I. Call to Order
   a. Call to Order
   b. Welcome and Introduction
   c. Call for Late Additions to the Agenda

II. Approval of the Minutes
   a. 4-9-19 Minutes

III. New Business
   a. Resolution 4: Appointment of University Hearing Board and University Review Board Members for Academic Year 2019-2020
   b. Budget Planning Committee Discussion
   c. Campus Code of Conduct Discussion

IV. Adjournment
I. Call to Order
   a. Call to Order
      i. M. Battaglia called the meeting to order at 4:31pm
   b. Roll Call:
   c. Welcome and Introduction
      i. M. Battaglia welcomed the guest speakers to the Assembly.
      ii. M. Battaglia moved to move right into the presentations from the guest speakers. There was no dissent. The motion was adopted by unanimous consent.

II. Presentation from David Honan, CUPD Chief
   a. David Honan, the new Chief of CUDP, introduced himself and shared his background with the Assembly. He briefly discussed the Annual Campus Watch report, and some of the data in the report, including the most common crimes at the University. He said that the report can always be found online.
   b. After presenting, Chief Honan fielded questions from the Assembly.
   c. K. Barth asked about CUPD’s interactions with other law enforcement agencies.
   d. Chief Honan said that the relationships between CUPD and other law enforcement agencies is collaborative.
   e. D. Barbaria asked, when CUPD interacts with students, have officers found that students express confusion regarding the on-campus vs off-campus policies and practices.
   f. Chief Honan said that he hasn’t seen too much confusion.
   g. T. Onabajo asked if it was CUPD that shuts down a party because of a noise complaint.
   h. Chief Honan said that it depends on the location of the party. He said that if it is Cornell property, then CUPD would respond to a noise complaint.
   i. R. Bensel asked about student events, security, and fees.
j. Chief Honan said that there is work being done to address the issue of fees that prevent programming.

k. K. Barth asked about the use of body cameras in the CUPD.

l. Chief Honan said that the CUPD does use body cameras, and that they are helpful for training and review purposes.

III. Business of the Day

i. Confirmation of Marisa O’Gara as the Judicial Codes Counselor

1. M. Battaglia moved to confirm Marisa O’Gara as the new Judicial Codes Counselor. There was no dissent.

2. By a vote of 9-0-2, the Assembly confirmed Marisa O’Gara as the new Judicial Codes Counselor

IV. New Business

a. Tobacco Survey Timeline and Survey Discussion

i. T. Onabajo said that the survey was edited with the help of Ted Schiele and Leslie Meyerhoff. She thanked them for their help on the survey.

ii. L. Meyerhoff said that there have been a few conversations with the Campus Welfare Committee about focusing on the information that the CWC wants to know. She said the original survey was enormously comprehensive. She said that there were concerns that the CWC and UA wouldn’t be able to get the information that it wants and needs to know.

iii. L. Meyerhoff and T. Schiele discussed the changes and edits made to the survey.

iv. R. Bensel asked if an open-ended question could be added to invite a respondent to explain context of experience

v. L. Meyerhoff said that one of the considerations proposed by C. Van Loan was to have an open-ended forum where people could answer open-ended questions and respond and react to the comments of others.

vi. L. Copman said that she likes the survey and likes that it is short. She said that she does not see the value in questions 3 and 4. She also recommended moving some of the questions to help the flow of the survey.

vii. A. Viswanathan said that he likes the distinction between questions 9 and 10. He said that he suggests a separation between tobacco smoking products and nicotine products.

viii. L. Meyerhoff said that that point raises a previously raised point regarding what the survey wants to achieve.
ix. M. Battaglia moved to briefly pause the conversation to vote on the Judicial Codes Counselor Confirmation before the Assembly lost quorum. There was no dissent. The motion was adopted.

x. The Assembly lost quorum at 5:26pm. The Assembly continued discussing the tobacco survey.

