved for executive sessions.

55 **ARTICLE VI: COMMITTEES**

56 Section 5: EXTERNAL COMMITTEES



57	C.	Sti	udent Assembly Office of Ethics	
58		a.	Name	
59			i. The name of the office shall be the Student Assembly Office of Ethics.	
60		b.	Mission:	
61			i. The mission of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics shall be to pursue any	
62			necessary ethics concerns of SA members and directly elected undergraduate	
63			representatives to the UA.	
64			ii. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics will investigate perceived ethical	
65			violations and draft reports to the SA for guidance and potential repercussions	
66			iii. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics will be available for consulting and	
67			support on SA ethical issues for both SA members and the student body.	
68		c.	Affiliation	
69			i. The Office will review all concerns submitted by the student body that involve	•
70			any SA members, SA committees, SA elections, or directly elected undergradua	ate
71			representatives of the UA.	
72			ii. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics shall be affiliated as an external execut	ive
73			office of the Cornell Student Assembly.	
74			iii. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics shall work jointly with the Elections	
75			Committee to review any potential election violations or concerns.	
76		d.	Roles and Responsibilities	
77			i. A quorum shall consist of five members of the Student Assembly Office of	
78			Ethics.	
79			ii. Chairperson of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics:	
80			1. The Chairperson will chair all Student Assembly Office of Ethics	
81			meetings.	
82			2. The Chairperson shall only vote in the event of a tie.	
83			3. The Chairperson shall have a term limit of one academic year.	
84			4. The Chairperson shall correspond directly with the Student Assembly	у
85			on matters of ethics and ethical decorum in its meetings and all	
86			proceedings.	
87			a) In the event of absence, the Chairperson may select any member	er
88			of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics to temporarily fulfill	
89			their obligations as an ex-officio member of the Executive	
90			Committee of the SA.	
91			iii. Review all alleged ethical violations submitted to the Office via:	
92			1. Qualtrics,	
93			2. Email,	



94	3. Personal contact with a committee member of the Student Assembly
95	Office of Ethics.
96	iv. Open investigations on perceived ethical violations
97	1. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics can open its own investigation
98	on an ethical matter by a simple majority vote.
99	v. Voting Members of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics:
100 101	 The Student Assembly Office of Ethics shall consist of seven voting members.
102	2. All voting members shall serve for the duration of one academic year
103	unless their term is renewed by the Chairperson of the Student
104	Assembly Office of Ethics
105	3. Student Assembly Office of Ethics members, if found in violation of
106	the Code of Ethics or any other reasonable basis as determined by the
107	Office, can be removed before their term expires by a unanimous vote
108	of the voting membership of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics.
109	a) The subject of the removal vote must abstain from voting.
110	4. All voting members shall be non-Student Assembly and non-
111	University Assembly members of the Cornell undergraduate student
112	population.
113	5. Voting members may not seek SA office through their term of service
114	in the Student Assembly Office of Ethics.
115	vi. Update the Student Assembly of its proceedings and its mission on a semesterly
116	basis at a hearing conducted during one of the first three general assembly
117	meetings of the academic year. This will be formally referred to as the "Student
118	Assembly Office of Ethics' semesterly report."
119	vii. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics will be commissioned with interpreting
120	and applying the guidelines set forth in the SA Code of Ethics.
121	1. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics, alone, will be tasked with the
122	ability to revise the Code of Ethics.
123	2. The Student Assembly Office of Ethics will report revisions of the
124	Code of Ethics to the Student Assembly at the subsequent meeting.
125	e. Recruitment of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics Members
126	i. Any vacancies in the 7 seats of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics shall be
127	appointed individually by any SA member and confirmed by a two-thirds $(2/3)$
128	vote of the entire voting membership of the Student Assembly.
129	1. Except for nominations following a failed community vote, all
130	nominations for the Student Assembly Office of Ethics must be



131		unanimously approved by the membership of the Student Assembly
132		Office of Ethics prior to the SA nomination hearing.
133		2. A week-long period in between nominations and appointments shall be
134		dedicated to giving SA voting members the opportunity to speak one-
135		on-one with each nominee.
136		ii. At the beginning of each academic year, the voting membership of the Student
137		Assembly Office of Ethics must select the Chairperson of the Student Assembly
138		Office of Ethics internally by a simple majority vote of the entire voting
139		membership of the Office. The Chairperson-elect shall be confirmed by a 2/3rds
140		majority vote of the Student Assembly voting membership.
141		iii. The Chairperson of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics shall decide upon the
142		retention of the voting membership of the incoming Office before the
143		conclusion of each academic year.
144	f.	Vote of No Confidence
145		i. The Student Assembly will assess the work of the Student Assembly Office of
146		Ethics during the previous academic year and must conduct a vote of no
147		confidence on an annual basis during or following any of the first three general
148		assembly meetings of each semester.
149		1. Following the Student Assembly Office of Ethics' semesterly report, as
150		defined in Article VI, Section 5, Subsection C, Clause D, Paragraph VI, the
151		SA may commence a Vote of No Confidence by 2/3 majority vote on the
152		grounds that the Office has failed to uphold and execute its mission, as
153		defined in Article VI, Section 5, Subsection C, Clause B.
154		a) The Chairperson of the Student Assembly Office of Ethics and all
155		present members of the Office may directly address the concerns
156		brought against the Office prior to the vote of the SA to commence a
157		Vote of No Confidence.
158		2. If the motion to commence a Vote of No Confidence fails, the Student
159		Assembly Office of Ethics will continue to operate.
160		3. In the event of a sustained Vote of No Confidence, a community vote of
161		the undergraduate population shall be taken in order to remove all Office
162		of Ethics members by simple majority.
163		ii. In the event of a failed community vote, all Student Assembly Office of Ethics
164		members shall continue to serve.
165	g.	Student Assembly Office of Ethics Bylaws



- i. All bylaw proposals to Article VI, Section 5, Subsection C, must be approved by
 the Student Assembly Office of Ethics by a two-thirds (2/3) majority before
 coming to the SA.
- 169
- 170 Respectfully Submitted,
- 171 Michelle Song '25
- 172 Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion & Representative at-Large, Student Assembly
- 173 Pedro Da Silveira '25
- 174 Vice President of Internal Operations & College of Engineering Representative, Student Assembly