Respectfully Submitted,

Terrill D. Malone
Clerk of the Assembly
U.A. Resolution # 4

Appointment of University Hearing Board and University Review Board Members for Academic Year 2019-2020

April 23, 2019

Sponsored by: Dale Barbaria, Undergraduate; Chair, Codes & Judicial Committee


Whereas, the 2018 – 2019 Codes and Judicial Committee (CJC) received and reviewed applications for service on the University Hearing and University Review Boards (UHRB) from students and employees and made recommendations on appointments; and

Be it therefore resolved, the following student members are appointed to the UHRB for a two-year term, beginning June 1, 2019 and lasting until their graduation from the University or May 31, 2021, whichever is sooner:

Stephen Kim
Michael Buche
Thomas Gergely
Jaimie Diamond
Jacob Feit
Nathan Gorton
Sej Makheja
Devin Massari
Spencer Blumenberg
Ramneek Sanghera
Lucas C. Smith
Zoe Hauser
Emma Kioko

Resolved, the following student members are appointed to the UHRB for the remaining year of a two-year term, beginning June 1, 2019 and lasting until their graduation from the University or May 31, 2020, whichever is sooner:
Resolved, the following employee members are appointed to the UHRB for a two-year term beginning June 1, 2019 and lasting until May 31, 2021:

Amy Beckhorn
Delia Herrin
James Sorrentino
Elise Gold
Nancy Martinsen
Jin Liang
Daniel Hoddinott
Veronica Perina

Resolved, the following employee member is appointed to the UHRB for the remaining year of a two-year term, beginning June 1, 2019 and lasting until May 31, 2020:

Resolved, the following faculty members are appointed to the University Hearing and Review Boards (URHB) for terms lasting from June 1, 2019 until May 31, 2021:

David Lee
Kelly King-O'Brien
Michelle Cox
Michelle Whelan
N-Dri Assie-Lumumba
Vilma Santiago-Irizzary

Be it finally resolved, should the OJA be unable to select a sufficient number of student or employee members for a summer hearing, it may contact the University Assembly Executive Committee who will work with the Office of the Assemblies to screen and approve additional candidates.

No signature block is present until the resolution has been disposed of by the Assembly (Passed, Failed, Withdrawn, etc.) Then a block with the certifying member (customarily Chair/Vice-Chair) verifying the authenticity and vote tally of the resolution.
U.A. Resolution #X

Creating an Ad Hoc Budget Planning Advisory Committee

April 23, 2019

Sponsored by: Linda Copman, Staff; Ranking Member, University Assembly

Whereas, the University Assembly (the “Assembly”) has the authority to examine matters which concern the welfare of a substantial segment of the campus community and may make recommendations thereon to the President or other appropriate officers of the university; and

Whereas, budgetary planning, decisions, and the allocation of financial resources are critical to the functioning of the University and have far reaching affects upon all constituencies; and

Whereas, during the 2017 – 2018 Academic Year, the Assembly passed Resolution #9 “Reinstating the Budget Planning Committee” to revive the Assembly’s former Budget Planning Committee; and

Whereas, President Pollack returned AY 17 – 18 Resolution #9 to the Assembly for further consideration but expressed support for increasing community input on budget planning; and

Whereas, the during the 2018 – 2019 Academic Year, the Assembly’s Executive Committee met with senior members of the University Administration to discuss AY 17 – 18 Resolution #9; and

Whereas, in those discussions the Administration affirmed their support for increased community input, while expressing a desire to prevent duplication of the work done by the Financial Policies Committee of the Faculty Senate; and

Whereas, after discussions with the Dean of the University Faculty and his consultation with the Faculty Senate’s Financial Policies Committee (the “FPC”) and its chair, the FPC agreed to coordinate with an Ad Hoc Committee so as to broaden the engagement with the Administration on budgetary matters; and

Whereas, the complexities of the budget and its impact on teaching and research are such that a major educational outreach effort is required; therefore

Be it Resolved, the Assembly creates an Ad Hoc Budget Planning Advisory Committee to operate during AY2019-20; and
Be it Resolved, the Committee shall be chaired by the Dean of the University Faculty, and consist of one additional member from the University Assembly, two selected by the Student Assembly, two selected by the Graduate & Professional Student Assembly, and two selected by the Employee Assembly; and

Be it Resolved, the Ad Hoc Committee will meet throughout the year, and co-meet with the FPC at least two times during the year with agendas that are worked out in advance by the two Committees and which do not involve confidential data; and

Be it Resolved, that the Committee in consultation with the University Budget Office and with full transparency will draft a layperson document entitled “The Cornell Budget-A Users Guide” to be completed by March 1, 2020; and

Be it Resolved, that the Ad Hoc Committee will report to the UA what it has learned after each joint meeting that it holds with the FPC; and

Be it Finally Resolved, that the Committee will recommend to the UA by April 15, 2020 a structure for interacting with the FPC and the University Budget Office in the future.

No signature block is present until the resolution has been disposed of by the Assembly (Passed, Failed, Withdrawn, etc.) Then a block with the certifying member (customarily Chair/Vice-Chair) verifying the authenticity and vote tally of the resolution.